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SECTION I

## Executive Summary

The following design report was submitted for the City of Maquoketa's Green Space Redevelopment project. This project aimed to transform the northeast corner of South Main Street and East Pleasant Street in Maquoketa, IA, into a place for the community to gather for concerts and other events. Working on behalf of the University of Iowa Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering are fourth year civil engineering students Jamie Trentz, Jackson Stephens, Jacob Murphy, and Amanda Guerra. Throughout our time at the University, we have studied both the structural and architectural aspects of civil engineering. In addition to our studies, our team has gained an assortment of experience working with major engineering companies like Shive-Hattery Architecture and Engineering, Kimley-Horn, and Sevan MultiSite Solutions. We have combined our experiences and worked alongside professional civil, environmental, and structural engineers to design a new green space for the city of Maquoketa that will bring more activity to its downtown.


Figure 1.1. Southwest view of the existing site during a typical event Taken by Sarah Jones, 2022. Concert Showing sun and shade from west side Buildings. Used with permission.

The existing green space is already home to a variety of events with turnouts reaching anywhere from 50 to 2,000 people. During these events, a temporary stage platform is set up and the community gathers around the performer, sitting on blankets, lawn chairs, and surrounding picnic benches.

For larger events at this space, the city must order portable restrooms. Due to the frequency of events held in the space, this rental is an unnecessary reoccurring cost.

Maquoketa aims to take this empty plot of land and transform it into a more defined space. We have implemented a restroom structure and a permanent stage into our design that will match the downtown's red brick aesthetic while also bringing in a contemporary aesthetic through the incorporation of exposed steel beams.

Summer performances are typically scheduled in the space during late afternoons and evenings, which subjects the audience to harsh summer sun from the west. Consequently, crowds tend to
gather in the small strip of shade provided from the buildings across South Main Street. This is a major issue as it creates a lot of empty space between the audience and performer, making the overall experience for both parties less enjoyable. It is also important to note that the space exists due to a fire that burned down several storefronts located on the site. Therefore, the city would like to have this history recognized in the design. Our team has proposed painting a mural along the south side of the restroom structure that commemorates the storefronts that used to belong on this corner. Not only does this satisfy the need for historic remembrance, but it creates an opportunity for more community engagement. We strongly suggest Maquoketa turns to its own residents for mural ideas; creating a historical mural contest would get the whole community invested, informed, and involved in the project.

Storage is another substantial issue for the site and items related to the entertainment events held here. We have designated 450 -square feet of the restroom building to storage.

Having a permanent stage, restroom structure, adequate shade, and storage are all high priority requests. Just as important is incorporating lighting and a sidewalk network to provide more accessibility and safety at night. Thus, we have incorporated lighting bollards into our design to provide adequate lighting for the sidewalk connecting the existing parking lot to the stage, restroom, and surrounding sidewalks. More features requested but not considered top priority were solar panels, a concession stand, and a gathering space.

There was not a budget given for the Maquoketa Green Space Redevelopment. Instead, the city of Maquoketa wanted to take a rendering-based approach where they could present the designs and gather appropriate funding. For that reason, we split the project into three phases in order to spread the overall cost into smaller, more manageable increments. This also provides down time between construction to apply for more funding to continue construction of the subsequent phase. We have designed the phasing so that Phase 1 contains all top priority features and can stand alone if more funding isn't available for the project. In the case that there is sufficient funding, Phases 2 and 3 can be implemented as additions to the previous phases. These phases integrate the more expensive secondary features into the design, which will in turn elevate the overall atmosphere of the site.

Phase 1 is the foundation for both phases that follow. This initial design contains the essential items requested by the client: a permanent stage on the east side of the parcel, a concrete pad in front of the stage, a public restroom at the south end of the parcel with built in storage, shade from the west, lighting for the site, a sidewalk that connects the parking lot to the restrooms, water fountains, an informational kiosk, and landscaping. This phase was designed to accommodate all future phases, meaning all structural elements will support the loads of all future phases to avoid having to resize members or perform unnecessary and costly demolitions.

Phase 2 contains all elements from its previous phase, with the addition of an ADA compliant ramp and railing system to transform the roof of the restroom structure into an usable space.


Figure 1.2. Proposed green space for Phase Three
Phase 3 is comprised of all previously mentioned elements, along with the addition of a permanent steel roof covering, shading the entirety of the roof. This covering is designed to be able to withstand the load of an array of solar panels that span the length of the roof covering. Additionally, a concession stand is integrated into the storage room through the addition of a partition wall dividing the spaces.

The overall total cost for design, administration, and construction of the project if built straight to completion (Phase 3) has been estimated as $\$ 1,153,500$. The estimated cost break-down for Phases 1,2 , and 3 are $\$ 774,500, \$ 276,000$, and $\$ 103,000$, respectively.

The deliverables of the Maquoketa Green Space Redevelopment project include a written report, construction drawings of the proposed site and structures, project poster with renderings of the site, as well as a phasing plan and a construction cost estimate breakdown. Although we have designed Phase 1 to be able to exist on its own, our team strongly encourages the city of Maquoketa to follow through with the construction of both subsequent phases. In the end, the proposed structures will not only complement the aesthetic of downtown, but they will also complement the community-based nature of the city of Maquoketa. The roof overlooking the green space will likely bring new interest in the space that might attract families to gather even on days where there are no events planned for the space. We believe that by generating this excitement, more people will be drawn into the green space. This will further build Maquoketa's community feel, educate people on the site's history, and increase overall event attendance.

## SECTION II

## Organization Qualifications and Experience

## Organization Location and Contact Information

103 S. Capitol St. Iowa City, IA 52242

Project Manager: Jamie Trentz

## Organization and Design Team Description

The team is a group of students who participated in the senior design class required of all final semester students within the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering at the University of Iowa. Jamie Trentz is a Civil Engineering major with a focus in structures. He was the project manager and ensured all deadlines were met and that we were working effectively during all portions of the project. Jacob Murphy is a Civil Engineering major with a focus in structures. He was the text editor and revised documents so they could be read with ease. Amanda Guerra is a Civil Engineering major with a focus in architecture. She was the graphics editor and performed renderings for designs. She also assisted in the creation of the graphics used in reports and presentations. Jackson Stephens is a Civil Engineering major with a focus in structures. His role was technology support and he created and edited presentations that can be easily followed and communicated the proper information. All members contributed to the calculation methods needed for all structures. All members also used knowledge learned from previous design classes to ensure all building and city codes were followed and were documented throughout the process.

## - Description of Experience with Similar Projects

Jamie Trentz has taken courses at the University of Iowa such as Principles of Structures, Civil Engineering Materials, Design of Wood Structures, Foundations of Structures, Design of Steel Structures, and Design Optimization. Jamie has also worked as a structural engineering intern with Shive-Hattery Engineering and Architecture for two summers. During his time there, Jamie has helped produce structural construction documents, using Revit for industrial platforms, new buildings, building additions, and a pedestrian bridge. Jamie also worked in the structural reference detail library, refining the details used across all the Shive-Hattery locations. He also has experience in structural analysis programs and designing steel connections.

Jacob Murphy has had internships with the Iowa DOT and Shive-Hattery Engineering and Architecture. The Iowa DOT internship gave Jacob the skills to navigate through specification books, interpret engineering plans, and document progress throughout a project. The ShiveHattery internship gave him the experience of performing structural calculations for buildings and platform systems in RISA 3D. Jacob also evaluated results by performing hand calculations and produced structural drawings in Revit. Many courses at the University of Iowa prepared Jacob for those internships and this project. Some of those courses were Design of Steel Structures, Design of Concrete Structures, Foundations of Structures, Civil Engineering Materials, and Principles of Transportation Engineering.

Amanda Guerra has taken structural courses as well as design-intensive courses at the University of Iowa. Amanda's design courses allowed her to familiarize herself with the software package Autodesk 3dsMax. Using this program, she was able to design and produce high quality renderings of a library, pavilion, and a bus stop shelter. This past summer, Amanda worked as a solar engineering intern with Kimley-Horn. Through this internship, she gained experience drafting solar field layouts and preparing construction documents using AutoCAD Civil3D. To accomplish these tasks, Amanda had to read and follow county the solar zoning ordinances, and import utility line, road network, property line, FEMA, and wetland data into Civil 3D drawings.

Jackson Stephens has taken various structural engineering courses at the University of Iowa to prepare him for this project. Some of those include Design of Steel Structures, Design of Wood Structures, Design of Concrete Structures, Foundations of Structures, Civil Engineering Materials, and Resilient Infrastructure. These classes helped Jackson to learn how to use design manuals and software like Revit and Civil3D to assist him in the project. For the latter half of 2022, Jackson worked as a project management intern with Sevan Multi-Site Solutions. He worked with the Walgreens team and assisted in the construction process of the parking lot, roof, and LED efficiency teams. This exposed him to the bidding process and overall budgeting of projects. He became familiar with Smartsheet, PowerPoint, and Excel during his time.

## SECTION III

## Design Services

## 1. Project Scope

Our design will redevelop the Maquoketa Green Space into a place that can host a variety of events in addition to daily use. Some of these events include the Summer Concert Series, Maqtoberfest, Fireball, Art in the Park, and the Farmer's Market. The city of Maquoketa wishes to use this space to further expand community involvement. With the inclusion of the following aspects, the city hopes the structures provide a strong foundation for overall growth in the community. At the utmost importance, these gatherings require a permanent stage that accommodates lighting and sound equipment, public restrooms, storage, shade, site lighting, sidewalks, as well as landscaping. Additional features to be included in budget permitting design renders include a water fountain with bottle filling ability, a dog-level water fountain, an informational kiosk, a historical marker, and low maintenance plantings. All high priority and inexpensive features were incorporated in Phase One while the expensive secondary features were incorporated into the other two phases accordingly.

For the three phases, the following items will be presented: project report, construction drawings, 3 D rendering of the site, and a design summary poster.

## 2. Work Plan

The schedule for our design team is shown in Figures 3.1-3.7.

## Maquoketa Greenspace Redevelopment



Figure 3.1. Project kickoff and data collection Gantt chart


Figure 3.2. Field assessment and initial concept development Gantt chart

|  |  |  | Feb 5-Feb 11 |  | Feb 12-Feb 18 |  |  |  |  | Feb 19 - Feb 25 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tasks | 11-Feb | 22-Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 <br> S M M T W T F     |
| Generate Guiding Conceptual Designs (All) | 11-Feb | 17-Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Narrow Down Conceptual Designs Based on Field and Client Criteria (All) | 14 -Feb | 18-Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Develop Drawings/Sketches to llustrate Design Options (All) | 17-Feb | 21-Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discuss Advantages and Disadvantages of Each Design (All) | 19 -Feb | 21-Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Client Meeting to Discuss and Choose 3 Levels of Design Options (All) | 22-Feb | 22-Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3.3. Design concept development Gantt chart


Figure 3.4. Design Gantt chart part 1


Figure 3.5. Design Gantt chart part 2


Figure 3.6. On campus presentation Gantt chart


Figure 3.7. Client presentation and final product Gantt chart

## SECTION IV

## Constraints, Challenges, and Impacts

## 1. Constraints

The Maquoketa Green Space Redevelopment needed to match the existing aesthetic of the downtown area. The buildings in the area are built out of a mixture of brick, concrete, and limestone. Wood was avoided for construction of the stage or bathroom in order to decrease the amount of eventual maintenance. The stage needed to stand three-feet or higher off the ground to allow for a good line of sight from the audience. The stage was designed to maintain a line of sight from Main Street due to the events that flow over into the downtown area. This restricted stage placements to either the north or east side of the parcel. The existing north sidewalk must remain. Shade must be provided from the west due to events occurring mostly in the late afternoon. If any seating was provided, it must also be removable. Lastly, the project was limited to the current parcel area; no land acquisitions were possible. Budget was not a constraint for this project.

## 2. Challenges

The Maquoketa Green Space only has five inches of topsoil due to existing basements being filled with limestone. This creates challenges to either plantings or foundation installation. Foundations need to be installed by excavating existing limestone and replacing it with soil fill. Another challenge was creating a line of sight for the crowd that allows people in the back to see the stage with ease but also allows the people towards the front to not strain their necks.

## 3. Societal Impact within the Community and/or State of Iowa

The societal impact of this project was notable. Activities that will be held on this site will greatly impact the City of Maquoketa for the better. The overall look of the site will be enhanced and will allow for the history and aesthetic of the town to be improved. Events will bring more people from the area to Maquoketa. This influx of visitors will allow local businesses to prosper from more people. The new structures and new layout of the site will allow for more daily activities to be held on the site. This allows people to be outside more during the warm weather, which in turn leads to better health, wellness, and happiness. The additions will provide greater accessibility, lowering the risk of health and safety concerns. New bathrooms will allows residents to have cleaner and more accessible locations for their use, improving community needs and sanitation. This addition means there is no need for public portable restrooms, lowering community costs for each event and allowing for the downtown to have a more natural feel and appeal.

## SECTION V

## Phasing of Alternatives

We are proposing three designs for the Green Space Redevelopment Project in the form of three phases. Phase One incorporates all important baseline features the City of Maquoketa expects to be included in every design alternative. The subsequent two phases are meant to be constructed as additions to the previous phase. Phases Two and Three both incorporate secondary features the city requested but noted were not as high priority as the features in Phase One.

Using phasing reduces the initial cost by not immediately incorporating expensive lower priority features. All phases were designed to be able to withstand the load of the subsequent phase so there will be no major demolition or replacement of structural members needed when construction of the next phase begins. Every phase can be constructed at Maquoketa's discretion, which provides extra time during the funding process for each update.

## 1. Phase One - Site Layout and Proposed Structures



SITE LAYOUT:
Phase One of the Green Space Redevelopment is displayed in Figure 5.1. This schematic outlines the location of the proposed structures and sidewalk network on the parcel. The green path is the proposed sidewalk that connects the restroom entrance, storage entrance, stage, and northeast parking lot to the existing surrounding sidewalks.

The permanent stage, shown in orange, has been placed on the east side of the parcel and faces west. This stage orientation proved to be the most optimal arrangement as it keeps the audience in closer proximity to the performers, while simultaneously providing more lines of sight along the length of the parcel. Occasionally, South Main Street gets blocked off for larger events, so having the stage face west results in optimal performance visibility.

In contrast, East Pleasant Street does not get shut down; therefore, we believe it was most appropriate to locate the restroom structure (in blue) on the south end, closer to existing water hookups.
Figure 5.1. Phase One schematic design
Also depicted in the graphic above is the relocation of the informational kiosk as well as the locations of proposed trees that will provide shade on the site. Additionally, the picnic benches and sculpture shown in the aerial are subject to relocation prior to construction.


Figure 5.2. Stage design for all phases

## STAGE DESIGN:

As shown in Figure 5.2, our team has proposed a permanent stage composed mainly of CMU blocks with a red brick façade. The brick in combination with the exposed steel beams, metal deck roof, and concrete slab performance area all work together to compliment the aesthetic of Maquoketa's downtown architecture. Additionally, this model features an ADA compliant ramp, as well as a staircase leading up to the stage. Both entrance points have north access locations. This configuration provides the most direct route to the parking lot, which is essential for loading and unloading heavy equipment. Other features of the design include approximately 735 squarefeet of performance space, three box trusses for lighting, and sound equipment. Due to the green space's vital need for a permanent performance area, the stage was designed to its fullest potential in Phase One and will remain unchanged in the subsequent phases.

## RESTROOM STRUCTURE DESIGN:



Figure 5.3. Phase One restroom building design - view from $S$ Main $S t$


Figure 5.4. Phase One restroom building floor plan
Like our proposed stage design, the restroom (pictured in Figure 13) is comprised of a masonry interior with a brick façade exterior. The front and back wall feature six columns that aesthetically add a sense of depth to the building. More importantly, they are required to be able to structurally withstand the loads of the following phases. The garage door entrance to the storage room, as well as the entrance to the men and women's restrooms, are located on the north facing wall to allow for the most direct access to people occupying the green space. The east wall, (left-most wall in Figure 5.4), features a secondary entrance to the storage area and a concession garage window. Although these features are not essential for Phase One, it is more cost efficient to incorporate them prematurely rather than performing a demolition in later phases when these features become a necessity. Furthermore, assuming there will be longer bathroom lines during bigger events, the design features a four-foot front overhang to protect from rain and provide additional shading.

From left to right, the floorplan in Figure 5.4 exhibits the 450 -square foot storage area, men's restroom, and women's restroom. Both restrooms are equipped with two sinks, two hand dryers, and two ADA compliant stalls. Additionally, the women's restroom features four standard stalls, while the men's features one standard stall and four urinals. Stall amounts were calculated assuming an average event attendance of 390 people.

## 2. Phase Two - Site Layout and Proposed Structures

## SITE LAYOUT:

For this phase, the sidewalk network and permanent stage location remain the same as Phase One. The restroom structure, however, increases in width by 13 -feet to accommodate a ramp into the design.

## STAGE DESIGN:

Remains the same as shown in Phase One (See Figure 5.2).

## RESTROOM STRUCTURE DESIGN:

To further create more lines of sight to the stage, we have proposed that Phase Two will include an occupiable roof. This is possible through the addition of an ADA compliant ramp and railing system. The ramp entrance was strategically placed facing east where food trucks tend to park during major green space events. This allows for fast access to rooftop picnic bench seating, subsequently minimizing overall foot traffic on the site. Since shading is a major issue for the site, our team strongly encourages the use


Figure 5.5. Phase two schematic design of picnic table umbrellas as a cost efficient and temporary solution to provide shade during the transition period between Phase Two and Three.


Figure 5.6. Phase Two ramp and occupiable roof design

## 3. Phase Three - Site Layout and Proposed Structures

## SITE LAYOUT:

All major changes in this phase happen within the bounds of the previous phase's site layout. Therefore, the Phase Three layout remains the same with the only exception being the division of storage area into two separate spaces. Figure 5.7 exhibits this division.

## STAGE DESIGN:

Remains the same as shown in Phase One (See Figure 5.2).

## RESTROOM STRUCTURE DESIGN:

The main upgrade for this phase is the construction of a permanent metal deck roof for the occupiable roof that is held up by exposed steel beams. Overtop, we are proposing solar panels along the length of the permanent roof. The east to west panel placement allows them to experience the longest duration of direct sunlight possible.

On the interior, a partition wall is proposed to divide the storage room to accommodate a concession stand on the far east end of the building. Of course, this room will also have water and electricity hookups readily available for all necessary appliances.


Figure 5.7. Phase Three schematic design


All things considered, although each phase can stand without its subsequent phases, our team encourages following through to the construction of Phase Three. Due to this phase's incorporation of solar energy, the design will require a significant initial capital expenditure but will result in reduced costs in terms of energy and maintenance expenses over time.

## SECTION VI

## Final Design Details

## SITE DESIGN

The design was based off the site's most up to date Lidar scans and was done using Civil3D. Both the bathroom and stage structures were set at an even elevation of 707.5 ft . This allowed for the most effective grading and allowed the sidewalk network to best meet ADA requirements. Grading goes from west to east, with the stage being used as a divider, grading away from the stage to reduce ponding. This follows the previous grading of the site, with most of the flow heading to the northeast and southeast corners of the parcel. The sidewalk network follows the perimeter of the stage and restroom structures, with a larger pad being inserted at the front and east of the stage and another at the west end of the bathroom structure. Connections were made to existing sidewalk networks on the southwest, southeast, and northeast ends of the parcel. Fill will be needed for the site to accommodate the raised elevation of the stage. Cut will be needed for the bathroom structure. The existing structure and pavement on the south side of the parcel will be removed, along with the existing kiosk being moved to the north end concrete pad. An overall site layout plan is located on sheet C2.01.

## STAGE DESIGN

## Design Loads:

Wind and roof snow loads were calculated using ASCE 7-22. The wind loads were calculated assuming the Main Wind Force Resisting System (MWFRS) and using the Directional Procedure (Minimum Designs, Chapter 26 and 27). The snow loads were using the horizontal projection of the roof. The ground snow load was found to be 25 psf . and the sloped roof snow load on the roof was found (Minimum Designs, Chapter 7). All final design loads were calculated using Load and Resistance Factor equations (Minimum Designs, Chapter 2).

## Layout:

The layout of the stage was thoroughly analyzed. Shading, line-of-sight, dimension constraints, and access were all factors for the layout of the stage. The stage is placed on the east side of the parcel so that the audience does not get blinded or overheated by the setting sun. The stage was elevated about 4 feet off grade due to no elevation of seating. The stage was also placed on the east side so people can watch performances from Main St. The required dimensions of the stage were 24 feet wide and 20 feet deep. An ADA ramp was required for access to the stage, and it provides an easy way to roll equipment onto the stage. The ramp has a slope of $1 / 12$ which is the maximum allowed and the width is 5 feet (ADA). The ramp is orientated to the north for easy access from the parking lot.

## Roof:

The roof was designed using a Nucor Vulcraft metal deck. The use of wood and shingle materials were not considered in the roof design due to the required maintenance over the years. Concrete on top of the metal deck was not needed for strength or added weight to
resist uplift, but this alternative was considered. The metal deck was required to hold a gravity load of 29.21 psf ., an uplift load of 9.605 psf ., and a span length of 6 ft . The metal deck chosen was a 22 Gage 1.5B-36 Grade 50 with a 36/4 connection pattern using \#12 screws. The metal deck has a fire rating of 1.5 hours per International Building Code (IBC). The metal deck is topped with a metal standing seam sheet that provides drainage of water to the east. The quantity of these two roofing materials is 880 sf . The roof can be seen on the elevation views of the drawing set. These views are on sheet S1.04.

## Box Truss:

The box trusses span horizontally between beams in the roof framing. This means the box trusses span 6 ft . The desired box truss size was an 8 in . x 8 in . truss. The truss was designed to support a load of lights, speakers, banners, etc. A 10 ft , 8 in . x 8 in . box truss can support a distributed load of 90 lb . ft . or a point load of 600 lb . at mid span per Applied Truss and Electronics ( $8 \mathrm{in} . \mathrm{x} 8 \mathrm{in}$.). Our span is only 6 ft , so these numbers are conservative. This truss provides more than enough strength for the intended use. The stage design requires 128 in . x 8 in . x 6 ft . box trusses.

## Beams:

Standard steel ASTM A992 grade 50 wide-flange hot-rolled shapes were used for the beams. Loads from the dead load of the roof and box trusses, wind load, and snow load were all accounted for in the design of the roof beam. The roof beam was designed against shear, flexure, and deflection. Capacities were found in the AISC Steel Construction Manual as well as the International Building Code. The beam shape selected for the roof was a W10x12. Five W10x12 beams with a length of 33 ft . and 9 in . are required for the stage design. These beams are cantilevered over the front of the stage to allow for a good line-of-sight from all directions around the front of the stage. All analysis was done in Robot Structural Analysis Professional 2023. The beam connecting the two masonry walls above the entrance of the stage was designed to be a W8x10 that is 24 ft long. This beam is not load-bearing and is purely for aesthetics. Therefore, no calculations were performed. The beams are shown on the stage framing plan, located on sheet S1.03.

## Moment Frame:

Standard steel ASTM A992 grade 50 wide-flange hot-rolled shapes were used for the girder and columns in the moment frame. The moment frame was designed to resist lateral loads as well as all the loads coming down through the roof beams. The span of the girder is 24 ft . and was sized as a $\mathrm{W} 12 \times 40$. The columns are $\mathrm{W} 12 \times 40$ and are at a height of 14 ft . and 6 in . The girder was designed based on strength and deflection and the column was designed based on strength and story drift. Combined axial load and moment was also checked. Capacities were found in the AISC Steel Construction Manual as well as the International Building Code. One girder and two columns are needed for the stage design. A design detail of the moment frame is located on sheet S 1.03 as well as a general elevation view located on sheet S1.04.

## Slab on Grade:

The slab covers the entire stage surface as well as the ramp. It was designed to be the same throughout since there is a 150 psf live load for stage floors (Minimum Designs, Chapter 4). The slab was designed using a resource called Industrial Slabs on Grade. After using a load factor, the design load was 240 psf . The stage and ramp slab are both 6 inches. Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) slabs that have one layer of reinforcement 2 inches from the top of the slab. The layer of reinforcement is a $6 \times 66 / 6$ welded wire fabric. The quantity of reinforced PCC is 20 cy. The concrete slab on grade detail is located on sheet S1.01.

## Cast-in-Place Stairs:

This component of the stage is placed on the north side of the stage. The stairs are designed to access the stage while maintaining a 5 ft clearance. The stairs will be designed for strength and deflection. A detailed section of the stairs is located on sheet S1.05.

## Railing:

The stage utilizes a railing called a pipe guardrail. The length of the railing on the ramp is 74 lf. This aluminum railing is located on sheet S1.05.

## Brick Veneer:

The brick veneer is a typical 4 in . nominal red brick masonry unit. These units are purely architectural and supported wall ties that are spaced at 16 in . on center. A detail of a typical wall section is located on page S1.02.

## Concrete Masonry Unit (CMU) Wall Design for Cantilever Retaining Wall:

The masonry wall was designed with 8 in. CMU blocks. This wall retains 7 ft .1 in . in the most critical location of the stage boundary. Based off table 2 in section 15-7 B of National Concrete Masonry Association TEK, the wall needs vertical reinforcement of size No. 4 rebar @ 16 in . on center. The CMU reinforcement design detail is on sheet S1.05.

## Concrete Masonry Unit (CMU) Wall Design for East Stage Walls:

These walls were designed to resist combined axial load and moment. The critical location is at the stage level right before the soil pressure aid to support the wall laterally. The reinforcement was designed using the National Concrete Masonry Association TEK. The details of the wall section are located on sheet S1.05.

## Strip Footing Design for all CMU Walls:

The retaining wall footing was designed as a continuous footing with a width of 3 ft .6 in . The footing was checked to satisfy a factor of safety (F.S.) of 1.5 for sliding and overturning, a F.S of 3 for bearing, and a maximum settlement of 0.5 in . Terzaghi's Bearing Capacity equation was used for bearing failure and Boussinesq's Simple Elastic Settlement Method was used for settlement failure. The quantity of reinforced PCC for the footings is 24 cy . Sheets S1.01 and S1.05 of the drawing set contain all strip footings with various foundation walls.

## CMU Column:

A CMU column was required for axial support underneath the W-shape steel columns. The column is concentrically loaded and is required to be a 12 in x 16 in column to fit the size of a W12x26. The strength is more than adequate which is shown in Table 2 of 1703A of National Concrete Masonry Association TEK. The required vertical reinforcement is four No. 4 bars, and the horizontal reinforcement is shown in Figure 2 of the same resource. The CMU column is shown on sheet S1.05.

## Isolated Footing for Columns:

The CMU column footing was designed as an isolated footing with a width of 3 ft .6 in . and a length of 3 ft .6 in . The footing was checked to satisfy a factor of safety (F.S.) of 1.5 for sliding and overturning, a F.S of 3 for bearing, and a maximum settlement of 0.5 in. Vesic's Bearing Capacity equation was used for bearing failure and Boussinesq's Simple Elastic Settlement Method was used for settlement failure. There are two isolated footings required for the design of the stage and the detailed drawing of the footing is located on sheet S1.05.

## PHASE ONE RESTROOM STRUCTURE DESIGN

## Overall Structure Dimensions:

The first assumption made when designing the Phase One restroom structure was assuming the client is going to implement all three phases of this project. This means specifying a building length that will completely contain Phase Two length of the ramp within the building walls. This is further discussed in the section: Phase Two Restroom Design. A building length of 78 ft . was determined for a ten ft tall building. We decided on a concrete masonry unit (CMU) structure, to minimize cost, with 8 in. x 8 in. x 16 in. blocks. This is a typical CMU block size. The length of the wall needed to be in a multiple of 8 in., and 78 ft . satisfies this constraint. The minimum clear height needed for a bathroom, in accordance with the 2012 International Building Code (IBC) section 1207.2 , is 7 ft . For the occupiable rooftop, we set our clear height at 10 ft . to enhance the lines of to the stage and account for the thickness of the roof slab, insulation, and mechanical, electrical, and plumbing (MEP) equipment. Ten ft . is also a multiple of 8 in ., which is the height of the CMU blocks. A plan view of the Phase One restroom is located on sheet A1.01. Interior elevations and exterior elevations are located on sheets A1.01 and A1.02 of the drawing set, respectively.

## Room Partitions:

We categorized the site as an auditorium without permanent seating and designed the restroom for 390 people in accordance with IBC table 2902.1. Traditional events at this green space host between 300 and 400 people. For 390 people, these are the conditions that need to be met for a female restroom: 6 water closets and 2 lavatories. These conditions need to be met for a male restroom: 3 water closets and 2 lavatories. We decided on 4 urinals in the men's restroom as well for convenience. Two ADA accessible water closets are provided in each restroom to exceed the ADA water closet requirements.

ADA required water closet dimensions and typical water closet dimensions dictated the length of each restroom. We determined the dimensions of each restroom to be roughly $16^{\prime}-8$ " from outside wall face to outside wall face and roughly 21 ft . in length from inside face of wall to inside face of wall. This left roughly 30 ft . for Phase One storage. We designed the structure to contain all openings which included two restroom doors, a door to the storage, a garage door to the storage, and a window to the Phase Three concession stand. All openings were included in Phase One to minimize future phase costs. A plan view of the Phase One restroom and interior elevations are located on sheet A1.01.

## Design Loads:

Wind and roof snow loads were calculated using ASCE 7-16 and ASCE 7-22. The wind loads were calculated assuming the Main Wind Force Resisting System (MWFRS) and using the Directional Procedure (Chapter 26 and 27). We assumed the roof as flat, so the snow load found did not have to be projected (Chapter 7). All final design loads were calculated using Load and Resistance Factor equations (LRFD) (Chapter 2). The load calculations can be found in Appendix D.

## Occupiable Roof:

The roof was designed using a Nucor Vulcraft composite deck. This style of deck was chosen because of its ability to perform under large loads, such as the live load. The composite deck was required to hold a superimposed dead load of 18.5 psf ., a live load of 100 psf., a balanced snow load of 36 psf., a negligible positive wind load, and a wind uplift force of 14 psf ., and accommodate a span length of 16 ft . The slab acts as a oneway slab, spanning between North and South walls, because the length of the slab is much larger than the width. The slab was modeled as a simply supported beam in Robot and load combinations were applied. Max shear, positive moment, negative moment, and reaction forces were checked. A special load case of live load only applied to the fourfoot overhang was checked to make sure the negative moment did not exceed the capacity. All checks were satisfied, and there was no net uplift at the reactions. Deflection was not a concern because the span table conditions were satisfied. The metal deck chosen was a 16 Gage 3VLI-36 Grade 50 and the concrete used was a normal weight ( 145 pcf.) with 4D 65/60BG Bekaert Dramix Fibers, 15 pcy. There was an additional layer of concrete on top of the composite deck for sloping purposes. This layer was also a normal weight concrete ( 145 psf .). The concrete layer is 1 in . thick at the South edge of the roof and 0 in . thick at the north edge of the roof, resulting in a $0.4 \%$ slope. In addition, a clear waterproofing membrane adhesive was applied to the top surface of the concrete.

The high-performance deck slab diaphragm strength tool was used to determine how many shear studs were required along the walls of the structure. The calculations for the roof composite deck are shown in Appendix D. Details of the occupiable roof are located on sheet A1.03.

## Occupiable Roof/Wall Connection:

A continuous A36 plate was placed along the entire length of the walls to weld the shear studs to the wall. This plate was not treated as a bearing plate because the floor load is
distributed along the entire length of the plate. Thus, there was not a significant load for the plate to act as a bearing plate. The compressive strength of the CMU block and the A36 steel plate are far greater than the distributive reaction force. An anchor screw, to connect the base plate to the wall, was chosen from the Simpson Strong Tie website. The TNTW25134TF Anchor Screw was picked. The screws don't resist tension since there was no net uplift. In plane and out of plane shear were checked for. The calculations for this connection are shown in Appendix D. Details of this connection are located on sheets A1.03 and S1.08.

## Concrete Masonry Unit (CMU) Wall Design for Simply Supported Condition:

The masonry wall was designed with 8 in. CMU blocks, which are a standard size. The 10 ft . wall resists a $155 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ moment and a 735 -kip axial force. The walls for the restroom structure are treated as simply supported at the slab and at the roof diaphragm. Based on figure 1 in section 14-11B of National Concrete Masonry Association (NCMA) TEK, the wall needed vertical reinforcement of size No. 4 @ 16 in. and lateral reinforcement was provided for the serviceability limit state. This was to prevent cracking of the CMU. The lateral reinforcement selected was a 220 Ladder-Mesh from Hohmann and Barnard Inc. website. It is recommended to be spaced between each CMU brick vertically and specified as Galvanized Steel. The calculations for the walls are shown in Appendix D. Details of the wall are located on sheets A1.03 and S1.08.

## Partition Walls:

The partition walls are non-loadbearing. Expansion layers are provided at the ends of the walls to prevent transfer of force, and a gap was left at the top of the wall to enable MEP to be run from room to room. Sections of the partition walls are located on sheet A1.03.

## Lintel:

A precast lintel size 8 in . x 16 in . was chosen to adequately support the moment and shear experienced due to the loading above the lintels. The lintel was designed for the longest span, and the same one was used for each opening. One \#6 reinforcement bar was placed at the bottom of the lintel to resist moment. This lintel was sized using table 4 in NCMA Tek 17-02A. Lintel calculations are shown in Appendix D. A section cut of the lintel will be located on sheet S1.08.

## CMU Column:

A CMU column is required for axial support underneath the W -shape steel columns from the future Phase Three roof. The column is concentrically loaded, and the column was sized using Table 2 of 17-03A of the NCMA TEK. The required vertical reinforcement is 8 No. 4 bars, and the horizontal reinforcement is 0.25 in. diameter ties every 8 in. The calculations for the column are shown in Appendix D. A section view of the CMU column is shown on sheet S 1.08 .

## Brick Veneer:

The brick veneer is a typical 4 in . red brick masonry unit. These units are purely architectural and are supported by wall ties 16 in . x 16 in . on center. The Hohmann and Barnard Inc. website recommends an embedment of 3 in. for a Concrete 2-Seal Tie for an
insulation thickness of 3 in . NCMA Tek 16-01A figure 1 recommends a maximum vertical and horizontal tie spacing of 16 in . on center for adjustable ties, which is what we chose. Our cavity width is 4 in . and the maximum cavity width for this condition is 4.5 in. Control joints are spaced every 15 ft . and under stress concentrations in the brick veneer to prevent cracking of the veneer. This is specified in NCMA Tek 10-04. The calculations for the walls are shown in Appendix D. Brick veneer details are located on sheets A1.03 and A2.02.

## Insulation:

Closed cell spray foam insulation was chosen for the roof insulation because it must adhere to the roof. The roof slab was treated as a mass floor slab in climate zone 5, so an R value of 14.6 was needed, as specified in the 2018 International Energy Conservation Code in table C402.1. A thickness of 2.5 in . closed cell insulation was determined. For the wall insulation, a mass wall was determined and an R value of 11.4 was needed. An insulation board was chosen, and a thickness of 3 in . was determined. The calculations for the insulation are shown in Appendix D. Cross sections of the insulation are located on sheet A1.03.

## Slab on Grade:

The slab covers the area of the restroom structure from outside face of wall to outside face of wall. It was designed to be the same throughout since there is a 60 psf live load for public restrooms (Chapter 4). The slab was designed using a resource called Industrial Slabs on Grade. After using a load factor, the design load was 96 psf . The slab is 5 in . Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) slab and has one layer of reinforcement 2 in from the bottom of the slab. The layer of reinforcement is a 6 in. x 6 in. $6 / 6$ welded wire fabric. A slab on grade detail is located on sheet S1.07.

## Strip Footing Design for all CMU Walls:

The wall footing was designed as a continuous footing with a width of 3 ft .0 in . The footing was checked to satisfy a factor of safety (F.S.) of 1.5 for sliding and overturning, a F.S of 3 for bearing, and a maximum settlement of 0.5 in. Terzaghi's Bearing Capacity equation was used for bearing failure and Boussinesq's Simple Elastic Settlement Method was used for settlement failure. Sheet S1.07 of the drawing set contains the detail for this footing. The calculations for the wall footing are shown in Appendix D.

## Isolated Footing for Columns:

The CMU column footing was designed as an isolated footing with a width of 4 ft .4 in . and a length of 5 ft . The footing was checked to satisfy a factor of safety (F.S.) of 1.5 for sliding and overturning, a F.S of 3 for bearing, and a maximum settlement of 0.5 in . Vesic's Bearing Capacity equation was used for bearing failure and Boussinesq's Simple Elastic Settlement Method was used for settlement failure. There are 12 isolated footings required for the design of the restroom structure and the detailed drawing of the footing is located on sheet S1.07. The calculations for the isolated column footings are shown in Appendix D.

## PHASE TWO RESTROOM STRUCTURE DESIGN

## Ramp Dimensions:

The maximum grade of a ramp, in accordance with the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) section 405.6, to have a maximum ramp rise of 30 in . is $1: 12$. Once there is a rise of 30 in . and a run of 30 ft ., there is a need for a 6 ft . long landing according to ADA section 405.7.3. We set the clear height of the building at 10 ft from the top of floor slab to the top of the roof slab. To climb a vertical distance of 10 ft ., four runs of ramp and four landings are required. This results in a ramp of length of 144 ft . This exceeds the width of the site, so we decided upon a double-back ramp resulting in a ramp length of 72 ft . Therefore, the 72 ft . Phase Two ramp is contained within the entire 78 ft . length of the building. A plan view, elevations views, and a section cut are located on sheet A2.02.

## Design Loads:

Wind and roof snow loads were calculated using ASCE 7-16 and ASCE 7-22. The wind loads on the ramp walls were calculated assuming the Main Wind Force Resisting System (MWFRS) and using the Directional Procedure (Chapter 26 and 27). The snow load was projected onto the horizontal (Chapter 7). All final design loads were calculated using Load and Resistance Factor equations (LRFD) (Chapter 2). The load calculations can be found in Appendix E.

## Concrete Masonry Unit (CMU) Wall Design for Cantilever Wall:

The masonry wall was designed with 8 in. CMU blocks. Based off table 2 in section 157B of NCMA TEK, the wall needs vertical reinforcement of size No. 5 @ 16 in. The calculations for the walls will be shown in Appendix E. A plan view of the walls and a section cut are located on sheets S 2.01 and A 2.02 , respectively.

## Strip Footing Design for CMU Walls:

The wall footing was designed as a continuous footing with a width of 3 ft .8 in . The footing was checked to satisfy a factor of safety (F.S.) of 1.5 for sliding and overturning, a F.S of 3 for bearing, and a maximum settlement of 0.5 in. Terzaghi's Bearing Capacity equation was used for bearing failure and Boussinesq's Simple Elastic Settlement Method was used for settlement failure. Sheet S2.01 of the drawing set contains the detail for this footing. The calculations for the wall footing are shown in Appendix E.

## Composite Floor Slab:

The roof was designed using a Nucor Vulcraft composite deck. This style of deck was chosen because of its ability to perform under large loads, such as the live load. The composite deck was required to accommodate a span length of 5 ft . The slab acts as a one-way slab, spanning between north and south ramp walls, because the length of the slab is much larger than the width. The metal deck chosen was a 3VLI-36 Grade 50 and the concrete used was a normal weight (145 pcf.) with 4D 65/60BG Bekaert Dramix Fibers, 45 pcy. The calculations for the composite floor slab will be shown in Appendix E. A detail of the composite floor slab and connections is located on sheet S2.01.

## Railing:

The stage utilizes a railing called a pipe guardrail. The length of the railing is 135 ft . An elevation and section cut of the railing is located on sheet A2.01. A plan view of the railing is located on sheet A2.01 as well.

## PHASE THREE RESTROOM STRUCTURE DESIGN

## Design Loads:

Wind and roof snow loads were calculated using ASCE 7-16 and ASCE 7-22. The wind loads were calculated assuming the MWFRS and Directional Procedure (Chapter 26 and 27). The snow loads were using the horizontal projection on the roof. The ground snow load was found to be 25 psf . and the snow load acting on the roof was found to be 19 psf . Design loads were calculated using LRFD equations (Chapter 2). The calculations for the design loads are shown in Appendix F.

## Metal Deck:

The metal decking on the roof was designed using Nucor Vulcraft metal deck. The use of other materials was not considered in this design. The metal deck was designed to support metal sheets, to provide water runoff, and solar panels. Uplift was calculated ignoring the weight of solar panels and was found to be 4.369 psf . The metal deck must also support a gravity load of 45.45 psf . An 18 gage 1.5B-36 Grade 50 deck with 36/4 connection pattern of $\# 12$ screws was chosen for a span of 8 ft . The metal deck connects to the joists. The metal deck in total covers an area of 1575 sf . The calculations for the metal deck are shown in Appendix F. Metal deck details are shown on sheet S3.01.

## Joists:

Standard ASTM A992 grade 50 wide-flange hot-rolled shapes were used for the joists. The only load the joists will be supporting is that of the metal deck, so they were designed using 45.45 psf . The joist was designed against flexure and deflection. Capacitates were found using AISC Steel Manual and the IBC. The size of the joist was decided at W10x12 with a length of 14 ft 9 in . The joists are located at each column, as well as halfway between column spans, with the largest being 8 feet. The joists that are spaced halfway between columns are connected to the girders and are singly coped at the top side of the joist. A cope of 4.5 in . long and 2 in . deep was used during calculations and was the coped size that was used. The calculations for the joists are shown in Appendix F. Joist details and elevations are shown on sheet S3.01.

## Girders:

Standard ASTM A992 grade 50 wide-flange hot-rolled shapers were used for the girders. The girders support the single coped joists and were designed against flexure and deflection. Capacitates were found using AISC Steel Manual and the IBC. The loads that the girder supports come from the ends of the joists at the midspan of the girder. The size of the girder was determined to be W12x14. The size was decided to have adequate connections within the web. Girders span between columns, with the longest being 16 ft . The calculations for the girders are shown in Appendix F. Girder details and elevations are shown on sheet S3.01.

## Columns:

Standard ASTM A992 grade 50 wide-flange hot-rolled shapes were used for the columns. The columns support the girders within the flanges and the joists within the web. Exterior columns support one girder and one joist, while interior columns support two girders and one joist. The columns were designed against flexure and deflection. Capacitates were found using AISC Steel Manual and the IBC. The column size was found to be W12x14. The columns were spaced throughout the length of the occupiable roof, with the longest span being 16 ft . The north side columns have a length of 9.5 ft , while the south side columns have a length of 8.5 ft . The columns are anchored to the deck, with an 8 by 12inch section being cut from the bathroom structures composite roof deck and filled with normal weight concrete. The calculations for the columns are shown in Appendix F. Girder details and elevations are shown on sheet S3.01.

## SECTION VII

## Engineer's Cost Estimate

| STAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line Number | Item | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price |  | Material |  |  | Labor |  | Total | Contingency |  |  | 0 \& P |  | Total |
| B2010 1305200 | Brick Veneer/Metal Stud Backup | 1100 | SF | \$ | 7.30 | \$ 8,030.00 |  | \$ | 21,285.00 | \$ | 29,315.00 | \$ 2,931.50 |  | \$ | 5,863.00 | \$ | 38,109.50 |
| B2010 1321200 | 3rick Face Composite Wall - Double Wytht | 1310 | SF | \$ | 10.90 |  | 14,279.00 | \$ | 32,750.00 | \$ | 47,029.00 | \$ | 4,702.90 | \$ | 9,405.80 | \$ | 61,137.70 |
|  | A992 Steel | 2.17 | ton | \$1,500.00 |  |  | \$ 3,255.00 | \$ | 7,595.00 | \$ | 10,850.00 | \$ | 1,085.00 | \$ | 2,170.00 | \$ | 14,105.00 |
|  | A36 Steel Plate ( $8 \times 18 \times 0.5$ ) | 5 | each | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | 375.00 | \$ | 37.50 | \$ | 75.00 | \$ | 487.50 |
|  | A36 Steel Plate ( $4 \times 8 \times 0.5$ ) | 5 | each | \$ | 19.00 | \$ | 95.00 | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | 220.00 | \$ | 22.00 | \$ | 44.00 | \$ | 286.00 |
|  | Box Trusses | 12 | each | \$ 300.00$\$ 1,500.00$ |  |  | 3,600.00 | \$ | 3,600.00 | \$ | 7,200.00 | \$ | 720.00 | \$ | 1,440.00 | \$ | 9,360.00 |
| C2010 1100470 | Cast-in-place stairs | 0.58 | flight |  |  | \$ | 875.00 | \$ | 1,472.92 | \$ | 2,347.92 | \$ | 234.79 | \$ | 469.58 | \$ | 3,052.29 |
| A1030 1204480 | Concrete Slab on Grade | 1080 | SF | \$ | 3.59 | \$ | 3,877.20 | \$ | 3,769.20 | \$ | 7,646.40 | \$ | 764.64 | \$ | 1,529.28 | \$ | 9,940.32 |
| A1010 1051780 | Foundation Walls | 190 | LF | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | 6,650.00 | \$ | 12,255.00 | \$ | 18,905.00 | \$ | 1,890.50 | \$ | 3,781.00 | \$ | 24,576.50 |
| A1010 2107200 | Isolated Footing | 2 | each | \$ | 68.00 | \$ | 136.00 | \$ | 266.00 | \$ | 402.00 | \$ | 40.20 | \$ | 80.40 | \$ | 522.60 |
|  | Metal Deck | 880 | SF | \$ | 12.00 |  | 10,560.00 | \$ | 3,520.00 | \$ | 14,080.00 | \$ | 1,408.00 | \$ | 2,816.00 | \$ | 18,304.00 |
|  | Metal Seam Sheet | 880 | SF | \$ | 11.60 |  | 10,208.00 | \$ | 5,764.00 | \$ | 15,972.00 |  | 1,597.20 | \$ | 3,194.40 | \$ | 20,763.60 |
|  | Aluminum Railing | 74 | LF | \$ | 110.00 | \$ | 8,140.00 | \$ | 5,920.00 | \$ | 14,060.00 | \$ 1 | 1,406.00 | \$ | 2,812.00 | \$ | 18,278.00 |
| A1010 1104700 | Strip Footing | 200 | LF | \$ | 39.00 | \$ | 7,800.00 | \$ | 7,600.00 | \$ | 15,400.00 | \$ 1,540.00 |  | \$ | 3,080.00 | \$ | 20,020.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 183,802.32 |  | \$ 18,380.23 |  | \$ | 36,760.46 | \$ | 238,943.01 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RESTROOM PHASE 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Foundation Walls | 240 | LF | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | 8,400.00 | \$ | 15,480.00 | \$ | 23,880.00 | \$ | 2,388.00 | \$ | 4,776.00 | \$ | 31,044.00 |
| A1010 1103300 | Strip Footing | 240 | LF | \$ | 39.00 | \$ | 9,360.00 | \$ | 9,120.00 | \$ | 18,480.00 | \$ | 1,848.00 | \$ | 3,696.00 | \$ | 24,024.00 |
| D2010 1102120 | Water Closet Systems, Floor Mount | 9 | each | \$ | 885.00 | \$ | 7,965.00 | \$ | 7,830.00 | \$ | 15,795.00 | \$ | 1,579.50 | \$ | 3,159.00 | \$ | 20,533.50 |
| D2010 2102000 | Urinals | 4 | each | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | 2,400.00 | \$ | 3,620.00 | \$ | 6,020.00 | \$ | 602.00 | \$ | 1,204.00 | \$ | 7,826.00 |
| D2010 3102040 | Sinks | 4 | each | \$ | 915.00 | \$ | 3,660.00 | \$ | 3,560.00 | \$ | 7,220.00 | \$ | 722.00 | \$ | 1,444.00 | \$ | 9,386.00 |
| D2010 8101920 | Drinking Fountains | 2 | each |  | 1,350.00 | \$ | 2,700.00 | \$ | 1,060.00 | \$ | 3,760.00 | \$ | 376.00 | \$ | 752.00 | \$ | 4,888.00 |
| C1030 110400 | Toilet Partitions, Painted Metal | 9 | each | \$ | 615.00 | \$ | 5,535.00 | \$ | 2,835.00 | \$ | 8,370.00 | \$ | 837.00 | \$ | 1,674.00 | \$ | 10,881.00 |
| C1030 1101330 | Toilet Partitions, Plastic Laminate | 3 | each | \$ | 227.00 | \$ | 681.00 | \$ | 468.00 | \$ | 1,149.00 | \$ | 114.90 | \$ | 229.80 | \$ | 1,493.70 |
|  | Hand Dryer | 4 | each | \$ | 250.00 | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | 624.00 | \$ | 1,624.00 | \$ | 162.40 | \$ | 324.80 | \$ | 2,111.20 |
| B2030 2203350 | Exterior Doors | 3 | each | \$2,500.00 |  |  | \$ 7,500.00 | \$ | 1,050.00 | \$ | 8,550.00 | \$ | 855.00 | \$ | 1,710.00 | \$ | 11,115.00 |
| C1020 1025000 | Garage Door | 1 | each | \$ | 790.00 | \$ | 790.00 | \$ | 895.00 | \$ | 1,685.00 |  | \$ 168.50 | \$ | 337.00 | \$ | 2,190.50 |
| B2020 1066700 | Exterior Windows | 1 | each | \$ | 395.00 | \$ | 395.00 | \$ | 238.00 | \$ | 633.00 |  | \$ 63.30 | \$ | 126.60 | \$ | 822.90 |
| B2010 1321200 | 3rick Face Composite Wall - Double Wythe | 1581 | SF | \$ | 10.90 |  | 17,232.90 | \$ | 39,525.00 | \$ | 56,757.90 | \$ 5,675.79 |  | \$ | 11,351.58 | \$ | 73,785.27 |
|  | Rigid Insulation, $3^{\prime \prime}$ | 99 | each | \$ | 50.00 |  | 4,940.63 | \$ | 988.13 | \$ | 5,928.75 | $\$ \quad 592.88$ |  | \$ | 1,185.75 | \$ | 7,707.38 |
|  | Spray Foam Insulation, 2.5" | 1362 | LF | \$ | 2.00 | \$ | 2,724.00 | \$ | 13,620.00 | \$ | 16,344.00 | \$ 1,634.40 |  | \$ | 3,268.80 | \$ | 21,247.20 |
|  | A36(Length of wall, $10^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime} \times 0.5{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) | 18 | each | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | 540.00 | \$ | 450.00 | \$ | 990.00 |  | \$ 99.00 | \$ | 198.00 | \$ | 1,287.00 |
|  | 0.75 " Screws(200 count) | 1 | pack | \$ | 240.00 | \$ | 240.00 | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | 340.00 | \$ 34.00 |  | \$ | 68.00 | \$ | 442.00 |
|  | Liquid Applied Waterproofing Membrane | 4 | each | \$ | 132.00 | \$ | 528.00 | \$ | 100.00 | \$ | 628.00 | \$ 62.80 |  | \$ | 125.60 | \$ | 816.40 |
| B3010 6100050 | Gutters | 80 | LF | \$ | 3.31 | \$ | 264.80 | \$ | 488.00 | \$ | 752.80 |  | \$ 75.28 | \$ | 150.56 | \$ | 978.64 |
| B3010 6200100 | Downspouts | 20 | LF | \$ | 1.20 | \$ | 24.00 | \$ | 78.00 | \$ | 102.00 |  | \$ 10.20 | \$ | 20.40 | \$ | 132.60 |
| B3010 4202800 | Roof Edge, Sheet Metal | 202 | LF | \$ | 18.50 | \$ | 3,737.00 | \$ | 2,383.60 | \$ | 6,120.60 | \$ | \$ 612.06 | \$ | 1,224.12 | \$ | 7,956.78 |
| B1010 2173700 | Cast in Place Slab, One Way | 1613 | SF | \$ | 6.90 |  | 11,129.70 | \$ | 16,694.55 | \$ | 27,824.25 | \$ | 2,782.43 | \$ | 5,564.85 | \$ | 36,171.53 |
| C1010 1022000 | Cocrete Block Paritions - Regular Weight | 545 | SF | \$ | 3.13 |  | 1,705.85 | \$ | 4,305.50 | \$ | 6,011.35 |  | \$ 601.14 | \$ | 1,202.27 | \$ | 7,814.76 |
| 2111-8174100 | Subgrade | 1362 | SF | \$ | 11.02 |  | 15,009.24 | \$ | 4,753.38 | \$ | 19,762.62 |  | \$ 1,976.26 | \$ | 3,952.52 | \$ | 25,691.41 |
| 5017110500 | MEP (Mixed Use) | 1362 | SF | \$ | 30.00 |  | 40,860.00 | \$ | 27,240.00 | \$ | 68,100.00 |  | \$ 6,810.00 | \$ | 13,620.00 | \$ | 88,530.00 |
| A1030 1204480 | Concrete Slab on Grade | 1362 | SF | \$ | 3.59 |  | 4,889.58 | \$ | 4,753.38 | \$ | 9,642.96 | \$ 964.30 |  | \$ | 1,928.59 | \$ | 12,535.85 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 292,591.23 |  | \$ 29,259.12 |  | $\$$ | 58,518.25 | \$ | 411,412.60 |


| RESTROOM PHASE 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1010 1103300 | Strip Footing | 175 | LF | \$ | 39.00 | \$ 6,825.00 | \$ | 6,650.00 | \$ | 6,825.00 | \$ | 3,962.62 | \$ | 7,925.24 | \$ | 18,712.86 |
|  | Foundation Walls | 167 | LF | \$ | 35.00 | \$ 5,845.00 | \$ | 10,771.50 | \$ | 16,616.50 | \$ | 1,661.65 | \$ | 3,323.30 | \$ | 21,601.45 |
|  | Railing | 319 | LF | \$ | 110.00 | \$ 35,090.00 | \$ | 25,520.00 | \$ | 60,610.00 | \$ | 6,061.00 | \$ | 12,122.00 | \$ | 78,793.00 |
| B1010 2172800 | Cast in Place Slab, One Way | 770 | SF | \$ | 5.30 | \$ 4,081.00 | \$ | 7,815.50 | \$ | 11,896.50 | \$ | 1,189.65 | \$ | 2,379.30 | \$ | 15,465.45 |
| B2010 1305200 | Brick Veneer/Metal Stud Backup | 1740 | SF | \$ | 7.30 | \$ 12,702.00 | \$ | 33,669.00 | \$ | 46,371.00 | \$ | 4,637.10 | \$ | 9,274.20 | \$ | 60,282.30 |
| B2010 1321200 | 3rick Face Composite Wall - Double Wythe | 1740 | SF | \$ | 10.90 | \$ 18,966.00 | \$ | 43,500.00 | \$ | 62,466.00 | \$ | 6,246.60 | \$ | 12,493.20 | \$ | 81,205.80 |


| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 204,785.00 |  |  | 23,758.62 | \$ | 47,517.24 | \$ | 276,060.86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RESTROOM PHASE 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Metal Deck | 1576 | SF |  | \$ 12.00 |  | 18,912.00 | \$ |  | 48.00 | \$ | 18,960.00 | \$ | 1,896.00 | \$ | 3,792.00 | \$ | 24,648.00 |
|  | Solar Panels | 40 | each | \$ | 350.00 |  | \$ 14,000.00 | \$ |  | 8,750.00 | \$ | 22,750.00 | \$ | 2,275.00 | \$ | 4,550.00 | \$ | 29,575.00 |
| C1010 1022000 | Cocrete Block Paritions - Regular Weight | 272.5 | SF | \$ | 3.13 | \$ | \$ 852.93 | \$ |  | 2,152.75 | \$ | 3,005.68 | \$ | 300.57 | \$ | 601.14 | \$ | 3,907.38 |
| B3010 1355000 | Standing Seam Formed Metal | 1576 | SF | \$ | 11.10 |  | 17,493.60 | \$ |  | 64.38 | \$ | 17,557.98 | \$ | 1,755.80 | \$ | 3,511.60 | \$ | 22,825.37 |
| D2010 3102040 | Connections(Plate and Screw) | 40 | each | \$ | 30.00 |  | \$ 1,200.00 | \$ |  | 750.00 | \$ | 1,950.00 | \$ | 195.00 | \$ | 390.00 | \$ | 2,535.00 |
|  | Refridgerator | 1 | each |  | \$3,000.00 |  | \$ 3,000.00 | \$ |  | 890.00 | \$ | 3,890.00 | \$ | 389.00 | \$ | 778.00 | \$ | 5,057.00 |
|  | Sink | 1 | each | \$ | 915.00 |  | \$ 915.00 | \$ |  | 890.00 | \$ | 1,805.00 | \$ | 180.50 | \$ | 361.00 | \$ | 2,346.50 |
|  | A992 Steel | 1.83 | ton |  | 1,500.00 |  | \$ 2,746.88 | \$ |  | 6,409.38 | \$ | 9,156.25 | \$ | 915.63 | \$ | 1,831.25 | \$ | 11,903.13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 79,074.91 | \$ | 7,907.49 | \$ | 15,814.98 | \$ | 102,797.38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SITE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lighting | 7 | each | \$ | 200.00 |  | \$ 1,400.00 | \$ |  | 24.43 | \$ | 1,424.43 | \$ | 142.44 | \$ | 284.89 | \$ | 1,851.76 |
| 2610-0000120 | Trees | 3 | each | \$ | 470.00 |  | \$ 1,410.00 | \$ |  | 300.00 | \$ | 1,710.00 | \$ | 171.00 | \$ | 342.00 | \$ | 2,223.00 |
| 2552-0000140 | Excavation for Tree Growth | 75 | CY | \$ | 35.00 |  | \$ 2,625.00 | \$ |  | 1,500.00 | \$ | 4,125.00 | \$ | 412.50 | \$ | 825.00 | \$ | 5,362.50 |
| 2107-0425020 | Fill | 375 | CY | \$ | 23.00 |  | \$ 8,625.00 | \$ |  | 1,308.75 | \$ | 9,933.75 | \$ | 993.38 | \$ | 1,986.75 | \$ | 12,913.88 |
| 2552-0000140 | Cut | 82 | CY | \$ | 35.00 |  | \$ 2,870.00 | \$ |  | 286.18 | \$ | 3,156.18 | \$ | 315.62 | \$ | 631.24 | \$ | 4,103.03 |
|  | New Benches | 3 | each |  | 1,000.00 |  | \$ 3,000.00 | \$ |  | 600.00 | \$ | 3,600.00 | \$ | 360.00 | \$ | 720.00 | \$ | 4,680.00 |
|  | Picnic Tables | 6 | each |  | \$1,000.00 |  | \$ 6,000.00 | \$ |  | 1,200.00 | \$ | 7,200.00 | \$ | 720.00 | \$ | 1,440.00 | \$ | 9,360.00 |
| 2401-6745650 | tructure Removal(Sculpture, tables, kiosk | 2 | each | \$ | 350.00 |  | \$ 700.00 | \$ |  | 500.00 | \$ | 1,200.00 | \$ | 120.00 | \$ | 240.00 | \$ | 1,560.00 |
| 2510-6745850 | Pavement Removal | 47 | SY | \$ | 11.00 |  | \$ 517.00 | \$ |  | 164.03 | \$ | 681.03 | \$ | 68.10 | \$ | 136.21 | \$ | 885.34 |
| 2111-8174100 | Subgrade | 2888 | SF | \$ | 11.00 |  | \$31,768.00 | \$ |  | 10,079.12 | \$ | 41,847.12 | \$ | 4,184.71 | \$ | 8,369.42 | \$ | 54,401.26 |
| A1030 1204480 | Concrete Slab on Grade | 2888 | SF | \$ | 3.59 |  | \$ 10,367.92 | \$ |  | 10,079.12 | \$ | 20,447.04 | \$ | 2,044.70 | \$ | 4,089.41 | \$ | 26,581.15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 20,447.04 | \$ | 2,044.70 | \$ | 34,879.89 | \$ | 123,921.92 |


| STAGE, PHASE 1, AND SITE TOTAL | $\$ 774,500.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| STAGE, PHASE 1 AND 2, AND SITE TOTAL | $\$ 1,050,500.00$ |
| ALL PHASES AND SITE TOTAL | $\$ \mathbf{1 , 1 5 3 , 5 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
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## Appendix B: Design Renderings and Models

## PHASE ONE



Figure 8B.1. Phase One overall site design - S Main St view


Figure 8B.2. Phase One overall site design - Person point of view


Figure 8B.3. Phase One overall site design with trees omitted.


Figure 8B.4. Stage design for all phases - Angled view


Figure 8B.5. Stage design for all phases - Front view


Figure 8B.6. Stage design for all phases - Side view


Figure 8B.7. Phase One restroom structure - Front view


Figure 8B.8. Phase One restroom structure - Side view


Figure 8B.9. Phase One restroom structure - Back view

## PHASE TWO



Figure 8B.10. Phase Two overall site design with trees omitted


Figure 8B.11. Phase Two restroom structure - Front angled view


Figure 8B.12. Phase Two restroom structure - Back side view

## PHASE THREE



Figure 8B.13. Phase Three overall site design - S Main St and E Pleasant St intersection view


Figure 8B.14. Phase Three overall site design with trees omitted


Figure 8B.15. Phase Three overall site design - Back aerial view from E Pleasant St


Figure 8B.16. Phase Three restroom structure - Front view


Figure 8B.17. Phase Three restroom structure - Front angled view


Figure 8B.18. Phase Three restroom structure - Back angled view


Figure 8B.19. Phase Three restroom structure - Occupiable roof view


Figure 8B.20. Phase Three restroom structure - Concession stand view

## PHASE THREE AT NIGHT



Figure 8B.21. Nighttime Phase Three overall site design - S Main St view


Figure 8B.22. Nighttime Phase Three overall site design - From north looking south view


Figure 8B.23. Nighttime Phase Three overall site design - Northeast parking lot view


Figure 8B.24. Nighttime Phase Three restroom structure - Occupiable roof view

## FLOORPLAN MODELS



Figure 8B.25. Phase One floorplan


Figure 8B.26. Phase Two floorplan


Figure 8B.27. Phase Three floorplan

## LOAD CALCULATIONS:



## DESIGN WIND PRESSURES FOR THE ROOF

## of Height:

$\binom{20 f t+3$ in $+17 f t+2$ in +9}{4} in 16 ( $18.638 f t$
(Height Modeled on Revit)

Pressure Exposure Coefficient:

$$
K_{h}:=0.61
$$

(ASCE 7-22: Table 26.10-1)

## Pressure:

```
\(0.00256 p s f \quad \cdot K_{h} \cdot K_{z t} \cdot K_{d} \cdot V^{2} \cdot I=15.4823 p s f \quad\) (ASCE 7 -22: Equation 26.10-1)
```

from the east:
80
angle is $<7.5 \%$, use values for $0 \% \ldots$ which means wind loads will be a horizontal projection

Pressure Exposure Coefficient:
$K_{z}:=0.58$
(ASCE 7-22: Table 26.10-1)

Pressure:
$0.00256 p s f \quad \cdot K_{z} \cdot K_{z t} \cdot K_{d} \cdot V^{2} \cdot I=14.7209 p s f$
(ASCE 7-22: Equation 26.10-1)

## Loads EW:

| Pressure Coefficients |  | $G C_{p i_{-} 1}:=0.18$ | $G C_{p i \_2}$ | 0.18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ssure Coefficients |  | $C_{p_{-} W W}:=0.8$ | $C_{P \_S W}:=-0.7$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $L^{L}=0.1$ |
|  |  | $L:=3.25 \mathrm{ft} \quad B:=32.5 \mathrm{ft}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $B$ |
|  |  | $C_{P_{-} L W}=-0.5$ |  |  |

$K_{d} \cdot G \cdot C_{p}-q_{i} \cdot K_{d} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)$
$\left.q_{z} \cdot K_{d} \cdot G \cdot C_{p_{-} W W}-q_{i} \cdot K_{d} \cdot\left(\mid G C_{p i_{-} l}\right)\right)=6.2564 p s f$ $\left.q_{h} \cdot K_{d} \cdot G \cdot C_{p_{-} L W}-q_{i} \cdot K_{d} \cdot\left(\mid G C_{p i_{-} 1}\right)\right)=-7.8453 p s f$ $q_{h} \cdot K_{d} \cdot G \cdot C_{p_{-} S W} q_{i} \cdot K_{d} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i_{-} 1}\right)\right)=-10.0825 \mathrm{psf}$
$\left.q_{z} \cdot K_{d} \cdot G \cdot C_{p_{-} W W}-q_{i} \cdot K_{d} \cdot\left(\mid G C_{p i_{-} 2}\right)\right)=10.761 p s f$ $q_{h} \cdot K_{d} \cdot G \cdot C_{p_{-} L W}-q_{i} \cdot K_{d} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i \_2}\right)\right)=-3.3407 p s f$
$q_{h} \cdot K_{d} \cdot G \cdot C_{p_{-} S W}-q_{i} \cdot K_{d} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i_{-} 2}\right)\right)=-5.5779 p s f$


Loads NS:

Pressure Coefficients
ssure Coefficients

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G C_{p i_{-} 1}:=0.18 & G C_{p i_{-} 2}:=-0.18 \\
C_{p_{-} w W}:=0.8 & C_{p_{-} s w}:=-0.7
\end{array}
$$

$$
L:=32.5 \mathrm{ft} B:=3.25 \mathrm{ft} \quad L=
$$

## NOW LOAD CALCULATIONS:

load: use the horizontal projection
area:

$$
L:=33.9271 \mathrm{ft}
$$

$W:=26 f t$
$A:=L \cdot W=882.1046 f t^{2}$
$p s f$
ground snow load

## oad Parameters:



## DECK:

## 36 / 4 Connection Pattern to Supports with

 \#12 ScrewSupport Member A992 GR50


| Occupancy ** | Min. Slab <br> Thickness | Reinforcement $\ddagger$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| abs under other slabs | $2^{\prime \prime}$ | None |
| tic or light commercial <br> 1 less than 100 psf) | $\mathbf{4}^{\prime \prime}$ | One layer $6 \times 610 / 10$ welded wire <br> fabric, minimum for ideal conditions: <br> $6 \times 68 / 8$ for average conditions. |
| ercial-institutional-barns <br> $1100-200$ paf) | $5^{\prime \prime}$ | One layer $6 \times 68 / 8$ welded wire fabric <br> or one layer $6 \times 66 / 6$. |
| rial (loaded not over 400-500 <br> d pavements for industrial <br> gas stations, and garages | $6^{\prime \prime}$ | One layer $6 \times 66 / 6$ welded wire fabric <br> or one layer $6 \times 64 / 4$. |

```
oors := 150 psf
    (ASCE 7-22: Table 4.3-1)
LLstagefloors}=240 psf
```

slab is required for the stage floor as well as the ramp. One layer of $6 \times 66 / 6$ welded wire fabric will be used for reinforcement " from the top of the slab.

## ATING FOR ROOF:

ck fire rating: 1.5 hours
fire rating: none


## DESIGN:

or flexure, deflection, and shear at supports)

$$
f t \quad q_{\text {roof }}:=3 p s f
$$

## ction: W10x12

oad Combinations:

$$
+1.6 L+\left(0.5 L_{r} \text { or } 0.3 S \text { or } 0.5 R\right)
$$

$$
+\left(1.6 L_{r} \text { or } 1.0 S \text { or } 1.6 R\right)+(L \text { or } 0.5 W)
$$

$$
+1.0\left(W \text { or } W_{T}\right)+L+\left(0.5 L_{r} \text { or } 0.3 S \text { or } 0.5 R\right)
$$

$$
+1.0\left(W \text { or } W_{T}\right)
$$

\[

\]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left|w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\rangle\right)=182.754 \mathrm{lbf} \\
& \cdot P_{\text {LoadedTruss }}=618 \mathrm{lbf}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { lbf } \quad A:=3.54 \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad t:=53.8 \mathrm{in}^{4} \quad E:=29000 \mathrm{ksi} \quad F_{y}:=50 \mathrm{ksi} \quad Z_{x}:=12.6 \mathrm{in}^{3} \\
\mathrm{ft}^{3}
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{ft}^{3} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
A \cdot W=0.295 \stackrel{l b f}{f t} \\
\\
\text { oof } \cdot b_{\text {trib }}+w_{\text {beam }}=18.295 \\
\\
\end{array} \\
& 18.9 \mathrm{psf} \quad w_{s}:=p_{\text {snow }} \cdot b_{\text {trib }}=113.4 \mathrm{lbf} \\
& 15.8 \mathrm{psf} \quad w_{w}:=q_{\text {wind }} \cdot b_{\text {trib }}=94.8 \mathrm{lbf} \\
& \text { Truss :=15 lbf+500 lbf=515ft. } \mathrm{lbf}
\end{aligned}
$$


gram for an interior roof beam (lbf.)

n diagram for an interior roof beam (in.)
parameters for a W10x12 beam:

Strength due to yielding: LTB doesn't apply because $L_{b} \leq L_{p}$

$$
\text { vable }:=\phi_{b} \cdot F_{y} \cdot Z_{x}=47.25 \mathrm{kip} \cdot f t
$$

$$
M_{\max }:=18 \mathrm{kip} \cdot f t \quad \text { OK }
$$

e deflection:

$$
e:=\frac{L}{240}=1.6964 \mathrm{in}
$$

## NT FRAME DESIGN:

moment frame:
odds:
$\max =5.8 \mathrm{kip} \quad P_{\text {ext }}:=\frac{V_{\max }}{2}=2.9 \mathrm{kip}$

$$
:={ }_{2}^{1} \cdot t_{\text {roof }} \cdot L \cdot p_{\text {positive }}=0.1978 \mathrm{kip} \quad P_{\text {roof_neg }}:={ }_{2}^{1} \cdot t_{\text {roof }} \cdot L \cdot p_{\text {negative }}=-0.1853 \mathrm{kip}
$$



## DESIGN CAPACITIES:

$00 \mathrm{ksi} \quad F_{y}:=50 \mathrm{ksi} \quad L:=24 \mathrm{ft} \quad \phi_{b}:=0.9 \quad L_{b}:=6 \mathrm{ft}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{\text {roof }}:={ }_{12}^{13} f t \\
& p_{\text {negative }}:=p_{S W I}=-10.0825 p s f \\
& \rho_{\text {positive }} \cdot b_{f=0.0058} \begin{array}{r}
\text { kip } \\
f t
\end{array} \quad w_{\text {neg }}:=p_{\text {negative }} \cdot b_{f=-0.0055} \text { kip } \\
& :=p_{W W 2}=10.761 p s f
\end{aligned}
$$

Stre igth:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& :=\text { if } L_{b} \leq L_{p} \\
& \mathrm{I}=213.75 \mathrm{sip} \cdot \mathrm{ft} \\
& { }^{\|} \phi \cdot F \cdot Z \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { else if } L_{p}<L_{b} \leq L_{r} \\
\|^{\|} C_{b} \cdot\left\{F_{y} \cdot Z_{x}-\left(\left(F_{y} \cdot Z_{x}-0.7 \cdot 1_{y} \cdot S_{x}\right)\right) \cdot\binom{\left.\left(L_{b}-L_{p}\right)\right)!}{\left.\left.L_{r}-L_{p}\right)\right) ।}\right.
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$=213.75 \mathrm{kip} \cdot \mathrm{ft}$
n deflection:



## COLUMN DESIGN CAPACITIES (A3 and C3):

$00 \mathrm{ksi} F_{y}:=50 \mathrm{ksi} \quad G:=11200 \mathrm{ksi} \quad \mathrm{L}:=14.5 \mathrm{ft} \quad \phi_{b}:=0.9 \quad \phi:=0.9$
y drift
.348 in

N SIZE: W12x26

| $7 \mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $b_{y}:=8.01 \mathrm{in}$ | $t_{w}:=0.295 \mathrm{in}$ | $t_{f}:=0.515 \mathrm{in}$ | $d:=11.9 \mathrm{in}$ | $y_{b a r}:=\frac{d}{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{in}^{4}$ | $I_{y}:=44.1 \mathrm{in}^{4}$ | $S_{x}:=51.5 \mathrm{in}^{3}$ | $Z_{x}:=57 \mathrm{in}^{3}$ | $r_{x}:=5.13 \mathrm{in}$ | $r_{y}:=1.94 \mathrm{in}$ |  |
| $16 \mathrm{in}^{4}$ | $C_{w}:=1440 \mathrm{in}^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\tau^{2} \cdot E \\
L_{e x} \\
r_{x}
\end{array}\right)^{2}=388.7353 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
8 L
$$

$$
\tau^{2} \cdot E
$$

$$
=55.5933 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
3 \cdot L
$$

$$
y_{o}:=y_{b a r}
$$

$$
I^{x}+I_{y}+x^{2}+y^{2}=8.0877 \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
A
$$

$$
1 \cdot r_{o}^{2} \cdot\left(L_{e z}^{2}+E \cdot C_{w}+G \cdot J\right)=41.0526 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
n\left(\left(F_{e x}, F_{e y}, F_{e z}\right)\right)=41.0526 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

Strength of column:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
E \\
0.7 \cdot F_{y}
\end{array} \sqrt{J \cdot c}+\sqrt{J \cdot h_{o}}+\sqrt{(J \cdot c}()^{2}+6.76 \cdot\binom{(0.7 \cdot F)^{2}}{S_{x} \cdot h_{o}}^{y}\right)^{2}=21.1445 f t
$$

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l|}
=\text { if }_{\|} L_{b} \leq L_{p} & \mid=190.791 \mathrm{kip} \cdot f t
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| b \quad y \quad x \\
& \text { else if } L_{p}<L_{b} \leq L_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| C_{b} \cdot \pi^{2} \cdot E \cdot \int^{1+0.078 \cdot J \cdot c \cdot\left(L_{b}\right)_{2}} \\
& S_{x} \cdot h_{o}\left(r_{t s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\|\left(r_{t s}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =14.5 \mathrm{ft} \\
& 6 \cdot r_{y} \cdot \sqrt{E}=6.8525 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \sqrt{I_{y}} \cdot C_{w} \\
& S_{x}=2.2121 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$


ce diagram for the moment frame

| e527 kip | OK |
| :--- | :--- |
| e_c $=480.3149 \mathrm{kip}$ | OK |


moment diagram for the moment frame

| $=213.75 \mathrm{kip} \cdot f t$ |  | OK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $190.791 \mathrm{kip} \cdot f t$ |  |

$U=0.0880$

n $\frac{P_{r}}{P_{c}} \geq 0.2$

$$
\frac{P_{r}}{P_{c}}+\frac{8}{9}\left(\frac{M_{r x}}{M_{c x}}+\frac{M_{r y}}{M_{c y}}\right) \leq 1.0
$$

n $\frac{P_{r}}{P_{c}}<0.2$

$$
\frac{P_{r}}{2 P_{c}}+\left(\frac{M_{r x}}{M_{c x}}+\frac{M_{r y}}{M_{c y}}\right) \leq 1.0
$$

$$
P_{r}:=11.67 \mathrm{kip}
$$

$M_{n_{-} c} \quad M_{r}:=33 \mathrm{kip} \cdot \mathrm{ft}$

## 0243 Use (b)

## For design according to Section B3.1 (LRFD):

$P_{r}=$ required axial strength, determined in accordance with Chapter C , usi LRFD load combinations, kips (N)
$P_{c}=\phi_{c} P_{n}=$ design axial strength, determined in accordance with Chapter kips (N)
$M_{r}=$ required flexural strength, determined in accordance with Chapter using LRFD load combinations, kip-in. (N-mm)
$M_{c}=\phi_{b} M_{n}=$ design flexural strength determined in accordance with Chapte kip-in. (N-mm)
$\phi_{c}=$ resistance factor for compression $=0.90$
$\phi_{b}=$ resistance factor for flexure $=0.90$

## For design according to Section B3.1 (LRFD):

$P_{r}=$ required axial strength, determined in accordance with Chapter C , us LRFD load combinations, kips (N)
$P_{c}=\phi_{I} P_{n}=$ design axial strength, determined in accordance with Section I kips (N)
$M_{r}=$ required flexural strength, determined in accordance with Chapter C, us LRFD load combinations, kip-in. (N-mm)
$M_{c}=\phi_{b} M_{n}=$ design flexural strength, determined in accordance with Chapte kip-in. (N-mm)
$\phi_{t}=$ resistance factor for tension (see Section D2)
$\phi_{b}=$ resistance factor for flexure $=0.90$

## riteria:


f wall:

$$
H_{\text {total }}:=F I F \quad " 7 ' "
$$

$$
H_{\text {wall }}:=F I F \quad " 4 ' »
$$

Need an extra 3 ft of height due to frost line
ss of footing/
$t_{f}:=F I F \quad$ "1' $8 "$,

$$
t_{s}:=6 \mathrm{in}
$$

footing:
Footing:
$B:=F I F \quad$ "3' 8 "
$D_{f}:=3 f t+t_{f}$

DUE TO FROST LINE AT 4 ft .
arth Pressure:

$$
\left.K_{a}:=\tan \left(\begin{array}{l}
\pi \\
4
\end{array} \phi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0.3333
$$

$\gamma_{\text {fill }} \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {total }}+t_{f}\right)\right)^{2} \cdot K_{a}=1.5022 \begin{aligned} & \text { kip } \\ & f t\end{aligned}$
ing Moment:
rning $:=P_{a}^{\left(\left(H_{\text {total }}+t_{f}\right)\right)} \begin{gathered}=4.3398 \\ 3\end{gathered} \quad \mathrm{kip} \cdot \mathrm{ft}$

Moment:
nt weights:
moment arms:
on wall:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{m}:=\left(\left(t_{\text {cmu }}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{c m u}+\left(\left(t_{\text {brick }} \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{\text {brick }}\right)\right)=0.5067^{\mathrm{klp}} \mathrm{ft} \quad r_{m}:=\begin{array}{r}
\boldsymbol{B} \\
2
\end{array}=1.8333 \mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{\text {wall }}:=\left(\left(t_{\text {brick }}+t_{\text {cmu }}+t_{\text {air }}+1 \text { in }\right) \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {total }}-H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{\text {conc }}=0.525 \begin{array}{r}
\text { kip } \\
f t
\end{array} \quad r_{\text {wall }}:=\begin{array}{r}
B \\
2
\end{array}=1.8333 \mathrm{ft}\right. \\
& W_{f}:=t_{f} \cdot B \cdot \gamma_{c o n c}=0.9167 \begin{array}{l}
\text { kip } \\
\\
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Pressure:

0 psf

capacity:
's continuous foundation equation:
psf $\quad \phi \cdot{ }_{\pi}^{180}=51.5662 B=3.6667 f t \quad \gamma^{\prime}:=\gamma_{\text {fill }}=120 \mathrm{pcf}$

$$
N_{q}:=22.5 \quad N_{\gamma}:=20.1
$$

$D_{f} \cdot \gamma_{f i l l}=560 p s f$
$\cdot N_{c}+\sigma_{z D}^{\prime} \cdot N_{q}+0.5 \cdot \gamma^{\prime} \cdot B \cdot N_{\gamma}=17022 p s f$
ult $=18.126$
$>F S_{\text {bearing }}$
OK
or Settlement Failure: Boussinesq's Simple Elastic Settlement method
FIF "20' 0" $\quad B=3.6667$ ft $H:=5 \cdot B \quad \mu_{s}:=0.3 \quad \alpha:=4 \quad$ (Footing Center) $\quad E_{s}:=750$ tons $\quad \delta_{\text {all }}:=0.5$ in $f t^{2}$
$\begin{aligned} & L \\ & 2\end{aligned}=10 \mathrm{ft} \quad B^{\prime}:=\frac{B}{2}=1.8333 \mathrm{ft}$
$\begin{gathered}L^{\prime} \\ B^{\prime}\end{gathered}=5.4545 \quad N:=\begin{gathered}H \\ B^{\prime}\end{gathered}$
ence Factors:
$\left.\left.\left.1 \cdot\right|_{M \cdot \ln }\left(\left(1+\sqrt{ }^{M^{2}+1}\right) \cdot \sqrt{M}^{M^{2}+N^{2}}\right)\right|_{+\ln } \mid\left(\left(M+\sqrt[V^{2}+1]{M^{2}}\right) \cdot \sqrt{ }^{1+N^{2}}\right)\right) \mid=0.7624$
$\pi\left(\quad\left(M \cdot\left(1+\sqrt{ } M^{2}+N^{2}+1\right)\right) \quad\left(M+\sqrt{ } M^{2}+N^{2}+1\right)\right)$


$$
\left(N \cdot V^{M^{2}+N^{2}+1}\right)
$$

e Correction Factor:

$$
=r \cdot \operatorname{atan}\binom{(L \cdot B)}{\left(r \cdot r_{3}\right)}=3.1522 f t
$$

$$
\beta_{1} \cdot Y_{1}+\beta_{2} \cdot Y_{2}+\beta_{3} \cdot Y_{3}+\beta_{4} \cdot Y_{4}+\beta_{5} \cdot Y_{5}
$$

$$
\left.\left.\underset{1}{(\beta+\beta}{ }_{2}\right)\right)_{1}
$$

ing Pressure:
$s=939.0909 \mathrm{psf}$
$=\left(\left(D_{f}\right\rangle\right) \cdot \gamma_{f i l l}=560 p s f$
$=q_{\text {gross }}-\sigma_{z o}^{\prime}=379.091 \mathrm{psf}$
dation Settlement:

$$
b l e=\left.\alpha \cdot I_{s} \cdot I_{f} \cdot\right|^{\text {net }}\left(\begin{array}{cc} 
& \left.{ }^{s}\right) \\
( & E_{s}
\end{array}\right) \cdot B^{\prime}
$$

$$
b l e=0.012 \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
:=0.93 \cdot \delta_{\text {flexible }}=0.011 \text { in } \quad \text { OK }
$$

0.5 in

## ilever Retaining Wall Vertical Reinforcement Design in Exterior Stage Walls/Ramp Walls:

Reinforcement:

$$
:=K_{a} \cdot \gamma_{\text {fill }}=40 \mathrm{pcf}
$$

## Table 2-Strength Design: Vertical Reinforcement for Cantilever Retaining Walls, ${ }^{2}$ b

| Wall thickness, in. (mm) | Wall height, $H, \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{m})$ | Reinforcement size \& spacing for equivalent fluid weight of soil, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{ft}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{m}\right)$, of: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 30 (4.7) | 45 (7.1) | 60 (9.4) |
| 8 (203) | 4.0 (1.2) | No. 4 @ 120 in. | No. 4 @ 96 in. | No. 4 @ 64 in. |
|  | 4.7 (1.4) | No. 4 @ 88 in. | No. 4 @ 56 in. | No. 4 @ 40 in. |
|  | 5.3 (1.6) | No. 4 @ 56 in. | No. 4 @ 32 in. | No. 4 @ 24 in. |
|  | $60(18)$ | No. 4 @ 32 in. | No.4@24in. | No. 4 @ 16 in. |
|  | 6.7 (2.0) | No. 4 @ 24 | No.4@16 in. | No. 5 @ 16 i |

## er Retaining Wall Footing Design for Stage Solid Backwall (East side - grid 5):

riteria:

safety:
f wall:
s of footing/
footing:
f Footing:


Need an extra 3 ft of height due to frost lin
oads:
arth Pressure:

$$
K_{a}:=\tan \left(\begin{array}{l}
\pi \\
4
\end{array}-\frac{\phi^{\prime}}{2}\right)^{2}=0.3333
$$

$\cdot \gamma_{\text {fill }} \cdot\left(\left(H_{s}+t_{f}\right)^{2} \cdot K_{a}=1.28 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}\right.$
$\left(\left(p_{\text {positive }}-p_{\text {negative }}\right) \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {total }}-H_{s}-t_{s}\right)\right)=0.2536 \mathrm{kip}\right.$
ing Moment:
ning $\left.: \left.=P_{a} \cdot \begin{array}{c}\left.\left(H_{s}+t_{f}\right)\right) \\ 3+P_{\text {wind }} \cdot\left(H_{\text {total }}-H_{s}-t_{s}\right. \\ 2\end{array}+t_{s}+H_{s}+t_{f} \right\rvert\,\right)=7.1116 \mathrm{kip} \mathrm{ft}$

Moment:
moment arms:

$$
W_{m}=\left(\left(t_{\text {cmu }}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{\text {cmu }}+\left(\left(2 \cdot t_{\text {brick }} \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{\text {brick }}\right)\right)=3.9467_{\mathrm{kt}}^{\mathrm{kt}} \quad \quad r_{m}:=\frac{B}{2}=1.8333 \mathrm{ft}
$$

$$
W_{m}+W_{e}+W_{f}+W_{b}+W_{\text {wall }=6.9133} \mathrm{kip}
$$

```
\(:=\begin{gathered}\Sigma W \cdot \tan \phi^{\prime} \\ P_{a}\end{gathered}=3.1183 \quad F S_{\text {sliding }}=1.5\)
```

$>F S_{\text {sliding }} \quad$ OK

## Pressure:

```
op psf
\(\Sigma W+L L \cdot\left(\left(B-t_{c m u}-2 t_{\text {brick }}-2 \cdot t_{\text {air }}\right)\right)+\left(\left(H_{\text {total }}-H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{l}B^{t_{c m u}} \\ \left.2^{-} 2^{-t_{\text {brick }}-t_{\text {air }} \mid}\right|^{\prime} \gamma_{\text {fill }}=2061.3636 \mathrm{psf}\end{array}\right.\)
capacity:
's continuous foundation equation:
psf \(\quad \phi \cdot{ }_{\pi}^{180}=51.5662 B=3.6667 \mathrm{ft} \quad \gamma^{\prime}:=\gamma_{\text {fill }}=120 p c f\)
. \(2 N_{q}:=22.5 \quad N_{\gamma}:=20.1\)
\(D_{f} \cdot \gamma_{\text {fill }}=560 p s f\)
\(\cdot N_{c}+\sigma_{z D}^{\prime} \cdot N_{q}+0.5 \cdot \gamma^{\prime} \cdot B \cdot N_{\gamma}=17022 p s f\)
qult \(=8.2576\)
\(>F S_{\text {bearing }} \quad\) OK
```


## or Settlement Failure:

"32' 5" B=3.6667 ft $H:=5 \cdot B \quad \mu_{S}:=0.3 \quad \alpha_{S}:=4 \quad$ (Footing Center) $\quad E_{S}:=750 \quad$| tonf |
| ---: |$\quad f^{2} \quad \delta_{\text {alt }}:=0.5$ in

| $=16.2083 \mathrm{ft}$ | $B^{\prime}:=$$D$ <br> 2$=$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $=8.8409$ | $\mathcal{V}:=$$H$ <br> $B^{\prime}$ |

Pressure:
2061.3636 psf
$\left.D_{f}\right) / \cdot \gamma_{f i l}=560 p s f$
gross $-\sigma_{z o}^{\prime}=1501.364$ psf
ion Settlement:

$$
\left.=\left.\alpha \cdot I_{s} \cdot I_{f} \cdot\right|^{\left(q_{\text {net }} \cdot\left(\left(1-\mu_{s}^{2}\right)\right)\right)} E_{s}\right) \cdot B^{\prime}
$$

$$
=0.052 \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
0.93 \cdot \delta_{\text {flexible }}=0.048 \text { in } \quad \text { OK }
$$

## er Retaining Wall Vertical Reinforcement Design for Stage Solid Backwall (East side - grid 5):

$=10.761 \mathrm{psf}$
$\left(\left(p_{\text {positive }}-p_{\text {negative }}\right)\right) \cdot \begin{gathered}F I F \cdot 12^{\prime} 8^{\prime}{ }^{2} \\ 2\end{gathered} \cdot 8$ in $=13376.8717 \mathrm{lbf} \cdot \mathrm{in}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\left(B+r_{2}\right)\right) \cdot r_{1}\right) \quad r^{2} \quad\left(\left(\left(L+r_{1}\right)\right) \cdot r_{2}\right) \\
& \left.\ln \mid\left(\left(B+r_{3}\right)\right) \cdot r\right)+{ }_{B} \cdot \ln \left(\left(\left\langle L+r_{3}\right)\right) \cdot r\right)=2.3724 f t \\
& \left.\begin{array}{c}
\left(\left(r_{1}+r_{2}-r_{3}-r\right)\right. \\
L \cdot B
\end{array}\right)=0.3633 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \operatorname{atan}^{(L \cdot B)}=3.3511 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \left(r \cdot r_{3}\right) \\
& Y_{1}+\beta_{2} \cdot Y_{2}+\beta_{3} \cdot Y_{3}+\beta_{4} \cdot Y_{4}+\beta_{5} \cdot Y_{5}=0.7811 \\
& \left.\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)\right) \cdot Y_{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

## er Retaining Wall Footing Design for Stage Split Backwalls (East side - grid 4):

riteria:

| kness: | $t_{\text {cmu }}:=F I F$ " 8 " | $t_{\text {brick }}:=F I F$ " 4 " | $t_{\text {air }}:=F$ FIF "1" |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | USE | GROUT |  |  |
| ght: | $\gamma_{\text {fill }}:=120$ pcf | Ybrick: $=120$ pcf | $\gamma_{\text {cmu }}:=130 \mathrm{pcf}$ |  | Yconc: $=150$ | $p c f$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| safety: | $F S_{\text {overturning }}:=1.5$ | $F S_{\text {sliding }}:=1.5$ | $F S_{\text {bearing }}:=3$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| f wall: | $H_{\text {wall }}:=F I F$ " $16{ }^{\prime}$ " | $H_{\text {total }}:=F I F \quad$ ، 3 '" $+H_{\text {wall }}$ |  | $H_{s}:=$ FIF "6' ${ }^{\prime}$ " |  |  |

Need an extra 3 ft of height due to frost line
arth Pressure:

$$
K:=\tan \left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.\pi-\phi^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
a
\end{array}{ }^{2} \begin{array}{l}
2
\end{array}\right)=0.3333
$$

$\gamma^{\text {fill }} \cdot\binom{s}{f}^{2} \cdot(H+t) \cdot K^{a}=1.3339{ }^{k i p}$

$$
\left(\left(p_{\text {positive }}-p_{\text {negative }}\right) \cdot\left(\left\langle H_{\text {total }}-H_{s}-t_{s}\right\rangle\right)=0.2536 \mathrm{kip}\right.
$$

ing Moment:
ning $:=P \cdot\left(\left(H_{s}+t_{f}\right)\right)+P_{\text {wind }} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(H_{\text {total }}-H_{s}-t_{s}\right. \\ a^{2}\end{array} H^{2}+H_{s}+t_{f}\right)=7.24499^{\mathrm{kip} \cdot f t}$

Moment:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{m}=\left(\left(t_{c m u}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{c m u}+\left(\left(2 \cdot t_{\text {brick }} \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{\text {brick }}\right)\right)=2.6667 \text { kip } \\
& \left.W_{e}:=\left(B-t_{c m u}-2 \cdot t_{\text {brick }}-2 \cdot t_{\text {air }}\right)\right) \cdot H_{s} \cdot \gamma_{\text {fill }}=1.6467 \begin{array}{c}
\text { kip }
\end{array} \quad P_{e}:={ }_{2}^{B}=1.8333 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \text { on wall: } \bar{W}_{\text {wall }}:=\left(\left(2 \cdot t_{\text {brick }}+t_{\text {cmu }}+2 \cdot t_{\text {air }}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {total }}-H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{\text {conc }}=0.675 \text { kip } \\
& r_{m}:=\frac{B}{2}=1.8333 \mathrm{ft} \\
& r_{\text {walt }}:={ }_{2}^{B}=1.8333 \mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

moment arms:

$$
W_{m}+W_{e}+W_{f}+W_{\text {wall }}=5.9967 \text { kip }
$$

$$
\Sigma W \cdot \tan \phi^{\prime}+P_{p}
$$

$$
P_{a} \quad=11.5956
$$

$$
F S_{\text {sliding }}=1.5
$$

$$
>F S_{\text {sliding }} \quad \text { OK }
$$

0 psf
$\Sigma W+L L \cdot\left(\left(B-t_{c m u}+2 t_{\text {brick }}\right)\right)$
B
$=1885.4545 p s f$
capacity:
's continuous foundation equation:
psf $\quad \phi \cdot{ }_{\pi}^{180}=51.5662 B=3.6667 \mathrm{ft} \quad \nu^{\prime}:=\gamma_{\text {fill }}=120 p c f$
$N_{q}:=22.5 \quad N_{\eta}:=20.1$
$f \cdot \gamma_{\text {fill }}=580 p s f$
$\cdot N_{c}+\sigma_{z D}^{\prime} \cdot N_{q}+0.5 \cdot \gamma^{\prime} \cdot B \cdot N_{\gamma}=17472 p s f$
fAult $=9.2667$
$>F S_{\text {bearing }}$
OK

## or Settlement Failure:

FIT " 8 '" $B=3.6667$ ft $H:=5 \cdot B \quad \mu_{s}:=0.3 \quad \alpha:=4$ (Footing Center) $\quad E_{s}:=750$| tons |
| ---: |
| $f t^{2}$ |$\delta_{\text {all }}=0.5$ in

| $L$ |
| :--- |
| 2 |$=4 \mathrm{ft} \quad B^{\prime}:=$| $B$ |
| :--- |
| 2 |$=1.8333 \mathrm{ft}$

## Depth Correction Factor, If:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3-4 \cdot \mu_{s} \quad \beta_{2}:=5-12 \cdot \mu_{s}+8 \cdot \mu_{s}^{2} \quad \beta_{3}:=-4 \cdot \mu_{s} \cdot\left(\left(1-2 \cdot \mu_{s}\right) \quad \beta_{s}:=-1+4 \cdot \mu_{s}-8 \cdot \mu_{s}{ }^{2} \quad \beta_{5}:=-4 \cdot\left(1-2 \cdot \mu_{s}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \text { - } D_{f}=9.6667 f t \\
& \sqrt{ } L^{2}+r^{2}=12.5477 f t \quad \widetilde{r}_{2}:=\sqrt{B^{2}+r^{2}}=10.3387 f t \quad \widetilde{r}_{3}:=\sqrt{L^{2}+B^{2}+r^{2}=13.0724 f t \quad \widetilde{r}_{4}:=\sqrt{ } L^{2}+B^{2}=8 . . .}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L \cdot \ln \left|\binom{r_{3}+B}{r_{1}}+B \cdot \ln \right|\binom{r_{3}+L}{r_{2}}-\begin{array}{c}
r_{3^{3}}-r_{2}-r_{l^{3}}+r^{3} \\
3 \cdot L \cdot B
\end{array}=4.2737 f t \\
& \left.\left.\left.r^{r^{2}} \cdot\left(\left(\left(B+r_{2}\right)\right) \cdot r_{1}\right) r^{2} \cdot\left(\left(\left(L+r_{1}\right)\right) \cdot r_{2}\right),{ }_{L} \mid\left(B+r_{3}\right)\right) \cdot r\right)+{ }_{B} \cdot \ln \mid\left(\left(L+r_{3}\right)\right) \cdot r\right)=2.0344 f t \\
& \begin{array}{c}
r^{e} \cdot\left(r_{1}+r_{2}-r_{3}-r\right) \\
L \cdot B
\end{array}=0.4692 f t \\
& r \cdot \operatorname{atan}(L \cdot B)=2.2049 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \left(r \cdot r_{3}\right)^{-2.2049} \\
& \beta_{1} \cdot Y_{1}+\beta_{2} \cdot Y_{2}+\beta_{3} \cdot Y_{3}+\beta_{4} \cdot Y_{4}+\beta_{5} \cdot Y_{5}=0.6704 \\
& \left.\left(\mid \beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)\right) \cdot Y_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

ing Pressure:
$=1885.4545 p s f$
$=560 \mathrm{psf}$
$=q_{\text {gross }}-\sigma_{z o}^{\prime}=1325.455 \mathrm{psf}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l^{\left.:=\alpha \cdot I_{s} \cdot I_{f} \cdot \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
\left(q_{n e t} \cdot\left(1-\mu_{s}^{2}\right)\right) \\
( \\
E_{s}
\end{array}\right.\right) \cdot B^{\prime}, ~} \\
& b l e=0.032 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$


$P_{\text {axial }}:=11.6 \mathrm{kip}$

Due to beam depth being 12 in., 12 x 16 masonry column is required.
$H_{\text {wall }}:=H_{s}=6.3333 \mathrm{ft}$
Number of bars: 4

Bar Size:
No. 4

Figure 2-Additional Requirements for Column forcement in Buildings Assigned to SPC C, D and E

2-Allowable Column Compressive Force for ntrically Loaded Concrete Masonry Columns up to $20 \mathrm{ft}(6.1 \mathrm{~m}) \mathbf{H i g h}^{1}$

Column
ze , in. (mm)
Allowable column compressive force, $\mathrm{kip}(\mathrm{kN})$

| 8 |
| :---: |
| 16 |
| 24 |
| 16 |

$16(203 \times 406)$
$18^{2}$ (80)
$24(203 \times 610)$
16 ( $254 \times 406$ )
$37^{2,3}$ (165)
$24(254 \times 610)$
12 ( $305 \times 305$ )
16 ( $305 \times 406$ )
24 ( $305 \times 610$ )
$32(305 \times 813)$
16 ( $406 \times 406$ )

24 (406x610)
$56^{2,4}$ (249)

32 (406x813)
24 (610x610)
32 (610x813)
40 (610x 1016 )
292 (1300)
le assumes the element is in pure compression, i.e., that the ad falls within the center one-third of the section, under a un design eccentricity of $0.1 t$ for each axis as required by the he designer must ensure the section is in compression prior the table. $f_{m}^{\prime}=1500 \mathrm{psi}(10.3 \mathrm{MPa}) \cdot F_{s}=24,000 \mathrm{psi}(165$

## SLENDERNESS LIMITATIONS:

The maximum allowable height for 8 in. columns is 15.9 ft

| Height <br> ft (m) | Number of bars | Bar size | Maximum kips (k) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15.1-15.9(4.6-4.8) | 4 | No. 4 (M13) | 34(151 |
| 14.0-15.9(4.3-4.8) | 4 | No. 4 (M13) | 48(213 |
| 15.1-15.9 (4.6-4.8) | 6 | " | 52 (231 |
| 15.3-15.9(4.6-4.8) | 4 | No. 5 (M16) | 53(236 |
| 18.6-20(5.6-6.1) | 4 | No. 4 (M13) | 42(186 |
| 16.9-18(5.1-5.5) | 4 | No. 4 (M13) | 67 (298 |
| 18.0-20(5.5-6.1) | 4 | " | 60 (266 |
| 18.2-20(5.5-6.1) | 6 | " | 64 (28 |
| 19.3-20(5.9-6.1) | 8 | * | 68 (302 |
| 18.3-20(5.6-6.1) | 4 | No. 5 (M16) | $64(284$ |
| 19.7-20(6.0-6.1) | 6 | " | 70 (311 |
| 19.7-20(6.0-6.1) | 4 | No. 6 (M19) | $69(307$ |

## Isolated Footing Design (B3 and C3):

esign Parameters:
$F$ "3' 8" H := 3 ft $B_{c o l}:=F I F " 1 ' 4 " \quad t_{f}:=F I F$ "1' 8" $P_{\text {des }}:=11 \mathrm{kip}$
$f t+t_{f}$
$H+t_{f}=4.6667 \mathrm{ft}$
or Bearing Failure:
quation for Bearing Capacity:
$\cdot N_{c} \cdot s_{c} \cdot d_{c} \cdot i_{c} \cdot b_{c} \cdot g_{c}+\sigma_{z}^{\prime} \cdot N_{q} \cdot s_{q} \cdot d_{q} \cdot i_{q} \cdot b_{q} \cdot g_{q}+0.5 \cdot \gamma_{b a c k f i l l} \cdot B^{\prime} \cdot N_{\gamma} \cdot s_{\gamma} \cdot d_{\gamma} \cdot i_{\gamma} \cdot b_{\gamma} \cdot g_{\gamma}$

Capacity Factors:
le of bearing capacity factors for $\phi^{\prime}=30$ degrees and using Vesic's Equation:
$4 \quad N_{y}:=22.4$
Inclination Factors:
level ground, therefore, ground inclination factors are equal to 1 :

$$
g_{\gamma}:=1
$$

clination Factors:
$i_{\gamma}:=1$
lination Factors:
is not inclined, therefore the base inclination factors are equal to 1 :
$b_{\gamma}:=1$

For continuous footings, $B / L \rightarrow 0$, so $s_{c}, s_{q}$, and $s_{\gamma}$ become equal to 1 . This means factors may be ignored when analyzing continuous footings.

In line with continuous footing
actors:
$s_{\gamma}:=1$
actors:
$D_{f}$

```
tan}\mp@subsup{\phi}{}{\prime}\cdot1-\operatorname{sin}\mp@subsup{\phi}{}{\prime}=2=1.367
d
```


## Settlement Failure:

$$
B=3.6667 \quad \mathrm{ft} \quad \mid:=5 \cdot B \quad \mu_{s}:=0.3 \quad \alpha:=4 \quad \text { (Footing Center) } \quad \delta_{\text {all }}:=0.5 \mathrm{in}
$$

$=1.8333 f t \quad B^{\prime}:=\begin{aligned} & B \\ & 2\end{aligned}=1.8333 f t \quad M:=\begin{aligned} & L^{\prime} \\ & B^{\prime}\end{aligned}=1 \quad \mathbb{N}:=\begin{aligned} & H \\ & B^{\prime}\end{aligned}$

Factors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(M \cdot \ln \left(\left(1+\sqrt{ } M^{2}+1\right) \cdot \sqrt{ } M^{2}+N^{2}\right)+\left.\ln \right|^{( }\left(M+\sqrt{ } M^{2}+1\right) \cdot \sqrt{1+N^{2}}\right)\right)=0.4979 \\
& \left(M \cdot\left(1+\sqrt{ } M^{2}+N^{2}+1\right)\right) \quad\left(M+\sqrt{ } M^{2}+N^{2}+1 \quad \mid\right) \\
& \cdot \operatorname{atan}\binom{M}{\left(N \cdot \sqrt{ } M^{2}+N^{2}+1\right.}=0.0158
\end{aligned}
$$

## erection Factor:

$\left(1-2 \cdot \mu_{s}\right) \cdot I=0.5069$
th Correction Factor, If:
$4 \cdot \mu_{s} \quad \beta_{2}:=5-12 \cdot \mu_{s}+8 \cdot \mu_{s^{2}} \quad \beta_{3}:=-4 \cdot \mu_{s} \cdot\left(\left(1-2 \cdot \mu_{s}\right) \quad \quad \beta_{4}:=-1+4 \cdot \mu_{s}-8 \cdot \mu_{s}^{2} \quad \quad \beta_{5}:=-4 \cdot\left(1-2 \cdot \mu_{s}\right)^{2}\right.$

$$
\partial_{f}=9.3333 \mathrm{ft}
$$

$\mathrm{t}^{2}+r^{2}=10.0277 \mathrm{ft}$
$3^{2}+r^{2}=10.0277 \mathrm{ft}$
$\mathrm{C}^{2}+B^{2}+r^{2}=10.6771 \mathrm{ft}$
$+B^{2}=5.1854 \mathrm{ft}$

$\left.\ln \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}r_{3}+B \\ r_{1}\end{array}\right.\right)+B \cdot \ln \left\lvert\,\binom{\left(r_{3}+L\right)}{\left(r_{2}\right.}-\begin{gathered}r_{3^{3}}-r_{2}{ }^{3}-r_{1^{3}}+r^{3} \\ 3 \cdot L \cdot B\end{gathered}=2.2895 \mathrm{ft}\right.$
$\left.\left(\left(\left(B+r_{2}\right)\right) \cdot r_{1}\right) \quad r^{2} \quad\left(\left(L+r_{1}\right)\right) \cdot r_{2}\right)$
$\left.\left.\cdot \ln \mid\left(\left(B+r_{3}\right)\right) \cdot r\right){ }^{+}{ }_{B} \cdot \ln \mid\left(\left(L+r_{3}\right)\right) \cdot r\right)=1.2086 f t$

## of Rebar in Continuous Foundation For Stage and Ramp Retaining Walls:

```
riteria:
```

| kness: | $t_{c m u}:=F I F$ | "8" | $t_{\text {brick }}:=F I F$ "4" | $t_{\text {air }}:=$ FIF "1" | $B_{\text {wall }}:=8$ | in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | USE SOLID GROUT |  |  |
| ight: | $\gamma_{\text {fill }}:=120 p c f$ |  | $\gamma_{\text {brick }}:=120$ pcf | $\gamma_{c m u}:=130 \mathrm{pcf}$ | $\gamma_{\text {conc }}:=150$ pcf |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| safety: | $F S_{\text {overturni }}$ | := 1.5 | $F S_{\text {sliding }}:=1.5$ | $F S_{\text {bearing }}:=3$ |  |  |

f wall: $H_{\text {total }}:=$ FIF "7' 1" $\quad H_{\text {wall }}:=$ FIF "4' 1" Need an extra 3 ft of height due to frost line
ss of footing/ $\quad t_{f}:=F I F$ "1' 8 ""
footing: $\quad B:=F I F \quad$ " 3 ' 8 "
Footing: $\quad D_{f}:=3 f t+t_{f} \quad$ DUE TO FROST LINE AT 4 ft .
of
$f_{c}^{\prime}:=4000 \mathrm{psi} \quad f_{y}:=60 \mathrm{ksi}$

## APPENDIX B-STEEL REINFORCEMENT INFORMATION

aid to users of the ACI Building Code, information on sizes, areas, and weights of various steel reinforcement is
TANDARD REINFORCING BARS

| Bar size, no. | Nominal diameter, in. | Nominal area, in. ${ }^{2}$ | Nominal weight, lb/ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.375 | 0.11 | 0.376 |
| 4 | 0.500 | 0.20 | 0.668 |
| 5 | 0.625 | 0.31 | 1.043 |
| 6 | 0.750 | 0.44 | 1.502 |
| 7 | 0.875 | 0.60 | 2.044 |
| 8 | 1.000 | 0.79 | 2.670 |
| 9 | 1.128 | 1.00 | 3.400 |
| 10 | 1.270 | 1.27 | 4.303 |
| 11 | 1.410 | 1.56 | 5.313 |
| 14 | 1.693 | 2.25 | 7.65 |
| 18 | 2.257 | 4.00 | 13.60 |

## ne-Way Shear Strength:

depth of footing:
nuous footings, effective depth d is measured from the top of the footing to the center of the lateral bars. inal bars are designed separately:
a 3in clear cover, \#6 rebars:

$$
D_{\# 6}:=0.75 \mathrm{in}
$$

```
cc+}\mp@subsup{}{##6}{\mp@subsup{D}{}{#}}=3.375 i
```

(Long dimension. Use 1 ft analysis strip)
(short dimension)
(width of wall)
${ }_{m}+W_{\text {wall }}=1.0047 \mathrm{klf}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.:=P_{u}{ }_{c}^{(B-c-2 \cdot d} \begin{array}{c}
B
\end{array}\right)=0.0628 \mathrm{klf} \\
& y:=\begin{array}{c}
0.75 \cdot\left(2 \cdot \lambda \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot p s i \cdot L_{2} \cdot d\right) \\
1 \mathrm{ft}
\end{array} \\
& y=69.3962 \mathrm{klf}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\text { if } V_{u O n e W a y}<\phi V_{\text {cOneWay }}
$$

""The footing has adequate shear strength"

$$
\|
$$else1

॥"The footing has inadequate shear strength "।
$=$ "The footing has adequate shear strength"

## lexural Strength:

```
c
2}=1.6667 f
```

```
\({ }_{u} \cdot l^{2} \quad\) kip \(\cdot f t\)
\(B=0.381 \quad f t \quad\) (required flexural resistance)
```

0.0018
$\rho_{\text {min }} \cdot d \cdot{ }_{1 \cdot f t}^{12 i n}=0.3591 \mathrm{in}^{2} \mathrm{ft}$

Rebars: $\quad A_{\# \sigma}:=0.44 \mathrm{in}^{2}$

$$
:=\beta_{1} \cdot 0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot b \quad 3 \cdot d_{t}=
$$

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
f_{y} & 8
\end{array}
$$

$$
A \leq A_{s_{-} T C}
$$

Concrete Compression block:

## development Length of Flexural 180 degree Hooked Rebars:

rvative assumptions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{r}:=1.0 \quad \psi_{o}:=1.0 \quad \psi_{c}:=0.6+f_{c}=0.8667 \quad d_{b a r}^{\prime}:=D_{\# 6} \\
\left.\max \right|^{6} \mathrm{in}, 8 \cdot d_{b a r},\binom{\psi_{e} \cdot \psi_{r} \cdot \psi_{o} \cdot \psi_{c} \cdot f_{y}}{\left(55 \cdot \lambda \cdot \min \left(100 p s i, f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot p s i\right)\right.} \cdot 1 \mathrm{in} \cdot\binom{d_{b a r}}{\text { in } \left.)^{1.5}\right)}=9.7096 \mathrm{in}
\end{gathered}
$$

f bars from the critical section:

$$
\frac{\left(\left(B-B_{\text {wall }}\right)\right)}{2}-c_{c}=15 \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\text { if } l<\left(\left(B-B_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \\
& \text { dhook } \\
& \text { "I } \\
& \text { ॥"There is adequate room to develop the hooked bars", } \\
& \text { else } \\
& \text { i""There is inadequate room to develop the hooked bars"। }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1_{s} \cdot f_{y} \\
& =0.1765 \mathrm{in} \\
& 5 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot b \\
& \text { kip } \cdot f t \\
& \text { ft } \\
& \cdot f_{y} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{l}
d- \\
2) \\
26.3809 \mathrm{kip} \cdot f t \\
\\
\\
\\
\end{array}\right. \\
& \begin{array}{c}
0.9 \cdot M_{n}=32.7428 \text { kip } \cdot f t \quad \text { (flexural strength) } \\
1 \mathrm{ft} \quad f^{\prime}
\end{array} \\
& =\text { if }_{\|} M_{u}<\phi M_{n} \\
& \text { "،"The footing has adequate flexural strength" } \\
& \text { II } \\
& \text { else } \\
& \text { "॥" The footing has inadequate flexural strength "। } \\
& =\text { "The footing has adequate flexural strength" }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
xuralHooks:}=(((\mp@subsup{D}{#6}{}\cdot6))+((2\cdot\mp@subsup{D}{#6}{})))+\mp@subsup{D}{#6}{}=6.75 in
ar+r=3 in (distance required for hook)
lepth}\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ FlexuralHooks}}{}{\prime}=6.75 in
\(=\) if \(h_{\min }<t_{f}\)
II ""The footing thickness is adequate to accomodate the hooked bar""
"।
else
"I" "The footing thickness is not adequate to accomodate the hooked bar",
II
```

="The footing thickness is adequate to accomodate the hooked bar"

## earing Capacity of Column at Base:

```
1 ft
    \(h:=t_{f}\)
```

vall $\cdot L_{\text {wall }}=96$ in $^{2}$
$\left(\left(L_{\text {wall }},\left(\left(2 \cdot h+B_{\text {wall }}+2 \cdot h\right)\right)\right)=1 f t\right.$
$=144 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$5 \cdot\left(\left(0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot A_{1}\right)\right)=212.16 \mathrm{kip}$
$55 \cdot \min \left|\left(\left.\left(\left(0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot A_{1}\right)\right) \cdot\right|_{\left(A_{1}\right)} ^{A_{2}}\right),\left(\left(2 \cdot 0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot A_{1}\right)\right)\right|=259.8419 \mathrm{kip}$
Searing $:=\frac{\min \left(\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)\right)}{1 \mathrm{ft}}=212.16 \mathrm{klf}$
$=$ if $P_{u}<\phi P_{\text {BaseBearing }}$
""The footing has adequate bearing strength at the base"
II
else
II ،"The footing has inadequate bearing strength at the base", |
II
$=$ "The footing has adequate bearing strength at the base"
make sure footing is thick enough to accommodate development length:

```
vel\cdot6
=1.875 in (radius of dowel bar bend)
```

wel $+r=2.5$ in (distance required for hook)
$d c+2 \cdot H+c_{c}=20 \mathrm{in}$

$="$ The footing thickness is adequate"
ebars in Wall:

5 in $\quad d_{b a r}:=D_{\# 4} \quad$ column bars are \#4
nent Length:
$\max \left(\begin{array}{c}8 \mathrm{in}, \quad 0.02 \cdot f_{y} \cdot d_{b a r} \\ 1\end{array} \lambda \cdot \min \left(100 p s i, f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot p s i\right),{ }^{0.0003} \cdot f_{y} \cdot d_{b a r}\right)=9.4868 \mathrm{in}$
ngth for rebars in compression:
$\max \left(\begin{array}{cc}12 \mathrm{in}, l_{d c \mathrm{Col}}, & 0.0005 \\ & p s i\end{array} \cdot f_{y} \cdot d_{\text {bar }} \cdot \alpha_{s}\right)=15 \mathrm{in}$
(round up to a $24 \mathrm{in}(2 \mathrm{ft})$ splice)

## of Rebar in Continuous Foundation For Stage Back Walls:

## riteria:



Need an extra 3 ft of height due to frost line

## ne-Way Shear Strength:

depth of footing:
nuous footings, effective depth $d$ is measured from the top of the footing to the center of the lateral bars. inal bars are designed separately:
a in clear cover, \#6 rebars:

cover $=16.625$ in

Pressure:

$$
W_{\text {wall }}:=\left(\left(t_{\text {brick }}+t_{c m u}+t_{\text {air }}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {total }}-H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{\text {conc }}=0.6375 \text { kip }
$$

$$
W_{f}:=t_{f} \cdot B \cdot \gamma_{c o n c}=0.9167 \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { kip } \\
f t
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{m}=\left(\left(t_{c m u}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{c m u}+\left(\left(t_{\text {brick }} \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{\text {brick }}\right)\right)=2.6667 \mathrm{kip}
\end{aligned}
$$

```
= if V V uOneWay }<\phi\mp@subsup{V}{\mathrm{ cOneWay }}{\mathrm{ mal }
|"The footing has adequate shear strength"
|
else
|""The footing has inadequate shear strength "।
="The footing has adequate shear strength"
```

```
lexural Strength:
```

lexural Strength:
c
2}=1.6667 f

```
```

\cdotl

```
\cdotl
    =1.419 ft (required flexural resistance)
    =1.419 ft (required flexural resistance)
0.0018
\(\rho_{\text {min }} \cdot d \cdot{ }_{1 \cdot f t}^{12 \text { in }}=0.3591 \mathrm{in}^{2} \mathrm{ft}\)
Rebars: \(\quad A_{\# g}:=0.44 \mathrm{in}^{2}\)
\(A_{\# \sigma}=0.44 \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad 1 \# 6\) bar is adequate
ing
ce max :=min}(\3\cdot\mp@subsup{t}{f}{},18\mathrm{ in })=18\mathrm{ in
ce: := }\begin{array}{c}{\mp@subsup{J}{}{Jt}=12 in Need one bar every foot}\\{1}
```

flexural strength of a singly reinforced rectangular section:
$=c_{c}+{ }_{2}^{D_{\# 6}}=3.375 \mathrm{in}$
$=t_{f} \quad y_{s l}:=$ cover $_{1} \quad A_{s}=0.44$ in $^{2} \quad b:=B$
pth $-y_{s l}=16.625 \mathrm{in}$

```
= if Mu}\mp@subsup{M}{u}{}<\phi\mp@subsup{M}{n}{
|""The footing has adequate flexural strength" ।
else
    |""The footing has inadequate flexural strength "।
="The footing has adequate flexural strength"
```


## evelopment Length of Flexural 180 degree Hooked Rebars:

rvative assumptions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{r}:=1.0 \quad \psi_{o}:=1.0 \quad \psi_{c}:=0.6+\frac{f_{c}^{\prime}}{15000 ~ p s i}=0.8667 \quad d_{\text {bar }}:=D_{\# 6} \\
\left.\max \right|_{6} 6 \mathrm{in}, 8 \cdot d_{b a r},\left(\begin{array}{c}
\psi_{e} \cdot \psi_{r} \cdot \psi_{o} \cdot \psi_{c} \cdot f_{y} \\
\left.\left(55 \cdot \lambda \cdot \min \left(100 p s i, f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot p s i\right)\right) \cdot 1 \mathrm{in} \cdot\left(d_{\text {bar }}\right)^{1.5}\right) \\
(\mathrm{in})
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

f bars from the critical section:

$$
\frac{\left(\left(B-B_{\text {wall }}\right)\right.}{2}-c_{c}=15 \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
\left(\mid B-B_{\text {woll }}\right)
$$

2

## $=$ if $l_{\text {dhook }}<$

||"There is adequate room to develop the hooked bars"

## else

॥"There is inadequate room to develop the hooked bars"।
$=$ "There is adequate room to develop the hooked bars"

## he Longitudinal Steel:

```
0.0018 A A := 0.44 in 2}\quad\mp@subsup{D}{#6}{}:=0.75 i
\rhomin
```

Rebars:
$A_{\# \sigma}=1.32$ in $^{2} \quad 3 \# 6$ bars is adequate
$c e_{\max }:=\min \left(\left(3 \cdot t_{f}, 18\right.\right.$ in $)=18$ in
$c e:=\vec{B}^{B}-2 c_{c}=19$ in
use 12 in spacing to be conservative

## earing Capacity of Column at Base:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{ft} \quad \hbar \mathrm{~h}:=t_{f} \\
& \text { vol } \cdot L_{\text {wall }}=96 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \left.\left(L_{\text {wall }},\left(\backslash 2 \cdot h+B_{\text {wall }}+2 \cdot h\right)\right)\right)=1 f t \\
& =144 \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& 5 \cdot\left(\left(0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot A_{1}\right)\right)=212.16 \mathrm{kip} \\
& 5 \cdot \min \left|\left(\left(\left(0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot A_{1}\right)\right) \cdot \sqrt{A_{2}} A_{1}\right),\left(\left(2 \cdot 0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot A_{1}\right)\right)\right|=259.8419 \mathrm{kip} \\
& \min \left(\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)\right)=212.16 \mathrm{klf} \\
& 1 \mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

$=$ "The footing has adequate bearing strength at the base"

## 0 Degree Hooked Dowel Bars in Column:

$$
.005 \cdot A_{1} \cdot{ }^{1} \cdot \frac{1}{1 \cdot f t}=0.48 \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$


next Length:
.625 in
$D_{\# 5}$
$x \mid 8$ in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\lambda \cdot \min \left(100 \text { psi, } f_{c}^{0.02 \cdot f_{y} \cdot d_{\text {dowel }}} f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot p s i\right), \begin{array}{c}
0.0003 \\
(10
\end{array}\right) \cdot f_{y} \cdot d_{\text {dowel }} \mid=11.8585 \text { in } \\
& \sqrt{ } \\
& \text { (round up to get an appropriate constructible dimension) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ebars in Wall:

5 in $\quad d_{b a r}:=D_{\# 4} \quad$ column bars are \#4
nent Length:

ngth for rebars in compression:
$\left.\max \right|_{\left(12 \mathrm{in}, l_{d c \mathrm{Col}},{ }_{p s i}^{0.0005} \cdot f_{y} \cdot d_{b a r} \cdot \alpha_{s}\right)=15 \mathrm{in},}$
(round up to a 24in (2ft) splice)
of Rebar in Isolated Footing:

## One-Way Shear Strength:

| " "3'8" | $H:=F I F \quad$ " $3^{\prime}$ " | $B_{\text {coll }}:=F I F$ " 1 ' 4 " | $B_{\text {col2 }}$ : $=$ FIF " 1 '" | $t_{f}:=F I F$ | h: $=t_{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.6 kip | $\gamma_{c o n c}:=150$ pcf | $\gamma_{\text {backfill }}:=120$ pcf | $f_{c}:=4000$ psi | $f_{y}:=60 \mathrm{ksi}$ |  |

e depth of footing:
a 3 in clear cover, $\# 6$ rebars and bars in both directions:

$$
D_{\# 6}:=0.750 \mathrm{in}
$$

$=c_{c}+\prod_{2}^{D_{\# 6}}=3.375$ in $\quad$ cover $_{2}:=c_{c}+D_{\# 6}+{ }_{\# 6}^{D_{2}}=4.125$ in

$$
g:=\frac{\text { cover }_{1}+\text { cover }_{2}}{2}=3.75 \mathrm{in}
$$

## unching Shear Strength:

$$
:=q_{u} \cdot\left(\left(L_{1} \cdot L_{2}-\left(\left(c_{1}+d\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(c_{2}+d\right)\right)\right)=12.3775 \mathrm{kip}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.l 1=1.3333 \quad \alpha_{s}:=30 \quad b_{o}:=2\left(\left(c_{1}+d\right)\right)+2 \cdot\left(\mid c_{2}+d\right)\right)=10.75 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \text { hing } \left.:=\left.0.75 \cdot \mathrm{~min}\right|^{(4,}\left(2+\begin{array}{c}
4 \\
\beta
\end{array}\right),\left(2+\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{s} \cdot d \\
b_{o}
\end{array}\right)\right) \cdot \lambda \cdot \sqrt{f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot p s i} \cdot b_{o} \cdot d=446.6875 \mathrm{kip}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\text { if } V_{\text {uPunching }}<\phi V_{\text {cPunching }} \\
& \text { "I""The footing has adequate punching shear strength" } \\
& \text { else } \\
& \text { ell ""The footing has inadequate punching shear strength", } \\
& \text { "I }
\end{aligned}
$$

""The footing has adequate punching shear strength"

## Fexural Strength:

$L_{2} \cdot\binom{L_{1}-c_{1}}{2} \cdot\binom{L_{1}-c_{1}}{4}=4.9422$ kip $\cdot f t \quad$ (required flexural resistance)

0018

$$
B \cdot h=1.7424{i n^{2}}^{2}
$$

Rebars: $\quad A_{\# 6}:=0.440 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$A_{\# \sigma}=1.76$ in $^{2} \quad 4 \# 6$ bars is adequate
ce $_{\max }:=\min 3 \cdot h, 18$ in $=18$ in
$c e:=\begin{gathered}B-2 \cdot c_{c} \\ 3\end{gathered}=12.6667 \mathrm{in}$
e flexural strength of a singly reinforced rectangular section:
$=h \quad y_{s l}:=$ cover $_{1} \quad A_{s}=1.76 \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad b:=B$

## $.9 \cdot M_{n}=141.7447$ kip $\cdot f t \quad$ (flexural strength)

$=$ if $M_{u}<\phi M_{n}$
"."The footing has adequate flexural strength",
"॥
else
॥" "The footing has inadequate flexural strength",
॥
$=$ "The footing has adequate flexural strength"

## Development Length of Flexural 180 degree Hooked Rebars:



10 in
of bars from the critical
section:
oll) $=14$ in

heck $=$ "There is adequate room to develop the hooked bars"
make sure footing is thick enough to accommodate development length:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2.25 \text { in } \quad \text { (radius of dowel bar bend) } \\
& \text { auralHooks } \left.:=\left(\left(1 d_{b a r} \cdot 6\right)\right)+\left(\left(2 \cdot d_{\text {bar }}\right)\right)\right)=6 \text { in } \\
& +=\max \left(4 \cdot d_{\text {bar }}, 2.5 \text { in }\right)=3 \text { in } \quad \text { (distance required for hook) } \\
& + \text { lepth }_{\text {FlexuralHooks }}=6 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Tension Rebars Terminated in Hooks

For main tension rebars ACI 318 Table 25.3.1 defines geometry for 9 $180^{\circ}$ hooks as illustrated in Figure 7.21. The length of the bar up to th the hook is called lead-in length. Minimum inside bend diameter $D$ is in the table for different size rebars. The minimum dimension of the straight extension of the bar beyond the end of the bend is also showr figure. The distance $H$ that must be added to the lead-in length to def development length of hooked bars is the bend radius plus the bar dia

$$
H=d_{b}+D / 2
$$

## 0 Degree Hooked Dowel Bars in Column:

m Steel Ratio:
$.005 \cdot A_{1}=0.96 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
5 dowels $\quad A_{\# 5}:=0.310 \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad D_{\# 5}:=0.625 \mathrm{in}$
$=4 \cdot A_{\# 5}=1.24 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
ment Length:

make sure footing is thick enough to accommodate development length:

```
vel.
    =1.875 in (radius of dowel bar bend)
\=12\cdotd}\mp@subsup{d}{\mathrm{ dowel }}{=}=7.5 i
vel+r=2.5 in (distance required for hook)
dc}+2\cdotH+\mp@subsup{c}{c}{}+\mp@subsup{D}{#\sigma}{}=20.75 in
\(=\) if \(h_{\text {min }} \leq h\)
"\| "There footing thickness is adequate"
"
else
\(\|_{\text {"،There }}\)
\({ }^{\|}\)footing thickness is inadequate"
```

$=$ "There footing thickness is adequate"

## Rebars in Column:

column bars are \#4
ment Length:

18 in

## f Beam Bearing on the Back Stage Wall (Masonry):

## f Anchor Bolt in Back wall:

$$
\text { sff } \quad p_{2}:=-12.3 p s f
$$

$$
9 \cdot q_{d}+p_{2 l}=9.6 p s f
$$

$$
6 f t=57.6 \begin{aligned}
& l b f \\
& f t
\end{aligned} \quad L:=F I F " 33^{\prime} 9 "
$$

$$
\max :=0.5 \mathrm{~W} \cdot 6 \mathrm{ft} \cdot L=972 \mathrm{lbf}
$$

Figure 8-Strength Design, Design Axial Tensile Strength of Headed Anchor Bolts, $\phi B_{\text {an }}{ }^{\text {a }}$

$500 \mathrm{psi}(10.34 \mathrm{MPa}), f_{y}=60,000 \mathrm{psi}(413.6 \mathrm{MPa})$. Strength reduction factors: 0.50 for masonry breakout; 0.90 hor bolt steel. Values assume that projected areas of adjacent anchor bolts do not overlap (anchor spacing greater than 1 to $2 l_{\mathrm{b}}$ ). $\mathrm{lb} \times 4.448222=\mathrm{N}$; in. $\times 25.4=\mathrm{mm}$.
embedment of a $1 / 4 \mathrm{in}$. headed bolt is sufficient

## f Bearing plate on Back wall:


$\cdot B=42 \mathrm{in}^{2}$

$$
A_{2}:=B+2 e \cdot N+2 e=42 i n^{2}
$$

## eb Crippling:



## f Weld:

$\min \left(\left(t_{p}, t_{f}\right)\right)=0.21$ in $\quad$ Try $\widetilde{w}:=\begin{gathered}3 \\ 16\end{gathered} \quad$ in $=0.1875$ in
$w=0.75$ in
.6667
the base metal yielding and fracture along the weld base:
$F_{y}:=50 \mathrm{ksi} \quad F_{u}:=65 \mathrm{ksi}$
$=\min \left(\left(1 \cdot 0.6 \cdot F_{y} \cdot t \cdot L, 0.75 \cdot 0.6 \cdot F_{u} \cdot t \cdot L\right)\right)=30.7125 \mathrm{kip} \quad$ OK
weld fracture along effective throat dimension:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{E X X}:=70 k s i \\
& F_{E X X} \cdot\left(1+0.5 \cdot \sin \theta^{1.5}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
:=0.75 \cdot 0.707 \cdot w \cdot L_{e} \cdot F_{w}=20.8786 \mathrm{kip} \quad \text { OK }
$$

## of Column Base Plate and Anchor Bolts:

1.6 kip $\quad F_{y}:=36 \mathrm{ksi} \quad$ A36 Steel

| in | $\bar{N}:=14 \mathrm{in}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $k s i$ | $P_{u}:=P_{c o l}=11.6 \mathrm{kip}$ |

$$
B=140 \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

$$
+2 e \cdot N+2 e=192 \text { in }^{2}
$$

$$
0.65 \cdot 0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot A_{2} \cdot \min \left(2, \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
A_{2} \\
A_{1}
\end{array}\right.\right)=496.9127 \mathrm{kip}
$$

## ckness:

$$
2 \cdot f_{p}=0.1752 \text { in } \quad \text { Round up to } 1 / 2 \text { in. thickness } \quad \text { grout underneath plate }=1 \mathrm{in} .
$$

Bolts:
h 3/4 in.

$$
6 \text { kip } d_{b}:=\frac{{ }^{3}}{4} \text { in } \quad L_{e}:=12 \cdot d_{b}=9 \text { in } \quad t_{\text {roof }}:=3 \text { in }+10 \text { in } \quad p_{\text {positive }}:=10.761 \text { psf } h:=14.5 \mathrm{ft}
$$

$$
L_{\text {edge }}:=\max \left(\left(4 \text { in }, 5 \cdot d_{b}\right)\right)=4 \text { in }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{B}=82.8571 \mathrm{psi} \\
& b_{f}:=8 \text { in } \\
& -0.95 \cdot d=1.3 \text { in } \quad n:=\begin{array}{c}
B-0.8 \cdot b_{f} \\
2
\end{array}=1.8 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

## strength:

$d_{b}=2.25$ in $\quad \psi_{4}:=1.4$
$.7 \cdot \psi_{4} \cdot\left(\left(0.9 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot e_{h} \cdot d_{b}\right)\right)=5.9535 \mathrm{kip} \quad$ greater than $T_{u}=1.3241 \mathrm{kip}$
rods have enough strength, design is OK

## of Girder Moment Connection into Column:

oads and properties:


N12x40 A992 steel

9 in $\quad b_{f g}:=8.01 \mathrm{in} \quad t_{w g}:=0.295 \mathrm{in} \quad t_{f g}:=0.515 \mathrm{in} \quad S_{x g}:=51.5 \mathrm{in}^{3}$

W12x40 A992 steel

9 in $b_{f c}:=8.01$ in $\quad t_{w c}:=0.295$ in $\quad t_{f c}:=0.515$ in

## n of flange plate dimensions:

$=33.2773 \mathrm{kip} \quad$ force carried by each flange plate

## of bolts needed:

$=P_{u}=1.5372 \quad$ need two bolts $\quad \square \quad \square \quad$ Provide two rows of two bolts to be conservative
$=2 \cdot L_{e}+s=6.5$ in $\quad$ add $1 / 2$ in setback
$\min +0.5$ in $=7$ in

## ring in Beam Flange:

$$
F_{u \_ \text {plate }}=29 \begin{gathered}
\text { kip } \\
\text { in }
\end{gathered} \quad t_{f g} \cdot F_{u}=33.475 \begin{gathered}
\text { kip } \\
\text { in }
\end{gathered}
$$

bearing critical in flange plate

```
- d
    2
```


## strength for end bolt:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { earing }:=0.75 \cdot \min \left(\left(1.2 \cdot L_{c l} \cdot t \cdot F_{u}, 2.4 \cdot d_{b} \cdot t \cdot F_{u}\right)\right)=36.5625 \mathrm{kip} \\
& \text { Bolt }:=\min \left(\left(\phi R_{n S h e a r}, \phi R_{n \text { EndBearing }}\right)\right)=21.6475 \mathrm{kip} \\
& -d_{h}=2 \mathrm{in} \\
& \text { ring }:=0.75 \cdot \min \left(\left(1.2 \cdot L_{c 2} \cdot t \cdot F_{u}, 2.4 \cdot d_{b} \cdot t \cdot F_{u}\right)\right)=51.1875 \mathrm{kip} \\
& \text { olt } \left.:=\min \left(\phi R_{n S h e a r}, \phi R_{n \text { nintBearing }}\right)\right)=21.6475 \mathrm{kip}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
:=4 \cdot \phi R_{\text {nEndBolt }}=86.5901 \text { kip } \quad>\quad P_{u}=33.2773 \text { kip } \quad \text { OK }
$$

$$
\square \square
$$

## Strength in Flange:

$\cdot t_{f \text { plate }}=1.75 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$g t-d_{h} \cdot t_{f}$ plate $=1.25 \mathrm{in}^{2}$

## ear strength of angle:

ShearAngle $:=0.75 \cdot\left(\left(\min \left(\left(0.6 \cdot F_{u \_p l a t e} \cdot A_{n v}, 0.6 \cdot F_{y \_p l a t e} \cdot A_{g v}\right)\right)+U \cdot F_{u \_p l a t e} \cdot A_{n t}\right)\right)=131.325 \mathrm{kip}$ $\square$ $P_{u}=33.2773 \mathrm{kip}$

## hear failure of the plate Outside lines of bolts:

$\cdot t_{f \text { plate }} \cdot\left(\left(s+L_{e}\right)\right)=4.75$ in $^{2}$
$l_{v v}-2 \cdot 1.5 \cdot d_{h} \cdot t_{f}$ plate $=3.25$ in $^{2}$

$\left(b_{p}-g-d_{h}\right) / t_{f \text { plate }}=1.5 \mathrm{in}^{2}$

## ear strength of angle:

ShearAngle $\left.:=0.75 \cdot\left(\left(\min \left(10.6 \cdot F_{u \_ \text {plate }} \cdot A_{n v}, 0.6 \cdot F_{y \_ \text {plate }} \cdot A_{g v}\right)\right)+U \cdot F_{u \_ \text {plate }} \cdot A_{n t}\right)\right)=142.2 \mathrm{kip} \quad \mid>\square \quad P_{u}=33.2773 \mathrm{kip}$

## hear failure of the beam flange Outside lines of bolts:

$t_{f g} \cdot\left(\left(s+L_{e}\right)\right)=4.8925$ in $^{2}$
$g v-2 \cdot 1.5 \cdot d_{h} \cdot t_{f \text { plate }}=3.3925$ in $^{2}$
$\left.b_{f g}-g-d_{h}\right) / t_{f g}=1.8077$ in $^{2}$


```
658
```

| . 7846 | < | 25 | OK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - ] |  |  |

$.9 \cdot F_{c r} \cdot A_{p}=120.2781$ kip $\quad>\quad P_{u}=33.2773$ kip $\quad$ OK

Plate welded connection to the column flange:

n weld on each side for a total weld thickness of 0.5 in .

$$
w:=0.25
$$

This is adequate

## late Connection:

```
tw_plate := 0.25 in
```

$$
t_{w \_p l a t e}=0.25 \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
\square>\square
$$

OK

ilure mode of shear tab:
plate $\cdot L_{p}=1.5$ in $^{2}$
$l_{v}-2 \cdot d_{h} \cdot t_{w \_ \text {plate }}=1 \quad$ in $^{2}$
Shear $:=\min \left(\left(1 \cdot 0.6 \cdot F_{y_{\_} \text {plate }} \cdot A_{g v}, 0.75 \cdot 0.6 \cdot F_{u_{\_} \text {plate }} \cdot A_{n v}\right)\right)=26.1 \mathrm{kip} \quad \|>\square \quad V=8.5 \mathrm{kip}$
OK
ear strength:
plate $\cdot\left(\left(L_{e}+n-1 \cdot s\right)\right)=1.125 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$n-1+0.5 \cdot d_{h} \cdot t_{w \_ \text {plate }}=0.75 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$k_{\text {Shear }}:=0.75 \cdot\left(\left(\min \left(\left(0.6 \cdot F_{u_{\perp} \text { plate }} \cdot A_{n v}, 0.6 \cdot F_{y_{\perp} \text { plate }} \cdot A_{g_{v}}\right)\right)+U \cdot F_{u_{\perp} \text { plate }} \cdot A_{n t}\right)\right)=96.8578 \mathrm{kip}$

$$
>\quad V=8.5 \mathrm{kip}
$$

Appendix D: Restroom Phase One Design Calculations

## Phase One Restroom Roof Dead Loads

From top down:

## Liquid Applied Waterproofing Membrane

Clear Waterproofing Membrane

Link to Website: https://waterstop.com.au/product/clear-waterproofing-membrane-101/
Link to Datasheet: https://waterstop.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Data-Sheet-Clear-Waterproofing-Membrane-RV2-2.pdf

Waterproofing membranes:
Bituminous gravel-covered
Bituminous smooth surface
Liquid applied
Single-ply, sheet
Wood sheathing (per in. thickness)
Wood shakes and shingles

ASCE 7-22 Table C3.1-1a Minimum Design Dead Loads (psf)

$$
\text { Dead }_{\text {Membrane }}:=1 p s f
$$

## Composite Roof Deck

1.5VLR-36 COMPOSITE DECK-SLAB Light Weight Concrete - 22 gage -3.5 " total depth 2 " topping

Link to Website: https://vulcraft.com/Products/Deck\#composite-deck
Link to Datasheet:https://vulcraft.com/catalogs/Deck/CompositeDeck/
ASD-1.5VLR-36_Composite_Deck-Slab.pdf
$\operatorname{Dead}_{\text {CompositeDeck }}:=63.9$ psf $+0.5 \mathrm{in} \cdot 110$ pcf $=68.483 \mathrm{psf}$
Additional 1 inch of concrete for roof sloping averaged over the entire span

## Roof Insulation

HANDI-FOAM® E84 CLASS 1(A) LOW PRESSURE SPRAY FOAM

Link to Wesbite: https://www.energyefficientsolutions.com/Fire_Rated.asp? item=FOAM605E84\&gclid=EAlaIQobChMI3LfOk-
j1_QIVyv_jBx19aQAPEAQYAyABEgK6K_D_BwE

Link to Datasheet: https://www.energyefficientsolutions.com/data\ sheets/ SprayFoamE84-105-205-605.pdf

```
Density: \(=1.75\) lbf
    \(\mathrm{ft}^{3}\)
```

Dead $_{\text {Rooffnsulation }}:=$ Density $\cdot 2.5$ in $=0.365$ psf
$\qquad$

Mechanical/Electrical/Plumbing $\operatorname{Dead}_{M E P}:=5 p s f$

Typical

## Total Roof Dead Load

Dead $_{\text {Roof }}:=$ Dead $_{\text {Membrane }}+$ Dead $_{\text {CompositeDeck }}+$ Dead $_{\text {Rooffnsulation }}+$ Dead $_{\text {MEP }}=74.848$ psf

The dead load for the roof is approximately 75 psf

## Phase One Restroom Snow Load Calcs

Balanced Snow Load

$\underset{\text { Table } 7,3-1, \text { Exposure Factor, } c \text {. }}{\text { Expo }}$
Table 7.3-1. Exposure Factor, $C_{e}$.

| Surface Roughness Category | Exposure of Roof* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fully Exposed ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Partially Exposed | Sheltered |
| B (see Section 26.7) | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| C (see Section 26.7) | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| D (see Section 26.7) | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Above the tree line in windswept mountainous areas | 0.7 | 0.8 | N/A |
| In Alaska, in areas where trees do not exist within a $2 \mathrm{mi}(3 \mathrm{~km})$ radius of the site | 0.7 | 0.8 | N/A |

The terrain category and roof exposure condition chosen shall be representative of the anticipated conditions during the life of the structure. An exposure factor shall be determined for each roof of a structure.
${ }^{a}$ Partially Exposed: All roofs not Fully Exposed or Sheltered. Fully Exposed: Roofs exposed on all sides with no shelter afforded by terrain, higher structures, or trees. Roofs that contain several large pieces of mechanical equipment, parapets that extend above the height of the balanced snow load $\left(h_{b}\right)$, or other obstructions are not in this category. Sheltered: Roofs located tight in among conifers that qualify as obstructions.
${ }^{b}$ Obstructions within a distance of $10 h_{o}$ provide "shelter," where $h_{o}$ is the height of the obstruction above the roof level. If the only obstructions are a few deciduous trees that are leafless in winter, the "fully exposed" category shall be used. Note that these are heights above the roof. Heights used to establish the Exposure Category in Section 26.7 are heights above the ground.

Thermal Factor
Heated closed structure without a ventilated roof

Table 7.3-2 Thermal Factor, $\boldsymbol{C}_{t}$

| Thermal Condition | $c_{t}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| All structures except as indicated below | 1.0 |
| Structures kept just above freezing and others with cold, | 1.1 |
| $\quad$ ventilated roofs in which the thermal resistance (R-value) |  |
| between the ventilated space and the heated space exceeds |  |
| $25^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \times h \times \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{Btu}\left(4.4 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{W}\right)$ |  |
| Unheated and open air structures | 1.2 |
| Freezer building | 1.3 |
| Continuously heated greenhouses ${ }^{b}$ with a roof having a | 0.85 |
| thermal resistance (R-value) less than $2.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \times h \times \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{Btu}$ |  |
| $\left(0.4 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{W}\right)$ |  |

These conditions shall be representative of the anticipated conditions during winters for the life of the structure.
'Greenhouses with a constantly maintained interior temperature of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ $\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ or more at any point $3 \mathrm{ft}(0.9 \mathrm{~m})$ above the floor level during winters and having either a maintenance attendant on duty at all times or a temperature alarm system to provide warning in the event of a heating failure.

Used ASCE 7-16 because it is simpler

$$
C_{e}:=1.0
$$

Surface Roughness B/Partially Exposed... because we're in downtown Maquoketa ASCE 7-16 Table 7.3-1 Exposure Coefficient, Ce

$$
C_{t}:=1.0
$$

All structures except as indicated below
ASCE 7-16 Table 7.3-2 Thermal Factor, Ct
Didn't use ASCE 7-22 because C_t is requires known material properties

## Importance Factor

Table 1.5-2 Importance Factors by Risk Category of Buildings and Other Structures for Snow, Ice, and Earthquake Loads

| Risk <br> Category from <br> Table 1.5-1 | Snow <br> Importance <br> Factor, $I_{z}$ | Ice Importance <br> Factor- <br> Thickness, $I_{t}$ | Ice Importance <br> Factor--Wind, <br> $I_{w}$ | Seismic <br> Importance <br> Factor, $I_{e}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 0.80 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| II | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| III | 1.10 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.25 |
| IV | 1.20 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.50 |

Note: The component importance factor, $I_{p}$, applicable to carthquake loads, is not included in this table because it depends on the importance of the individual component rather than that of the building as a whole, or its occupancy. Refer to Section 13.1.3.

$$
I_{S}:=1.0
$$

Risk Category 2 ASCE 7-16 Table 1.5-2

## Ground Snow Load



$$
p_{s}:=0.7 \cdot C_{s} \cdot C_{e} \cdot C_{t} \cdot I_{s} \cdot p_{g}=35.7 p s f
$$

BalancedSnow Load $:=p_{s}=35.7$ psf

The balanced snow load is 35.7 psf

## Phase One Restroom Live Load Calculations

Used ASCE 7-22
Table 4.3-1. (Continued) Minimum Uniformly Distributed Live Loads, $L_{o}$, and Minimum Concentrated Live Loads


```
Live}\mp@subsup{e}{\mathrm{ Load }}{:= 100 psf For roof area used for
assembly purposes
```

Since the roof won't be occupied at the same time as snowstorm, use the larger number load (snow or live load).

$$
\text { Live }_{\text {Load }}=100 \text { psf } \quad \gg \quad \text { BalancedSnow } \text { Load }=35.7 \text { psf }
$$

Regardless, we will test each load combination for sufficient strength of members

## MWFRS DESIGN WIND PRESSURES FOR WALLS AND ROOF - PHASE 1

Determining Wind Load Parameters:


Velocity Pressure Exposure Coefficient: $\quad K_{z}:=0.57$
(ASCE 7-22: Table 26.10-1)
Using directional procedure so not 0.7

## Velocity Pressure:



Mean Roof Height: $\quad h:=10 f t+9.583333 f t \quad=9.792 f t \quad$ (Height Modeled on Revit)

DIAGRAMS FOR WIND PRESSURE CALCULATIONS:

TOP VIEW: OF PHASE 1 RESTROOM STRUCTURE

NORTH


SOUTH

PROFILE VIEWS: OF PHASE 1 RESTROOM STRUCTURE

VIEW FROM SIDE A:


Building Dimensions:


Overhang Dimensions:


Equivalent Dimensions:
$L_{C}:=L_{A} \quad B_{C}:=B_{A}$
$L_{B}:=B_{A} \quad B_{B}:=L_{A}$
$L_{D}:=L_{B} \quad B_{D}:=B_{B}$

VIEW FROM SIDE B:


## NORTH WIND CALCULATIONS (WIND DIRECTION A)

Wall Pressures Coefficients: $L:=L_{A}=76 \mathrm{ft} \quad B:=B_{A}=16.667 \mathrm{ft}$


Wall Pressures: $\quad p:=p_{\text {iext }}+/-p_{\text {iint }}$
Surface 1: $\quad p_{\text {Nlext }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p w w}=9.84$ psf $\quad$ (windward)
$p_{\text {Nlint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {Nlplus }}:=p_{\text {Nlext }}+p_{\text {Nlint }}=12.442$ psf
$p_{\text {NIminus }}:=p_{\text {NIext }}-p_{\text {NIInt }}=7.234 p s f$

Surface 2: $\quad p_{N \text { Next }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p s}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$p_{N 2 i n t}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {N2plus }}:=p_{\text {N2ext }}+p_{\text {N2int }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{N 2 \text { minus }}:=p_{N 2 \text { ext }}-p_{N 2 \text { int }}=-11.212 p s f$

Surface 3: $\quad p_{N 3 e x t}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p L W}=-2.46 p s f$
(leeward)
$p_{N 3 i n t}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {N3plus }}:=p_{\text {N3ext }}+p_{\text {N3int }}=0.145 p s f$
$p_{N 3 \text { minus }}:=p_{N 3 \text { ext }}-p_{N 3 \text { int }}=-5.063 p s f$

Surface 4: $\quad p_{N \text { text }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p s}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$p_{\text {NAint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {N4plus }}:=p_{\text {N4ext }}+p_{\text {N4int }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{\text {N4minus }}:=p_{\text {N4ext }}-p_{\text {N4int }}=-11.212 p s f$
Roof Pressures Coefficients: $\quad L:=L_{A}+l_{E W} \cdot O H=78 \mathrm{ft}$


Overhang Pressures:

$$
p_{N o h}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p l}=-11.067 p s f
$$

IMPORTANT NOTE: $p_{\text {oh }}$ Must be added to the positive external pressure on windward faces with overhang (surface 1))

EAST WIND CALCULATIONS (WIND DIRECTION B)
Wall Pressures Coefficients:

$$
\text { (L): }=L_{B}=16.667 \mathrm{ft} \quad B:=B_{B}=76 \mathrm{ft}
$$

Variables Needed for Table: $\quad \begin{aligned} & L \\ & \\ & B\end{aligned}=0.219$
External Pressure Coefficients: $C_{p W W}:=0.8$
$C_{p L W}:=-0.5$
$C_{p S}:=-0.7 \quad$ (ASCE 7-22: Figure 27.3-1)
Wall Pressures: $\quad p:=p_{\text {iex }}+/-p_{\text {int }}$
Surface 1: $\quad p_{\text {Elext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$p_{\text {Elint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {Elplus }}:=p_{\text {Elext }}+p_{\text {Elint }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{\text {Elminus }}:=p_{\text {Elext }}-p_{\text {Elint }}=-11.212$ psf

Surface 2: $\quad p_{\text {E2ext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p W W}=9.84 p s f$
(windward)
$p_{\text {E2int }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {E2plus }}:=p_{\text {E2ext }}+p_{\text {E2int }}=12.442$ psf
$p_{E 2 \text { minus }}:=p_{\text {E2ext }}-p_{E 2 \text { int }}=7.234 p s f$

Surface 3: $\quad p_{\text {Esext }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$p_{\text {E3int }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{E 3 p l u s}:=p_{E 3 \text { ext }}+p_{\text {E3int }}=-6.004$ ps $f$
$p_{E 3 \text { minus }}:=p_{\text {E3ext }}-p_{\text {E3int }}=-11.212$ psf

Surface 4:
$p_{\text {E4ext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p L W}=-6.15 p s f$
(leeward)
$p_{\text {Etint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {E4plus }}:=p_{\text {E4ext }}+p_{\text {E4int }}=-3.544 p s f$
$p_{\text {E4minus }}:=p_{\text {E4ext }}-p_{\text {E4int }}=-8.753 p s f$
Roof Pressures Coefficients: $\quad L:=L_{B}+l_{N S .} O H=20.667 \mathrm{ft}$

$$
B:=B_{B}+l_{E W} \cdot O H=78 \mathrm{ft}
$$

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

$h=$
$=4.9 \mathrm{ft} \quad h=9.8 \mathrm{ft}$
$2 h=19.6 f t \quad \theta:=0$
$L$ 2 0
External Pressure Coefficient:
$C_{p l}:=-0.9$
(ASCE 7-22: Figure 27.3-1)
Roof Pressures:
$p:=p_{\text {iext }}+/-p_{\text {iint }}$
Surface 5: $\quad p_{E s e r t r}:=q_{T} \cdot G \cdot C_{p l}=-11.07 p s f \quad p_{E s e x t 2}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p 2}=-2.21 p s f$
$p_{\text {E5int } 1}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f \quad p_{E 5 i n t 2}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {ESplus } 1}:=p_{\text {ESext } 1}+p_{\text {ESint } 1}=-8.463$ psf $\quad p_{\text {ESplus } 2}:=p_{\text {ESext } 2}+p_{\text {ESint } 2}=0.391 p s f$
$p_{\text {E5minus } 1}:=p_{\text {ESext } 1}-p_{\text {ESint } 1}=-13.671 p s f \quad p_{\text {E5minus } 2}:=p_{\text {ESext } 2}-p_{\text {E5int } 2}=-4.818 p s f$

Overhang Pressures: $p_{\text {Eon }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p l}=-11.067 p s f$
IMPORTANT NOTE: $p_{\text {oh }}$ Must be added to the positive external pressure on windward faces with overhang (surface 2))

## SOUTH WIND CALCULATIONS (WIND DIRECTION C)

Wall Pressures Coefficients: $\quad D:=L c=76 \mathrm{ft} \quad B:=B C=16.667 \mathrm{ft}$


Wall Pressures: $\quad p:={\underset{\text { piext }}{ }+/-\quad p_{\text {iint }}, ~}_{\text {in }}$
Surface 1: $p_{\text {slext }:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p L W}=-2.46 \text { psf } \quad \text { (leeward) }}^{\text {Pr }}$
pslint $:=q_{i} \cdot\left(G C_{p i}\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {Slplus }}:=p_{\text {Slext }}+p_{\text {Slint }}=0.145$ psf
$p_{\text {S1minus }}:=p_{\text {Slext }}-p_{\text {Slint }}=-5.063$ ps $f$

Surface 2: $\quad p_{\text {s2ext }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p s}=-8.61$ psf
(side)
$p_{\text {s2int }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(G C_{p i}\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {S2plus }}:=p_{\text {S2ext }}+p_{\text {S2int }}=-6.004$ ps $f$
$p_{\text {S2minus }}:=p_{\text {S2ext }}-p_{\text {S2int }}=-11.212$ psf

Surface 3: $\quad p_{\text {s3ext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p w w}=9.84 p s f$
(windward)
$p_{\text {s3int }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(G C_{p i}\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {S3plus }}:=p_{\text {S3ext }}+p_{\text {S3int }}=12.442$ ps $f$
$p_{\text {S3minus }}:=p_{\text {S3ext }}-p_{\text {S3int }}=7.234$ psf

Surface 4: $\quad p_{s \text { sext }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p s}=-8.61$ psf
(side)
ps4int : $=q_{i} \cdot\left(G C_{p i}\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {S4plus }}:=p_{\text {S4ext }}+p_{\text {S4int }}=-6.004$ psf
$p_{\text {S4minus }}:=p_{\text {S4ext }}-p_{\text {S4int }}=-11.212$ psf

Roof Pressures Coefficients:
$L:=L C+l_{E W}, O H=78 \mathrm{ft}$
$h=0.1255 \quad h$
Variables Needed for Table:

External Pressure Coefficient:
$\begin{aligned} & h \\ & 2\end{aligned}=4.9 \mathrm{ft} \quad h=9.8 \mathrm{ft}$
$B:=B C+l_{N S} . O H=20.667 \mathrm{ft}$
$2 h=19.6 \mathrm{ft}$
$\theta:=0$
(ASCE 7-22: Figure 27.3-1)

Roof Pressures: $\quad p:=p_{\text {iext }}+/-\quad p_{\text {iint }}$
Surface 5: $p_{s 5 e x t}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p 1=-11.07} p s f \quad p_{S 5 e x t 2}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p 2}=-2.21 p s f$
$p_{\text {S5int1 }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(G C_{p i}\right)=2.6 p s f \quad p_{\text {S5int2 }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(G C_{p i}\right)=2.6$ psf
$p_{\text {S5plus } 1}:=p_{\text {S5ext } 1}+p_{\text {S5int } 1}=-8.463$ psf $\quad p_{\text {S5plus } 2}:=p_{\text {S5ext } 2}+p_{\text {S5int } 2}=0.391$ psf
$p_{\text {S5minus } 1}:=p_{\text {S5ext } 1}-p_{\text {S5int } 1}=-13.671$ psf $\quad p_{\text {S5minus } 2}:=p_{\text {S5ext } 2}-p_{\text {S5int } 2}=-4.818 \mathrm{psf}$

## Overhang Pressures:

Note: No overhang on windward facing wall so overhang pressure does not need to be added.

## WEST WIND CALCULATIONS (WIND DIRECTION D)

Wall Pressures Coefficients: $\quad D:=\angle D=16.667 \mathrm{ft} \quad B:=B D=76 \mathrm{ft}$


Wall Pressures: $\quad p:={\underset{\text { iext }}{ }}^{+/-} p_{\text {iint }}$
Surface 1: $\quad p_{w 1 \text { ext }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p s}=-8.61$ psf
(side)
$p_{W \text { lint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(G C_{p i}\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {W1plus }}:=p_{\text {Wlext }}+p_{\text {W1int }}=-6.004$ ps $f$
$p_{\text {Wlminus }}:=p_{\text {Wlext }}-p_{\text {Wlint }}=-11.212$ ps $f$

Surface 2: $\quad p_{w_{2 \text { ext }}:}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p L w}=-6.15 p s f$
(leeward)
$p_{W \text { Lint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(G C_{p i}\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {W2plus }}:=p_{\text {W2ext }}+p_{\text {W2int }}=-3.544$ ps $f$
$p_{\boldsymbol{W} 2 \text { minus }}:=p_{\boldsymbol{W} 2 \text { ext }}-p_{\boldsymbol{W} 2 \text { int }}=-8.753$ ps $f$

Surface 3: $\quad p_{w 3 e x t}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p s}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$p_{W \text { Wint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(G C_{p i}\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {W3plus }}:=p_{W 3 \text { ext }}+p_{\text {W3int }}=-6.004$ ps $f$
$p_{\boldsymbol{W} 3 \text { minus }}:=p_{\boldsymbol{W} 3 \text { ext }}-p_{\boldsymbol{W} \text { Wint }}=-11.212$ ps $f$

Surface 4: $\quad p_{\text {waext }}=q_{z} \cdot \boldsymbol{G} \cdot C_{p h w}=9.84$ psf
(windward)
$p_{\text {W4int }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(G C_{p i}\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {W4plus }}:=p_{\text {W4ext }}+p_{\text {W4int }}=12.442$ psf
$p_{\boldsymbol{W} 4 \text { minus }}:=p_{\boldsymbol{W} 4 \text { ext }}-p_{\boldsymbol{W} 4 \text { int }}=7.234$ psf
Roof Pressures Coefficients: $L:=L_{D}+l_{N S} . O H=20.667 \mathrm{ft}$ $B:=B_{D}+$ lEW.OH $^{\prime}=78 \mathrm{ft}$


External Pressure Coefficient:

(ASCE 7-22: Figure 27.3-1)

Roof Pressures: $\quad p:=p_{\text {iext }}+/-p_{\text {iint }}$
Surface 5: $\quad p_{W_{5 \times x+2}}=q_{2} \cdot G \cdot C_{p 1}=-11.07 p s f \quad \quad p_{W_{5 e x t 2}}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p 2}=-2.21 p s f$
$p_{W 5 \text { Fint1 }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(G C_{p i}\right)=2.6$ psf $\quad p_{W 5 i n t 2}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(G C_{p i}\right)=2.6$ psf
$p_{\boldsymbol{W} 5 \text { plus } 1}:=p_{\boldsymbol{W} 5 \text { ext } 1}+p_{\boldsymbol{W} 5 \text { int } 1}=-8.463$ psf $\quad p_{\boldsymbol{W} 5 \text { plus } 2}:=p_{\boldsymbol{W} 5 \text { ext } 2}+p_{\boldsymbol{W} \text { 5int } 2}=0.391$ ps $f$
$p_{\boldsymbol{W} 5 \text { minus } 1}:=p_{\boldsymbol{W} \text { 5ext } 1}-p_{\boldsymbol{W} \text { 5int } 1}=-13.671$ psf $\quad p_{\boldsymbol{W} \text { 5minus } 2}:=p_{\boldsymbol{W} \text { 5ext2 }}-p_{\boldsymbol{W} \text { 5int } 2}=-4.818$ psf
Overhang Pressures: $\quad p_{\text {Noh }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p 1=-11.067} p s f$
IMPORTANT NOTE: $p_{o h}$ Must be added to the positive external pressure on windward faces with overhang (surface 4))

## SUMMARY CALCULATIONS



Maximum Positive Pressure on each wall and roof surface:

Surface 1: $\max \left(p_{\text {N1plus }}, p_{\text {Elplus }}, p_{\text {Slplus }}, p_{\text {WIpius }}, p_{\text {N1minus }}, p_{\text {E1minus }}, p_{\text {SIminus }}, p_{\text {W1minus }}\right)=12.442$ psf
Surface 2: $\max \left(p_{N 2 \text { plus }}, p_{\text {E2plus }}, p_{\text {S2plus }}, p_{\text {W2pius }}, p_{N 2 \text { minus }}, p_{E 2 \text { minus }}, p_{\text {S2minus }}, p_{W 2 \text { minus }}\right)=12.442 \mathrm{psf}$
Surface 3: $\max \left(p_{N 3 \text { plus }}, p_{E 3 \text { plus }}, p_{\text {S3plus }}, p_{\text {W3pius }}, p_{N 3 \text { minus }}, p_{E 3 \text { minus }}, p_{S 3 \text { minus }}, p_{W 3 \text { minus }}\right)=12.442$ psf
Surface 4: $\max \left(p_{\text {N4plus }}, p_{\text {E4plus }}, p_{\text {S4plus }}, p_{\text {W4plus }}, p_{\text {N4minus }}, p_{\text {E4minus }}, p_{\text {S4minus }}, p_{\text {W4minus }}\right)=12.442$ psf

Surface 5: $\quad \max \left(p_{\text {N5plus1 }}, p_{\text {N5plus2 }}, p_{\text {E5plus1 } 1}, p_{\text {E5plus2 }}, p_{\text {S5plus1 }}, p_{\text {S5plus2 }}, p_{\text {W5plus1 }}, p_{\text {W5plus2 }}\right)=0.391$ psf

Maximum Negative Pressure on each wall and roof surface:
Surface 1: $\min \left(p_{\text {Niplus }}, p_{\text {Elplus }}, p_{\text {Slplus }}, p_{\text {Wiplus }}, p_{\text {Niminus }}, p_{\text {Elminus }}, p_{\text {SIminus }}, p_{\text {wiminus }}\right)=-11.212$ psf
Surface 2: $\min \left(p_{N 2 \text { plus }}, p_{\text {E2plus }}, p_{S 2 p \text { pus }}, p_{\text {W2pius }}, p_{N 2 \text { minus }}, p_{E 2 \text { minus }}, p_{S 2 \text { minus }}, p_{W 2 \text { minus }}\right)=-11.212$ ps $f$
Surface 3: $\min \left(p_{\text {N3plus }}, p_{\text {E3plus }}, p_{S 3 p l u s}, p_{W 3 \text { plius }}, p_{\text {N3minus }}, p_{E 3 \text { minus }}, p_{S 3 m \text { inus }}, p_{W 3 \text { minus }}\right)=-11.212$ psf
Surface 4: $\min \left(p_{\text {Naplus }}, p_{\text {E4plus }}, p_{\text {S4plus }}, p_{\text {W4plus }}, p_{\text {Naminus }}, p_{\text {E4minus }}, p_{\text {s4minus }}, p_{\text {waminus }}\right)=-11.212$ psf


Overhang Pressures: $p_{o h}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p 1}=-11.067$ psf

## Phase 1 Restroom Composite Deck Slab

## **Selected: 3VLI-36 COMPOSITE DECK GRADE 50 STEEL**

Link to Website: https://vulcraft.com/Products/
Deck\#composite-deck

Link to Datasheet: https://vulcraft.com/catalogs/Deck/ CompositeDeck/
LRFD-3VLI-36-3VLJ-36-3PLVLI-36_Composite_Deck-Slab.pdf


Slab Makeup:
Total - 6.5" +1 " concrete sloped
Topping - 3.5 " of normal weight weight concrete (145 pcf) +1 " of normal concrete sloped from South to North
Deck Gauge - 16

## Superimposed Load: Based on LRFD Load Combinations

| $\mathbf{L C}$ | LRFD | ASD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $1.4 D$ | $D$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $1.2 D+1.6 L+0.5\left(L_{r}\right.$ or $S$ or $\left.R\right)$ | $D+L$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $1.2 D+1.6\left(L_{r}\right.$ or or $\left.R\right)+(L$ or $0.5 W)$ | $D+\left(L_{r}\right.$ or $S$ or $\left.R\right)$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $1.2 D+W+L+0.5\left(L_{r}\right.$ or $S$ or $\left.R\right)$ | $D+0.75 L+0.75\left(L_{r}\right.$ or $S$ or $\left.R\right)$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $0.9 D+W$ | $D+0.6 W$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $1.2 D+E_{v}+E_{h}+L+0.2 S$ | $D+0.75 L+0.75(0.6 W)+0.75\left(L_{r}\right.$ or $S$ or $\left.R\right)$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $0.9 D-E_{v}+E_{h}$ | $0.6 D+0.6 W$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ |  | $D+0.7 E_{v}+0.7 E_{h}$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ |  | $D+0.525 E_{v}+0.525 E_{h}+0.75 L+0.75 S$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  | $0.6 D-0.7 E_{v}+0.7 E_{h}$ |

Where:
D= Dead Load
L= Live Load
Lr= Roof Live Load
S=Snow Load
W= Wind Load
$\mathrm{R}=$ Rain Load
Loads:
$\operatorname{Dead}_{\text {Membrane }}:=1 p s f \quad \operatorname{Dead}_{M E P}:=5 p s f$
$\operatorname{Dead}_{\text {Rooflnsulation }}:=0.365 p s f$
Dead $_{\text {CompositeDeck }}:=63.9 \mathrm{psf}$
$D:=$ Dead $_{\text {Membrane }}+(1$ in $\cdot 145$ pcf $)+$ Dead $_{\text {Rooffnsulation }}+$ Dead $_{M E P}=18.448 p s f \quad$ Superimposed
Additional 1 inch of concrete for roof sloping, specified to installer
$L:=100 p s f$
$S:=35.7 p s f$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W:=0.391 \text { psf } \\
& W_{\text {uplift }}=-13.671 \text { psf }
\end{aligned}
$$

LRFD Load Combos:
$1.4 D=25.828 p s f$
(1)
$1.2 D+1.6 L+0.5 S=199.988 p s f$
1.2 $D+1.6 S+L=179.258 p s f$
(3) Neglect wind load in combo 3 because it is smaller than $L$
1.2 $D+W+L+0.5 S=140.379 p s f$
(4)
$0.9\left(\left(D+\right.\right.$ Dead $\left.\left._{\text {CompositeDeck }}\right)\right)+W_{\text {uplift }}=60.443 p s f$
(5) Uplift is not an issue

MaxLoad $:=199.988$ psf

## Allowable Superimposed

Span:
At a preliminary span of $15^{\prime}-4{ }^{\prime \prime}$

Allowable :=216 psf

VIEW FROM SIDE B:


Allowable $=216 \mathrm{psf}$

## Sufficient for Allowable Deflection... which is the limiting factor!



## Allowable Reaction at Supports (Based on LRFD Load Combinations) - for web crippling

Treat as a one way slab since the length is much much longer than the width
16 Deck Gauge
Design Reactions at Supports Based on Web Crippling, $\curvearrowleft R_{n}(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft})$
One Flange Loading
End bearing is 4"+

$$
\text { Allowable: }=2771 \text { plf }
$$

| Deck Gage | Bearing Length of Webs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One-Flange Loading |  |  |  |  |  | Two-Flange Loading |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | End Bearing |  |  |  | Interior Bearing |  | End Bearing |  |  |  | Interior Bearing |  |
|  | 11/2" | 2" | 3" | 4" | $4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 8" | $11 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ | 2" | 3 " | 4" | $4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 8' |
| 22 | 540 | 593 | 683 | 759 | 1164 | 1354 | 510 | 549 | 615 | 671 | 1353 | 1588 |
| 20 | 780 | 855 | 980 | 1085 | 1668 | 2065 | 792 | 851 | 948 | 1031 | 1975 | 2481 |
| 19 | 1046 | 1143 | 1305 | 1443 | 2221 | 2795 | 1119 | 1198 | 1330 | 1442 | 2665 | 3407 |
| 18 | 1324 | 1444 | 1645 | 1814 | 2798 | 3504 | 1473 | 1573 | 1742 | 1883 | 3389 | 4314 |
| 16 | 2049 | 2226 | 2521 | 2771 | 4291 | 5324 | 2430 | 2585 | 2845 | 3065 | 5275 | 6656 |

Actual $:=$ MaxLoad $\cdot 8.3333333 \mathrm{ft}=\left(1.667 \cdot 10^{3}\right) /$ plf

$$
\text { Actual }=\left(1.667 \cdot 10^{3}\right) / \text { plf } \quad<\quad \text { Allowable }:=2771 \text { plf }
$$

## Sufficient, web crippling will not be an issue

## Shear Stud Spacing

Link: https://vulcraft.com/DesignTools/HighPerformanceDeckSlabDiaphragmStrength

| Required Shear |
| :--- |
| WindLoad $_{\text {Max }}:=12.442$ psf |

## Input




Notes:

1. FRC reinforcement is based on IAPMO UES ER-465.
2. Dramix ${ }^{\circledast}$ fibers may be used in UL or ULC fire rated assemblies in lieu of WWR. See UL file R19307 for additional information.

## O tp ut

Deck.Slah) Diaphriagm Shear Strength
1/s ga 3VLI-36 Grade 50 C:omposite Dec:k
6.5 in. total slab depthı, $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}=30 \mathrm{C} 10 \mathrm{ps}, 145 \mathrm{pcf}$ INWC


VILCRAFT

3/4" Steel Headecl Stud Anchor at Chords \& Collectors for Shear Transfer


Minimum Connections to Supporing Members ${ }^{2}$
Minimuni con nections tri all s innote may he any of the follo wing: are snot uelds, fillet welds. PAF's, sc rews, Shearflex(i) anchors, welded stıds or other me chanical connections ${ }^{3}$.

| Perpendicular Connection Pattern ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | 1 per rib |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parallel Perimister Connection: for cleck spans greater tham 5 f: |  | 36 in. o.c. |  |

Governing Deck-Slab Sirengih and Stiffness


Dack-Siab Shear Strength
Deck-Slal Diagonal Tension Dissign Shear Strength $\quad \Phi S_{1}=9118$ plf

Clonds 8. Collecter Shew Twinefe: Strenoth
Chord \& Coliectors IJesigi Shear Transfer' Stre igth $\square \quad$ QQis $=$ Situ pif


1. For UL. Fire rated assemblies, refer to UL Design Number for support and sidelay connecticn requirerients.
2. Minimum connections to su pporting members do not i:ontr bute to the diaphragin shear strength.
3. Support welds at interlocking sidelap; may be $3 / 8^{\prime \prime} \times 11 / 4$ " arc searr welds in lieu of arc spot velds.
 900 Series Designs, and (i229.
4. Sidelap con nections bitween steel der:k panels may be VSC2, bulton punch, screw, 1-1/2 irl. arc seam weld or $1-1 / 2$ in. to $p$ arc seam weld. The maximum sidelap connection spacing shall not exceed 36 in. c.c.


 anal the intit rmation in 2 .

# Overhang Analysis - treat as beam with two simple supports and an overhang 

| Loads |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| TribWidth $:=3$ ft | 1 bearing plate/screw <br> anchor every 3 ribs (3 feet) |  |  |

## Extra Concrete

Dead $_{\text {Overhang }}:=\left(\right.$ Dead $_{\text {Membrane }}+$ Dead $_{\text {CompositeDeck }}+1$ in 145 pcf $\left.)\right) \cdot$ TribWidth $=0.231 \mathrm{klf}$
$\operatorname{Dead}_{\text {Roof }}:=\left(\left(\right.\right.$ Dead $_{\text {MEP }}+$ Dead $_{\text {RoofInsulation }}+$ Dead $_{\text {Membrane }}+$ Dead $_{\text {CompositeDeck }}+1$ in $\cdot 145$ pcf $\left.)\right) \cdot$ TribWidth $=0.247 \mathrm{klf}$

Analyze it for the live over the total roof, just the Live $:=L \cdot$ TribWidth $=0.3 \mathrm{klf}$ overhang, and just over the span between the walls

Wind $_{\text {uplift }}:=W_{\text {uplift }} \cdot$ TribWidth $=-0.041 \mathrm{klf}$
***Neglect Positive Wind load because it is so small***
Snow $:=S \cdot$ TribWidth $=0.107 \mathrm{klf}$
Load Definitions

|  | Case | Load type | List |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1:Dead Overh | uniform load | 1 | $\mathrm{PX}=0.0$ | $\mathrm{PZ}=-0.23$ | global | not project. | absolute | $\mathrm{BE}=0.0$ | DZ $=0.0$ | MEMO: |
|  | 2:Dead Roof | uniform load | 2 | $\mathrm{PX}=0.0$ | $\mathrm{PZ}=-0.25$ | global | not project. | absolute | $\mathrm{BE}=0.0$ | $\mathrm{DZ}=0.0$ | MEMO: |
|  | 3:Live Overha | uniform load | 1 | $\mathrm{PX}=0.0$ | $\mathrm{PZ}=-0.30$ | global | not project. | absolute | $\mathrm{BE}=0.0$ | $\mathrm{DZ}=0.0$ | MEMO: |
|  | 4:Live Roof | uniform load | 2 | $\mathrm{PX}=0.0$ | $\mathrm{PZ}=-0.30$ | global | not project. | absolute | $\mathrm{BE}=0.0$ | $\mathrm{DZ}=0.0$ | MEMO: |
|  | 5:Live Total | uniform load | 12 | $\mathrm{PX}=0.0$ | $\mathrm{PZ}=-0.30$ | global | not project. | absolute | $\mathrm{BE}=0.0$ | $\mathrm{DZ}=0.0$ | MEMO: |
|  | 6:Uplift | uniform load | 12 | $\mathrm{PX}=0.0$ | $\mathrm{PZ}=0.04$ | global | not project. | absolute | $\mathrm{BE}=0.0$ | $\mathrm{DZ}=0.0$ | MEMO: |
|  | 7:Snow | uniform load | 12 | $\mathrm{PX}=0.0$ | $\mathrm{PZ}=-0.11$ | global | not project. | absolute | $\mathrm{BE}=0.0$ | $\mathrm{DZ}=0.0$ | MEMO: |
| * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Applied all LRFD Load Combos

| Combinations | Name | Analysis type | Combi nation | Case nature | Definition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 (C) | 1.4D | Linear Combinati | ULS | dead | $(1+2)^{*} 1.40$ |
| 9 (C) | 1.2D +1.6 L (Overhang) +0.5 S | Linear Combinati | ULS | dead | $(1+2)^{*} 1.20+3 * 1.60+7^{*} 0.50$ |
| 10 (C) | 1.2D +1.6 L (Roof) +0.5 S | Linear Combinati | ULS | dead | $(1+2)^{*} 1.20+4 * 1.60+7 * 0.50$ |
| 11 (C) | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}$ (Total) +0.5 S | Linear Combinati | ULS | dead | $(1+2) * 1.20+5 * 1.60+7 * 0.50$ |
| 12 (C) | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{L}$ (Overhang) | Linear Combinati | ULS | dead | $(1+2)^{*} 1.20+7 * 1.60+3 * 1.00$ |
| 13 (C) | 1.2D+1.6S+L(Roof) | Linear Combinati | ULS | dead | $(1+2) * 1.20+4 * 1.00+7 * 1.60$ |
| 14 (C) | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{L}$ (Total) | Linear Combinati | ULS | dead | $(1+2) * 1.20+5 * 1.00+7 * 1.60$ |
| 15 (C) | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~S}+0.5 \mathrm{~W}$ | Linear Combinati | ULS | dead | $(1+2) * 1.20+7 * 1.60+6 * 1.00$ |
| 16 (C) | 1.2D $+\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{L}$ (Overhang) +0.5 S | Linear Combinati | ULS | dead | $(1+2) * 1.20+(3+6) * 1.00+7^{*} 0.50$ |
| 17 (C) | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{L}$ (Roof) +0.5 S | Linear Combinati | ULS | dead | $(1+2) * 1.20+(6+4) * 1.00+7^{*} 0.50$ |
| 18 (C) | 1.2D $+\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{L}$ (Total) +0.5 S | Linear Combinati | ULS | dead | $(1+2) * 1.20+(5+6) * 1.00+7 * 0.50$ |
| 19 (C) | 0.9D+W | Linear Combinati | ULS | dead | $(1+2)^{*} 0.90+6 * 1.00$ |

## Uplift

Check all load combos to make sure there is no negative load reaction at supports... if there is we have to check make sure the anchor screws are sufficient in tension.

$0.9 \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{W}$ produces the lowest reaction force, but it is still positive, so there is no need to solve for net tension in anchor screws.

## Largest Positive Moment

Check all load combos to solve for the highest moment... this must be lower than the largest moment allowed for the roof deck.

1.2 $\mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}($ Roof $)+0.5 \mathrm{~S}$ produces the highest moment


|  | Deck Weight | Base Metal Thickness | Yield Strength | Effective Moment of Inertia at Service Load $I_{d}=\left(2 I_{e}+I_{g}\right) / 3$ |  | Effective <br> Section Modulus at $F_{y}=50 \mathrm{ksi}$ |  | Design Moment |  | Vertical Web Shear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deck Gage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{w}_{\mathrm{dd}} \\ & (\mathrm{psf}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{t} \\ \text { (in.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{F}_{y} \\ (\mathbf{k s i}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{d}+} \\ \text { (in } \left.{ }^{4} / \mathrm{ft}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}^{-}} \\ \left(\mathrm{in}^{4} / \mathrm{ft}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}+ \\ \left(\mathrm{in}^{3} / \mathrm{ft}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}- \\ \left(\mathrm{in}^{3} / \mathrm{ft}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { oM } \mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{n}}+ \\ (\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}) \end{gathered}$ | $(\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ | © $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}$ <br> (lb/ft) |
| 22 | 1.7 | 0.0295 | 50 | 0.732 | 0.737 | 0.387 | 0.410 | 1452 | 1537 | 2138 |
| 20 | 2.1 | 0.0358 | 50 | 0.919 | 0.921 | 0.512 | 0.539 | 1920 | 2021 | 3777 |
| 19 | 2.4 | 0.0418 | 50 | 1.099 | 1.101 | 0.639 | 0.669 | 2397 | 2509 | 5152 |
| 18 | 2.7 | 0.0474 | 50 | 1.253 | 1.253 | 0.761 | 0.794 | 2854 | 2977 | 6628 |
| 16 | 3.5 | 0.0598 | 50 | 1.580 | 1.580 | 1.013 | 1.013 | 3799 | 3799 | 9312 |

Max negative moment is not given for the deck section, so check to see if metal deck is sufficient in negative moment

1.2 $\mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}($ Total $)+0.5 \mathrm{~S}$ produces the highest negative moment

NegativeMoment ${ }_{\text {Allow }}=-3799$ lbf $\cdot{ }^{\text {ft }} \cdot$ TribWidth $^{\prime}=-11.397$ kip $\cdot f t \quad$ Sufficient

1.2 $\mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}($ Total $)+0.5 \mathrm{~S}$ produces the highest shear


## Deflection will not control with the 4 foot overhang

Therefore the overhang is okay to have and is structurally sound

## Selection of Expansion Anchor Connecting Base Plate to CMU

Link: https://www.strongtie.com/mechanicalanchors_mechanicalanchoringproducts/tnt_screw/ p/titen-turbo

Datasheet: http://embed.widencdn.net/pdf/plus/ssttoolbox/5lzzrblyap/C-A-2021-p147-149.pdf
Designing for the less long wall would result in a higher in plane shear force, so design for the East wall

WallLength :=78 ft

## Shear Parallel to Edge



ShearLoad $_{\text {Parallel }}:=$| WallLength $^{2} \cdot$ ShearDistrib $_{\text {Required }}$ |
| :---: |
| NumberofScrews |$=186.63 \mathrm{lbf}$

Shear Perp. to Edge
ScrewSpacing :=16 in Parallel Connection Attachment (maximum) $\quad 1$ rowat ${ }^{16}$ in. oc.

ShearLoad $_{\text {Perp }}:=$ ScrewSpacing ShearDistrib Required $=82.947 \mathrm{lbf}$

Tension is not required to be accounted for since there is no net uplift

Allowable Tension Load for Titen Turbo Screw Anchor Installed in Hollow CMU Wall Faces ${ }^{1,2,3}$ (18C)

| Anchor Diameter (in.) | Embedment Depth (in.) | Minimum Dimensions (n.) |  |  | Allowable Load (b.) ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Spacing | Edge | End |  |
| 3/16 | $11 / 4$ | 3 | 37/6 | 37/6 | 117 |
| $1 / 4$ | $11 / 4$ | 4 | 37/8 | 37/6 | 117 |

1. The tabulates values are for screw anchors installed in minimum $8^{\prime *}$-wide grouted concrete masonry walls
having reached a minimum f'm of 1,500 psi at time of installation.
2 Embedment is the thickness of the face shell.
2. Screw anchors may be installed at any location in the wall face provided the minimum edge and end distances are maintained.
3. Allowable loads are based on a safety factor of 5.0 for installations under the IBC and IRC.

Allowable Shear Load for Titen Turbo Screw Anchor Installed in Hollow CMU Wall Faces ${ }^{1,2,3}$

| Anchor Diameter ( n .) | Embedment Depth (in.) | Minimum Dimensions (in.) |  |  | Direction of Loading | Allowable Load (b. $)^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Spacing | Edge | End |  |  |
| 3/16 | $11 / 4$ | 3 | 37/6 | 37/6 | Toward edge, parallel to wall end | 164 |
| 1/4 | $11 / 4$ | 4 | 37/6 | 37/6 | Toward edge, parallel to wall end | 190 |

1. The tabulates values are for screw anchors installed in minimum $8^{*}$-wide grouted concrete masonry walls
having reached a minimum $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{m}$ of 1,500 psi at time of installation.
2. Embedment is the thickness of the face shell.
3. Screw anchors may be installed at any location in the wall face provided the minimum edge and end distances are maintained.
4. Allowable loads are based on a safety factor of 5.0 for installations under the IBC and IRC.

## Pick 1 1/4" Screw Anchor Diameter

$\max \left(\left(\right.\right.$ ShearLoad $_{\text {Parallel }}$, ShearLoad $\left.\left._{\text {Perp }}\right)\right)=186.63 \mathrm{lbf} \quad$ Shear $_{\text {allow }}:=190 \mathrm{lbf}$


## Length Required

## EmbedDepth := 1.25 in

BasePlateDepth := 0.5 in

LengthRequired $:=$ EmbedDepth + BasePlateDepth $=1.75$ in


## Select TNTW25134TF Anchor Screw


(SAME NUMBER OF SHEAR STUDS AS ANCHOR SCREWS - 77 EACH)

## Phase One Restroom Wall Calculations

Link: https://ncma.org/resource/strength-design-of-concrete-masonry-walls-for-axial-flexure/


## STRENGTH DESIGN OF CONCRETE MASONRY WALLS FOR AXIAL LOAD \& FLEXURE

TEK 14-11B
Structural

Figures 1 through 8 apply to fully or partially grouted reinforced concrete masonry walls with a specified compressive strength $f_{m}^{\prime}$ of $1,500 \mathrm{psi}(10.34 \mathrm{MPa})$, and a maximum wall height of $20 \mathrm{ft}(6.10 \mathrm{~m})$, Grade $60(414 \mathrm{MPa})$ vertical reinforcement, with reinforcing bars positioned in the center of the wall and reinforcing bar spacing $s$ from 8 in. to 120 in . ( 203 to 3,048 mm ). The following discussion applies to simply supported walls and is limited to uniform lateral loads. Other support and loading conditions should comply with applicable engineering procedures. Each figure applies to one specific wall thickness and one reinforcing bar size.

## Conditions are met:

-Walls are fully grouted
-CMU blocks have a compressive strength of 1500 psi - standard
-The wall height is 10 feet
-Grade 60 reinforcement will be used
-Reinforcement bars will be positioned in the center of the wall
-Spacing will be between the specified amounts
-Walls are simply supported... supported by the roof diaphragm at the top and the foundations at the bottom
-Wind load is a uniform lateral load (Uniform wall pressure)

## **We can use this document**

In strength design, two different deflections are calculated; one for service level loads $\left(\delta_{s}\right)$ and another for factored loads $\left(\delta_{u}\right)$. For a uniformly loaded simply supported wall , the resulting bending moment is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{x}=W_{x} h^{2} / 8+P_{x f}(e / 2)+P_{x} \delta_{x} \tag{Eqn.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above equation, notations with " $x$ " are replaced with factored or service level values as appropriate. The first term on the right side of Equation 1 represents the maximum moment of a uniform load at the mid-height of the wall (normally wind or earthquake loads). The second term represents the moment induced by eccentrically applied floor or roof loads. The third term is the P-delta effect, which is the moment induced by vertical axial loads and lateral deflection of the wall.

D dead load, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})$
$E_{m} \quad$ modulus of elasticity of masonry in compression, psi (MPa)
$e \quad$ eccentricity ofaxial load-measured from centroid of wall, in.(mm)
$f_{m} \quad$ specified masonry compressive strength, psi (MPa) modulus of rupture, psi (MPa)
$f_{I}$ factor for floor load: $=1.0$ for floors in places of public assembly, for live loads in excess of $100 \mathrm{psf}(4.8 \mathrm{kPa})$ and for parking garage live loads; $=0.5$ otherwise
$h \quad$ height of wall, in. (mm)
$I_{c r}$ moment of inertia of cracked cross-sectional area of a member, in. $4 / \mathrm{ft}\left(\mathrm{mm}^{4} / \mathrm{m}\right)$
$I_{8}$ moment of inertia of gross cross-sectional area of a member, taken here as equal to $I_{\mathrm{avz}}$, in. $4 / \mathrm{ft}\left(\mathrm{mm}^{4} / \mathrm{m}\right)$
$L \quad$ live load, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})$
$L_{r} \quad$ roof live load, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})$
$M_{c r}$ nominal cracking moment strength, in. $-\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{kN} \cdot \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{m})$ service moment at midheight of a member, including Pdeltaeffects, in. $-\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{kN} \cdot \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{m})$
$M_{u}$ factored moment, in. $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ or $\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{kNm} / \mathrm{m})$
$P_{u}{ }_{u} \quad$ factored axial load, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})$
$P_{u f}^{u} \quad$ factored load from tributary floor or roof areas, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{kN} /$ m)
$P_{w} \quad$ load due to wall weight, $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m})$
$S_{n}^{w} \quad$ section modulus of the net cross-sectional area of a member, in. $3 / \mathrm{ft}\left(\mathrm{mm}^{3} / \mathrm{m}\right)$
$s \quad$ spacing of vertical reinforcement, in. (mm)
$W \quad$ wind load, $\mathrm{psf}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
$\delta_{\mathrm{s}}$ horizontal deflection at midheight under service loads, in.(mm)
$\delta_{u} \quad$ deflection due to factored loads, in. (mm)

Solving for Moment:
Max Axial Load due Load Combos from Roof Slab
$W_{x}:=12.442$
$h:=10 f t=1$
$P_{x f}:=7350$
$e:=0 f t$
Assume eccent
$P_{x}:=0 \quad l b f$
No axial force acts directly on wall, there will be an axial force acting on the masonry column
$\delta_{x}:=0$ in The last term is neglected due to $P \_f$ being 0
$M:=\binom{W_{x} \cdot h^{2}}{8}+\left(\left(P_{x f}\right) \cdot\binom{e}{2}\right)+\left(\left(P_{x} \cdot \delta_{x}\right)\right)=155.525 \quad f t \cdot l b f$
$P:=P_{x f}=\left(\left(7.35 \cdot 10^{3}\right) / p l f\right.$

## To size vertical rebar...



Our wall is an 8"CMU section... the moment is very low and the structure could qualify to not be reinforced, but we are going to use No. 4 (M13) vertical reinforcing bars at 36 in spacing ( 3 feet spacing) to reinforce the walls (just being conservative). Professor Stoakes said that is the minimum spacing.

## Phase One CMU Column Calculations

Link: https://ncma.org/resource/allowable-stress-design-of-concrete-masonry-columns/


## INTRODUCTION

Masonry elements typically support both axial and lateral loads. For structural elements that resist primarily lateral forces, axial load can increase the element's flexural resistance. In this case, axial load is often neglected as a conservative assumption which simplifies the analysis. However, for elements carrying significant axial loads, such as columns, the additional moment due to lateral loads or eccentric axial loads typically reduces the element's axial capacity. In this case, the design must consider the interaction between axial load and moment.

The walls will need to support major axial point loads from the Phase 3 Roof, so columns are needed.

By definition, a column is an isolated vertical member whose horizontal dimension measured at right angles to its thickness does not exceed three times its thickness and whose height is greater than four times its thickness (ref. 1). Columns function primarily as compression members when supporting beams, girders, trusses or similar elements.

## Our columns will meet this criteria.

[^0]Th effective height of the column will be roughly 10 feet between the roof diaphragm and the floor slab. Our column will be at least 8 inches per side, so $10 \mathrm{ft} / 8 \mathrm{in}=15$ so the ratio is for sure satisfied. In addition, we will be sure to concentrically load our columns, so eccentricity will not be an issue.

## For Column Size.....

## Section in Compression

An eccentricity located within the kern (center one-third) of the column places the entire section in compression. In this case, capacity is determined by the equations for $P_{a}$ listed a above, and Table 2 can be used for design for columns up to $20 \mathrm{ft}(6.1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) high. The table assumes the element is in pure compression under a minimum design eccentricity of $0.1 t$ for each axis, as required by the Code. The designer is responsible for confirming this.

Our columns will be concentrically loaded so we can use table 2 in this guide to size the columns based purely on the axial force

| Column size, in. (mm) | Allowable column compressive force, kip(kN) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8 \times 8(203 \times 20$ | 183720(165) |  |  |
| $8 \times 16(203 \times 400)$ |  |  |  |
| $8 \times 24(203 \times 6)$ | $56^{-4 .}$ (249) |  |  |
| $10 \times 16(254 \times 46)$ | $4{ }^{46}$ (206) |  |  |
| $10 \times 24(254 \times 6)$ | $75^{\circ}$ (316) |  |  |
| $12 \times 12(305 \times 30$ | 4 (186) |  |  |
| $12 \times 16(305 \times 406)$ | 56 (299) |  |  |
| $12 \times 24(305 \times 61$ | 8 (378) |  |  |
| $12 \times 32(305 \times 81$ |  | $114 .(807)$ |  |
| $16 \times 16(406 \times 46)$ |  | \% (388) |  |
| $16 \times 24$ (406x6 |  | 115 |  |
| $16 \times 32(406 \times 81$ | 154 (685) |  |  |
| $24 \times 34(610 \times 61$ | $174(773)$233(1030) |  |  |
| $24 \times 32(610 \times 81$ | ) $\quad 292$ (1300) |  |  |
| $24 \times 40(610 \times 10$ |  |  |  |
| Notes: |  |  |  |
| The table as sumes the element is in purceompresion, i.e., that the axial load falls within the center cene-thind of the section, under a minimumdeigneecentricity off. It for eachaxis as required by the Code. The designer must ansure the section is in compression prior to uxing the table. $f_{0}-1500$ psi ( 103 MPa ). F $-24,000$ psi ( 165 MPa ) (Girsde (60 steel) One kip - $1,000 \mathrm{lb}(4.4 \mathrm{kN}$ ). |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLendernesslimitations: |  |  |  |
| 'Themaximumallowableheighe for 8 in. columas is $15.9 \mathrm{~A}(4.8 \mathrm{~m})$. |  |  |  |
| Height | Cumber | Bar | Maximumbload |
| 15.1-15.9(4.6-4.8) | 4 | $\mathrm{Na.4(M13)}$ | $34(151)$ |
| 14.0-15.9(4.3-4.8) | 4 Na 4(M13) |  | 48 (213) |
| 15.1-159(4.6-4.8) | 4 | Na. 5(M16) | 52(231) |
| 153-315.9(4.6-48) |  |  | $53(236)$ |
| 18.6-20(5.6-6.1) | 4 | No. 4 (M13) | 42(186) |
| 16.9-18(5.1-5.5) | 4 | Na 4 (M13) | $67(298)$ |
| 18.0-20(5.5-6.6.) | 4 |  | $60(266)$$64(284)$ |
| 18.2-20(5.5-6.1) | 6 |  |  |
| 19.3-20(5.9.6.1) | 8 | - 5(M16) | $\begin{aligned} & 68(302) \\ & 64(284) \end{aligned}$ |
| 18.3-20(5.6-6.1) | 4 | Na. 5(M16) |  |
| 19.7-20(6.0-6.1) | 6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6(284) \\ & 70(311) \end{aligned}$ |
| 19.7-20(6.0-6.1) | 4 | Ne.6(M19) | $69(307)$ |

The maximum axial load from the column is 70 kip . Therefore, using a column size of $16 " \times 16^{\prime \prime}$ would satisfy this axial load (due to its capacity of 76 kip ) and conform to the geometry of the wall pretty well.

## For Reinforcement.....

## The Code allows lateral ties to be placed in either mortar or grout, although placement in grout more effectively prevents buckling and results in more ductile behavior. For this reason, the Code requires ties to be embedded in grout in Seismic Performance Categories D and E .

Vertical reinforcement and lateral ties are needed... for the lateral ties, they will be placed in the grout because that results in better performance.

| Column size,in.(mm) | Table 1-AllowableColumn Reinforcement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of reinforcing bars permitted, based on $0.0025 A_{n} \leq A_{s} \leq 0.04 A_{k}$, for bar sizes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } 4 \\ \text { (M13) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } 5 \\ \text { (M16) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } 6 \\ \text { (M19) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } 7 \\ \text { (M22) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } 8 \\ \text { (M25) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } 9 \\ \text { (M29) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } 10 \\ & \text { (M32) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } 11 \\ & \text { (M36) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $8 \times 8 \quad(203 \times 203)$ | 4-10 | 4 | 4 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| $8 \times 16(203 \times 406)$ | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-10 | 4-8 | 4-6 | 4 | N/A | N/A |
| $8 \times 24(203 \times 610)$ | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-8 | 4-6 | 4 | 4 |
| $10 \times 16$ ( $254 \times 406$ ) | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-10 | 4-6 | 4-6 | 4 | N/A |
| $10 \times 24(254 \times 610)$ | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-10 | 4-8 | 4-6 | 4 |
| $12 \times 12(305 \times 305)$ | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-8 | 4-6 | 4 | 4 | N/A |
| $12 \times 16$ ( $305 \times 406$ ) | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-8 | 4-6 | 4 | 4 |
| $12 \times 24(305 \times 610)$ | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-10 | 4-8 | 4-6 |
| $12 \times 32(305 \times 813)$ | 6-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-10 | 4-8 |
| $16 \times 16$ (406x406) | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-8 | 4-6 | 4-8 |
| $16 \times 24(406 \times 610)$ | 6-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-10 | 4-8 |
| $16 \times 32(406 \times 813)$ | 8-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 |
| $24 \times 24(610 \times 610)$ | 8-12 | 6-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 |
| $24 \times 32$ (610×813) | 10-12 | 8-12 | 6-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 |
| $24 \times 40$ (610x 1016) | 12 | 8-12 | 6-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 | 4-12 |

© Table 1-Allowable Column Reinforcement

Since Grade 60 No. 4 (M13) bars are used for the walls, we are sticking with this type of reinforcement. 8 vertical bars are required for a $16 " \times 16 "$ column due to a max of 6 " clear distance. Additional reinforcement requirements are shown below.

(0) Figure 1-Column Reinforcement and Lateral Tie Requirements

The lateral tie spacing will be 16 vertical bar diameters. The vertical bars are No. 4 bars. No. 4 bars have a diameter of 0.5 ". Therefore, the vertical spacing of the ties is 8 inches. The ties are $0.25^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter.

## Phase Three Restroom Wall Calculations

Link: https://ncma.org/resource/loadbearing-concrete-masonry-wall-design/

The design aids in this TEK cover combined axial compression or axial tension and flexure, as determined using the allowable stress design provisions of Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures (ref. 1). The data in this TEK applies to 8 in . ( 203 mm ) thick reinforced concrete masonry walls with a specified compressive strength, $f^{\prime} m$, of 1500 psi $(10.3 \mathrm{MPa})$, and a maximum wall height of $20 \mathrm{ft}(6.1 \mathrm{~m})$ ) (taller walls can be evaluated using the NCMA computer software (ref. 3) or other design tools). Reinforcing bars are assumed to be located at the center of the wall, and bar sizes $4,5,6,7$, and 8 are included.

The maximum wall height of the phase 3 ramp is 15 feet, so we can use this guide.
There will be no axial load on this wall. The moment is as follows
h. $=15 \mathrm{ft} \quad$ Max height of ramp wall
$W_{x}:=12.442 p s f \quad$ load from wind
$M:=\binom{W_{x} \cdot h^{2}}{(2)}=\left(\left(1.4 \cdot 10^{3}\right)\right)^{f t \cdot l b f}$


There is no No. 4 reinforcement spacing that is sufficient for the walls, so the reinforcement must be sized up to a No. 5 bar


No. 5 vertical reinforcement bars at 8 inch spacing is sufficient for the moment Horizontal reinforcement will be the same as specified for the phase one restroom walls

## Anchoring of Veneer

Link: https://ncma.org/resource/concrete-masonry-veneers/
A 1 in . $(25 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) minimum air space must be maintained between the anchored veneer and backing to facilitate drainage. A 1 in . $(25 \mathrm{~mm})$ air space is considered appropriate if special precautions are taken to keep the air space clean (such as beveling the mortar bed away from the cavity). Otherwise, a 2 in . ( 51 mm ) air space is preferred. As an alternative, proprietary insulating drainage products can be used.

A 1 inch gap between backing and veneer is appropriate

## Selecting Wall Ties

Link: https://www.blok-lok.com/index.php/product/2-seal-concrete-seal-tie/
Spec Sheet: https://www.blok-lok.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/13CON2SEALTIE.pdf

Our cavity is 4 " ( $3^{\prime \prime}$ insulation and 1 " air gap)... was tested with a $4.5^{\prime \prime}$ gap so it is sufficient


Concrete 2-Seal ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Tie
CONCRETE, CMU, or MASONRY BACKUP SIZE \& SELECTION CHART


3" of insulation for restroom structure, so use the highlighted tie... for the stage walls and the ramp walls, use the $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ embedded wall tie


## Selecting Horizontal Reinforcement

Link: https://www.h-b.com/index.php?main_page=product_info\&products_id=75 Spec Sheet: https://www.h-b.com/images/submittal/08220LAPRITEL.pdf


For CMU horizontal reinforcing, 220 Ladder-Mesh to prevent cracking, placed every layer

## SPECIFYING BRICK VENEER CONTROL JOINTS

Link: https://ncma.org/resource/crack-control-for-concrete-brick-and-other-concrete-masonry-veneers/

Crack Control Recommendations for
Concrete Masonry Veneers ${ }^{\mathrm{A}}$
Control joints: maximum panel length to height ratio of $1 \frac{1}{2}$, and maximum spacing of $20 \mathrm{ft}(6.1 \mathrm{~m})$ and where stress concentrations occur
Joint reinforcement: at 16 in . $(406 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) o.c.
Mortar: Type N
Adjust as needed to suit local conditions and experience.

Height - 10 feet
Every 15 feet there will be an control joint and also at stress concentrations

## Determining Lintel Size

Link: https://ncma.org/resource/precast-concrete-lintels-for-concrete-masonry-construction/ Link: https://ncma.org/resource/asd-of-concrete-masonry-lintels-2012-ibc-2011-msjc/

Design for the longest span...
A modular lintel length should be specified, with a minimum length of the clear span plus 8 in. $(203 \mathrm{~mm})$, to provide at least $4 \mathrm{in} .(102 \mathrm{~mm})$ bearing at each end (ref. 1). Additionally, if lintels are subjected to tensile stresses during storage, transportation, handling, or placement, it is recommended that steel reinforcement be provided in both the top and bottom to prevent cracking. Minimum concrete cover over the steel should be $11 / 2 \mathrm{in}$. ( 13 $\mathrm{mm})$. The lintel width, or width of the combination of side-by-side lintels, should equal the width of the supported masonry wythe.

Longest clear span is 8 feet
Length $:=5.5 \mathrm{ft}+4 \mathrm{in}+4 \mathrm{in}=6.167 \mathrm{ft}$
Width $:=8$ in $\quad$ Width of wythe
Reinforcement will be needed

Need to design for flexure and shear
equal or exceed the factored loads. Precast concrete strength reduction factors are 0.9 and 0.85 for flexure and shear, respectively (ref. 2).

Flexure strength

$$
\phi M_{n}=\phi\left[A_{s} f_{y}(d-a / 2)\right], \quad \phi=0.9
$$

Shear strength

$$
\phi V_{n}=\phi(2)\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2} b d, \phi=0.85
$$



Use precast lintel chart

Loading

| LC | LRFD | ASD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $1.4 D$ | $D$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $1.2 D+1.6 L+0.5\left(L_{r}\right.$ or $S$ or $\left.R\right)$ | $D+L$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $1.2 D+1.6\left(L_{r}\right.$ or $S$ or $\left.R\right)+(L$ or $0.5 W)$ | $D+\left(L_{r}\right.$ or $S$ or $\left.R\right)$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $1.2 D+W+L+0.5\left(L_{r}\right.$ or $S$ or $\left.R\right)$ | $D+0.75 L+0.75\left(L_{r}\right.$ or $S$ or $\left.R\right)$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $0.9 D+W$ | $D+0.6 W$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $1.2 D+E_{v}+E_{h}+L+0.2 S$ | $D+0.75 L+0.75(0.6 W)+0.75\left(L_{r}\right.$ or $S$ or $\left.R\right)$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $0.9 D-E_{v}+E_{h}$ | $0.6 D+0.6 W$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ |  | $D+0.7 E_{v}+0.7 E_{h}$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ |  | $D+0.525 E_{v}+0.525 E_{h}+0.75 L+0.75 S$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  | $0.6 D-0.7 E_{v}+0.7 E_{h}$ |

Where:
D= Dead Load
L= Live Load
Lr= Roof Live Load
S= Snow Load
W= Wind Load
R= Rain Load

## Loads:



Tributary Area Over the Largest Opening....

$$
\text { Trib }: \left.=\begin{gathered}
16.6666 \mathrm{ft} \\
2
\end{gathered} \right\rvert\,+4 \mathrm{ft}=12.333 \mathrm{ft} \quad \text { At Overhang }
$$

Dead $_{\text {Wall }}:=125$ pcf $\cdot 16$ in $\cdot 8$ in +120 pcf $\cdot 4$ in $\cdot 16$ in $=164.444$ plf
$w:=$ MaxLoad $\cdot$ Trib + Dead $_{\text {Wall }} \cdot 1.2=3.61 \mathrm{klf}$

$$
M_{M a x}:=\begin{gathered}
w \cdot \text { Length }^{2} \\
8
\end{gathered}=\left(\left(2.059 \cdot 10^{5}\right)\right) \text { in } \cdot l b f
$$

$$
V:=w \cdot \text { Length }_{=}\left(\left(1.113 \cdot 10^{4}\right) / l b f\right.
$$

$$
2
$$



Specify a $8 x 16$ lintel with 1 \#6 bar for reinforcement and 1.5 inches of concrete cover with 4000 psi compressive strength concrete

## Phase One Restroom Insulation Calcs

## Link to International Energy Conservation Code:

https://codes.iccsafe.org/content/IECC2021P2/chapter-4-ce-commercial-energy-efficiency

Considering this building a class C - commercial building

CHAPTER 4 [CE] COMMERCIAL
ENERGY EFFICIENCY

C401.2.1 International Energy Conservation Code.
Commercial buildings shall comply with one of the following:

1. Prescriptive Compliance. The Prescriptive Compliance option requires compliance with Sections C402 through C406 and Section C408. Dwelling units and sleeping units in Group R-2 buildings without
systems serving multiple units shall be deemed to be in compliance with this chapter, provided that they comply with Section R406.
2. Total Building Performance. The Total Building Performance option requires compliance with Section C407.

Exception: Additions, alterations, repairs and changes of occupancy to existing buildings complying with Chapter 5 .

This building is going to comply with the prescriptive compliance... for insulation on building we will start off in section C4.02 Building Envelope Requirements
C402.1 General. [
Building thermal envelope assemblies for buildings that are intended to comply with the code on a prescriptive basis in accordance with the compliance path described in Item 1 of Section C401.2.1 shall comply with the following:

1. The opaque portions of the building thermal envelope shall comply with the specific insulation requirements of Section C402.2 and the thermal requirements of either the $R$-value-based method of Section C402.1.3; the U-, C- and F-factor-based method of Section C402.1.4; or the component performance alternative of Section C402.1.5
2. Roof solar reflectance and thermal emittance shall comply with Section C402.3.
3. Fenestration in building envelope assemblies shall comply with Section C402.4.
4. Air leakage of building envelope assemblies shall comply with Section C402.5.

Alternatively, where buildings have a vertical fenestration area or skylight area exceeding that allowed in Section C402.4, the building and building thermal envelope shall comply with Item 2 of Section C401.2.1 or Section C401.2.2.

Walk-in coolers, walk-in freezers, refrigerated warehouse coolers and refrigerated warehouse freezers shall comply with Section C403.11


## For Roof Insulation

## C402.2.3 Floors.

The thermal properties (component $R$-values or assembly $U$-, $C$ - or $F$-factors) of floor assemblies over outdoor air or unconditioned space shall be as specified in Table C402.1.3 or C402.1.4 based on the construction materials used in the floor assembly. Floor framing cavity insulation or structural slab insulation shall be installed to maintain permanent contact with the underside of the subfloor decking or structural slabs.
"Mass floors" where used as a component of the thermal envelope of a building shall provide one of the following weights:

1. 35 pounds per square foot $\left(171 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ of floor surface area.
2. 25 pounds per square foot $\left(122 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ of floor surface area where the material weight is not more than 120 pounds per cubic foot ( $1923 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ).

|  |  |  |  | Floors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mass ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | NR | NR | R-6.3ci | R-8.3ci | R-10ci | R-10ci | R-14.6ci | R-16.7ci | R-14.6ci | R-16.7ci | R-16.: |
| Joist/framing | R-13 | R-13 | R-30 | R-30 | R-30 | R-30 | R-30 | R-30 | R-30 | R-30 | R-38 |

Considering it a mass floor because floor composite deck is over $35 \mathrm{psf} . . \mathrm{R}$ value needed is $\mathrm{R}-14.6 \mathrm{ci}$ (continuous insulation)

We are going with a closed cell foam insulation product

## Benefits of Closed Cell Foam

Closed cell foam is the best choice for robust insulating where space is an issue, as it can achieve $2 x$ the R-Value of open cell inside a standard wall. Its rigid nature also adds to the structural integrity of the building and E84 fire rated versions are available. The closed cell also acts as a vapor barrier, so water and moisture will be less likely to get inside the home, and the foam itself
is unharmed by water damage.
Product: Handi-Foam ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Quick Cure E-84 (Class 1)
https://www.energyefficientsolutions.com/Fire_Rated.asp? item=FOAM605E84\&gclid=EAlaIQobChMI3LfOkj1_QIVyv_jBx19aQAPEAQYAyABEgK6K_D_BwE

R Value: R-6.2... required thickness of 2.5 inches
$\$ 750$ for 605 board feet $=605 \mathrm{ft}^{\wedge} 2$ at 1 in depth

Amount of spray foam needed


## Meets $R$ value requirement

## Density:= 1.75 lbf <br> $f t^{3}$

DeadLoad:= Density $\cdot 2.5$ in $=0.365 \mathrm{psf}$

```
C402.5.1.3 Materials. [
Materials with an air permeability not greater than 0.004 cfm/tt ( }0.02\textrm{L}/\textrm{s}\times\mp@subsup{\textrm{m}}{}{2})\mathrm{ under a pressure differential of 0.3 inch water gauge ( }75\textrm{Pa}\mathrm{ ) when tested in accordance with ASTM E2178 shall comply with this
section. Materials in ltems }1\mathrm{ through }16\mathrm{ shall be deemed to comply with this section, provided that joints are sealed and materials are installed as air barriers in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.
    1. Plywood with a thickness of not less than 3/8 inch (10 mm).
    2. Oriented strand board having a thickness of not less than 3/8 inch (10 mm)
    3. Extruded polystyrene insulation board having a thickness of not less than 1/2 inch (12.7 mm)
    4. Foil-back polyisocyanurate insulation board having a thickness of not less than 1/2 inch (12.7 mm)
    5. Closed-cell spray foam having a minimum density of 1.5 pcf ( }2.4\textrm{kg}/\mp@subsup{\textrm{m}}{}{3})\mathrm{ and having a thickness of not less than 11/2 inches ( }38\textrm{mm}\mathrm{ )
    6. Open-cell spray foam with a density between 0.4 and 1.5 pcf (0.6 and 2.4 kg/m}\mp@subsup{}{}{3}\mathrm{ ) and having a thickness of not less than 4.5 inches (113 mm).
    7. Exterior or interior gypsum board having a thickness of not less than 1/2 inch (12.7 mm)
    8. Cement board having a thickness of not less than 1/2 inch (12.7 mm).
    9. Built-up roofing membrane
    10. Modified bituminous roof membrane.
    11. Single-ply roof membrane
    12. A Portland cement/sand parge, or gypsum plaster having a thickness of not less than 5/8 inch (15.9 mm)
    3. Cast-in-place and precast concrete
    14. Fully grouted concrete block masonry
    15. Sheet steel or aluminum.
    16. Solid or hollow masonry constructed of clay or shale masonry units
```


## Meets air barrier compliance

## For Floor Slab

| Slab-on-grade floors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unheated slabs | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | R-10 for <br> 24" below | R-15 for 24" below | R-15 for 24" below | R -15 for <br> 24" below | R-20 for <br> 24" below | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}-201 \\ 24^{\prime \prime} \text { bel } \end{gathered}$ |

No insulation requirement needed because slab does not sit $24^{\prime \prime}$ below grade (unheated slab)

## For Wall Insulation

Can be considered a mass wall because CMU blocks have a high density which results in a weight not less than 35 psf

## C402.2.2 Above-grade walls.

The minimum thermal resistance ( $R$-value) of materials installed in the wall cavity between framing members and continuously on the walls shall be as specified in Table C402.1.3, based on framing type and construction materials used in the wall assembly. The $R$-value of integral insulation installed in concrete masonry units shall not be used in determining compliance with Table C402.1.3 except as otherwise noted in the table. In determining compliance with Table C402.1.4, the use of the $U$-factor of concrete masonry units with integral insulation shall be permitted.
"Mass walls" where used as a component in the thermal envelope of a building shall comply with one of the following:

1. Weigh not less than 35 pounds per square foot $\left(171 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ of wall surface area.
2. Weigh not less than 25 pounds per square foot $\left(122 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ of wall surface area where the material weight is not more than $120 \mathrm{pcf}\left(1900 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$.
3. Have a heat capacity exceeding $7 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{tt}^{2} \times{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(144 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \times \mathrm{K}\right)$.
4. Have a heat capacity exceeding $5 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{ft}^{2} \times{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(103 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \times \mathrm{K}\right)$, where the material weight is not more than $120 \mathrm{pcf}\left(1900 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$.

| Walls, above grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mass ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | R-5.7ci ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | R-5.7ci ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | R-5.7ci ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | R-7.6ci | R-7.6ci | R-9.5ci | R-9.5ci | R-11.4ci | R-11.4ci | R-13.3ci | R-13.: |
| Metal building | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13+ } \\ & \text { R-6.5ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13+ } \\ & \text { R-6.5ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R13+ } \\ & \text { R-6.5ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13 + } \\ & \text { R-13ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13 + } \\ & \text { R-6.5ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13 + } \\ & \text { R-13ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13 + } \\ & \text { R-13ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13 + } \\ & \text { R-14ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13 + } \\ & \text { R-14ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13+ } \\ & \text { R-14ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}-13 \\ & \mathrm{R}-14 \end{aligned}$ |
| Metal framed | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}-13+ \\ \mathrm{R}-5 \mathrm{ci} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}-13+ \\ & \mathrm{R}-5 \mathrm{ci} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}-13+ \\ & \mathrm{R}-5 \mathrm{ci} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13+ } \\ & \text { R-7.5ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13+ } \\ & \text { R-7.5ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13+ } \\ & \text { R-7.5ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13+ } \\ & \text { R-7.5ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13+ } \\ & \text { R-7.5ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13+ } \\ & \text { R-10ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-13+ } \\ & \text { R-10ci } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}-13 \\ \mathrm{R}-12 .! \end{gathered}$ |
| Wood framed and Cơtsidering | R-13 + <br> R-3.8ci or it ${ }^{R 20} \mathrm{~m}$ | R-13 + <br> R-3.8ci or <br> ass-20a | R-13 + R-3.8ci or ab3 ve | $\mathrm{R}-13+$ $\mathrm{R}-3.8 \mathrm{ci}$ or grade ${ }^{-20}{ }^{20}$ the $R$ | $\mathrm{R}-13+$ <br> R-3.8ci or <br> valute |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}-13+ \\ \mathrm{R}-3.8 \mathrm{ci} \text { or } \\ .4 \mathrm{CR}^{-2} \mathrm{Co} \end{gathered}$ | R-13 + R-3.8ci or <br>  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}-13+ \\ \mathrm{R}-7.5 \mathrm{ci} \text { or } \\ \mathrm{R}-20+ \\ \text { latio. } \mathrm{Ci} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{R}-13 \\ \mathrm{R}-7.5 \mathrm{c} \\ \mathrm{R}-20 \\ \mathrm{R}-3.8 \end{array}$ |

We are going with rigid foam board insulation commonly used with masonry walls

Product: R-Tech 1 1/2 in $\times 48$ in. $x 8$ ft. R-5.78 EPS Rigid Foam Board Insulation
https://www.homedepot.com/p/R-Tech-1-1-2-in-x-48-in-x-8-ft-
R-5-78-EPS-Rigid-Foam-Board-Insulation-320817/202532855
How much will you need?
Please note: calculations are estimates and can only be made using whole
numbers.
Calculate by Square Footage

| Area 1 <br> Width: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 152 Ht Height: <br> 10 H $\times$ |  |


| Area 2 <br> Width: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 34 ft | 10 |  |

+ Add Area

Calculate

64 units
\$1,341.44
will cover 2048.00 sq . ft.
Est. Total
$\checkmark$ Include an extra $10 \%$ to co cover thetential
Double this ${ }^{\text {Pa }}$ to cover potential waste breaks
Cost: $\$ 2682.88$ for 128 units of R-Tech $11 / 2$ in $x 48$ in. $x 8$ ft. R-5.78 EPS Rigid Foam Board Insulation

Weight in psf

$$
\text { Density :=4 } \begin{gathered}
\text { lbf } \\
\\
\\
8 f t \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{in} \cdot 48 \mathrm{in}
\end{gathered}=1 \mathrm{pcf}
$$

WallInsulation $:=3 \mathrm{in} \cdot$ Density $=0.25$ psf

## C402.5.1.3 Materials.

 section. Materials $n$ Item; 1 thrcugh $1 €$ shall te deened to compl' with this sec ion, pr ovided that joints are sealed and materias are installecl as air barrie s in accordar ce with the $m$ anufacturer's $n$ ntruclions.

1. Fil wood with a t incine ;s of not iess nan ${ }^{3} / \varepsilon$ inch (iû mm
2. Or ented strand soard laving a thick eess of not less than $3 / 8$ inch ( 10 mm )
3. Extruded polysty rene ir sulation board having a thickness of not lass then $1 / 2$ irch ( 127 mm )
4. Fcil-back polyisucyanurate insulatior board having a thickness of not l ess than $1 / \frac{1}{2}$ inch $(12 .: \mathrm{mm})$

5. Oren-cel sprav foam vith a density hetween 0.4 and $1.5 \mathrm{pcf}(0.1)$ and $2.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{r} \mathrm{r}^{3}$ ) anc havinçi a thickness of not less than 4.5 inches (' 13 mml )
6. Exterior cr interi or gyps um bo ard having at tickness of nct less than $\frac{1}{2}$ inch ( 2.7 mm ).
o. Cemont koard houng a thicleoss of not loss than $1 / 2$ inch ( 12.7 imm ).
7. Built-up roofing inembrane

1u. ivindiified bitumirıous roví meniorane

1. Single-ply roof nembrene
2. A Portlan d cement/san d parge, or gy psum plaster naving a thick ess o not le:ss than $5 / 8$ inc $1(15.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).
3. Cést-in-p ace ar d precast cor crete.
4. Fully grouted concrete slock niason
5. Steet steel or aluminurn
6. Sclid or rollow rasonr/ const ucted of clay or shale masınry un ts

## $M$ zet ; ajr barrier co npliance

Non-Commercial Use Only

## ous Footing Design for the Restroom:

riteria:
ekness: $t_{\text {cmu }}:=$ FIF "8" $\quad t_{\text {brick }}:=$ FIF "4" $t_{\text {air }}:=$ FIF "1" $\quad t_{\text {insulation }}:=$ FIF "3"

|  | $t_{\text {wall }}:=t_{\text {cmu }}+t_{\text {brick }}+t_{\text {air }}+t_{\text {insulation }}=16$ in |  | USE SOLID GROUT$\gamma_{c m u}:=130 p c f$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ight: | $\gamma_{\text {fill }}:=120 \mathrm{pcf}$ | $\gamma_{\text {brick }}:=120$ pcf |  | $\gamma_{c o n c}:=150 \mathrm{pcf}$ |  |
| safety: | $F S_{\text {overturning }}:=1.5$ | $F S_{\text {sliding }}:=1.5$ | $F S_{\text {bearing }}:=3$ |  |  |
| wall: | $H_{\text {total }}:=$ FIF " ${ }^{\text {12' }}$ '" | $H_{\text {wall }}:=$ FIF "9' 6 " | Need an ex | of height due | to fro |

ss of footing/ $\quad t_{f}:=$ FIF "1' 8 ""

$$
B:=F I F \quad \text { "3'" }
$$

footing: $\quad B:=$ FIF "، 3 '"
Footing: $\quad D_{f}:=3 f t+t_{f} \quad$ DUE TO FROST LINE AT 4 ft .
arth Pressure:

$$
\left.K_{a}:=\tan \left(\begin{array}{l}
\pi \\
4 \\
4
\end{array}\right)^{\phi^{\prime}}\right)^{2}=0.3333
$$

$\gamma_{\text {fill }} \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {total }}-H_{\text {wall }}+t_{f}\right)\right)^{2} \cdot K_{a}=0.4356$ kip
$20 p s f$
vind $\cdot H_{\text {wall }=0.19} \mathrm{kip}$
ing Moment:

$$
\text { ning } \left.:=P_{a} \cdot \frac{\left(\left(H_{\text {total }}-H_{\text {wall }}+t_{f}\right)\right)}{3}+P_{w} \cdot\left(H_{\text {wall }}\right)+3 f t\right)=2.15 \mathrm{kip} \cdot \mathrm{ft}
$$

moment arms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{m}:=\left(\left(t_{\text {cmu }}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{c m u}+\left(\left(t_{\text {brick }} \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{\text {brick }}\right)\right)=1.2033 \begin{array}{r}
\text { kip } \\
\mathrm{ft}
\end{array} \quad r_{m}:=\begin{array}{l}
B \\
2
\end{array}=1.5 \mathrm{ft} \\
& W_{e}:=2\left(\begin{array}{l}
B \\
2
\end{array}-\left(0.5 \cdot\left(t_{\text {wall }}\right)\right)\right) \cdot 3 f t \cdot \gamma_{\text {fill }}=0.6 \begin{array}{r}
\text { kip } \\
f t
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{m}+W_{e}+W_{f}+W_{\text {wall }}+W_{\text {roof }}=13.1533 \mathrm{kip} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\Sigma W \cdot \tan \phi^{\prime} \\
P
\end{array}=17.4354 \quad F S_{\text {sliding }}=1.5 \\
& >F S_{\text {sliding }} \quad \text { OK } \\
& \text { Pressure: } \\
& \Sigma W=4384.4444 p s f \\
& \text { 's continuous foundation equation: }
\end{aligned}
$$

Technically would have a passive pressure but is a conservative answer

Pressure:
4384.4444 psf
$\left.D_{f}\right) \rho \cdot \gamma_{f i l l}=560 p s f$

$$
\mathrm{gross}^{-}-\sigma_{z o}^{\prime}=3824.444 \mathrm{psf}
$$

ion Settlement:

$$
\left.=\alpha \cdot I_{s} \cdot I_{f} \cdot \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
\left(q_{n e t} \cdot\left(1-\mu_{s}^{2}\right)\right) \\
( & E_{s}
\end{array}\right.\right) \cdot B^{\prime}
$$

$$
=0.094 \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
0.93 \cdot \delta_{\text {flexible }}=0.087 \text { in } \quad \text { OK }
$$

## sign:

$$
f_{c}^{\prime}:=4000 \mathrm{psi} \quad f_{y}:=60 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

of $\quad f_{c}^{\prime}:=4000 \mathrm{psi} \quad f_{y}:=60 \mathrm{ksi}$ and steel:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left|\binom{r_{4}+B}{L}+B \cdot \ln \right|\binom{\left(r_{4}+L\right.}{B}-\begin{array}{c}
r_{4^{3}}-L^{3}-B^{3} \\
3 \cdot L \cdot B
\end{array}=8.4093 \mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\left(B+r_{2}\right)\right) \cdot r_{1}\right) r^{2} \quad\left(\left(\left(L+r_{1}\right)\right) \cdot r_{2}\right) \\
& \cdot \ln |\quad|+\quad \cdot \ln |\quad|=2.042 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \left.\left.\left(\left(\mid B+r_{3}\right)\right) \cdot r\right) \quad B \quad\left(\left(L+r_{3}\right)\right) \cdot r\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\left(r_{1}+r_{2}-r_{3}-r\right)\right) \\
& L \cdot B \quad=0.4251 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \operatorname{atan}_{\binom{L \cdot B}{\left(r \cdot r_{3}\right)}=2.4029 f t} \\
& \cdot Y_{1}+\beta_{2} \cdot Y_{2}+\beta_{3} \cdot Y_{3}+\beta_{4} \cdot Y_{4}+\beta_{5} \cdot Y_{5} \\
& \left(\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) \cdot Y_{1}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## ressure:

$=4384.4444 p s f$
(Long dimension. Use 1 ft analysis strip)
(short dimension)
(width of wall)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{m}+W_{\text {wall }+10} \begin{array}{c}
\text { kip } \\
f t
\end{array}=11.8033 \mathrm{klf} \\
& =P \cdot(B-c-2 \cdot d)=-4.3443 \mathrm{klf} \\
& 0.75 \cdot\left(2 \cdot \lambda \cdot{ }_{\left.f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot p s i \cdot L_{2} \cdot d\right)}=56.7787 \mathrm{klf}\right. \\
& =\text { if } V_{u O n e W a y}<\phi V_{\text {cOneWay }} \text { | } \\
& \text { " "The footing has adequate shear strength" } \\
& \text { II } \\
& \text { else } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { else } \\
\text { "I"،The footing has inadequate shear strength"", } \\
\text { "| }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$=$ "The footing has adequate shear strength"

## lexural Strength:



Rebars: $\quad A_{\# \sigma}:=0.44 \mathrm{in}^{2}$

$$
\begin{array}{cc|c|c}
\beta_{1} \cdot 0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot b & 3 \cdot d_{t}=10.8104 \mathrm{in}^{2} & \text { The design is tension controlled } & A \leq A \\
\hline f_{y} & 8 & & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Concrete Compression block:

## evelopment Length of Flexural 180 degree Hooked Rebars:

rvative assumptions:

$$
\psi_{r}:=1.0 \quad \psi_{o}^{:=1.0} \quad \psi_{c}:=0.6+f_{c}^{\prime} f_{c}^{\prime}=0.8600 p s i \quad d_{\text {bar }}:=D_{\# 6}
$$

$$
\left.\max \right|^{\prime} 6 \text { in }, 8 \cdot d_{b a r},\left(55 \cdot \lambda \cdot \min \left(100 p s i, V_{f_{c}} \cdot p s i\right)\right) \mid \cdot 1 \text { in } \left.\cdot\binom{u_{b a r}}{i n}{ }^{u^{\prime} \cdot \psi_{o} \cdot \psi_{c} \cdot J_{y}}{ }^{\prime} \right\rvert\,=9.7096 \text { in }
$$

f bars from the critical section:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { /I }_{1} R_{-}^{+}{ }_{\text {wall }}{ }_{2}-c_{c}=7 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2

"|" "There is adequate room to develop the hooked bars" else

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1_{s} \cdot f_{y}=0.2157 \mathrm{in} \\
& 5 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot b \\
& \text { kip } \cdot f t \\
& \text { ft } \\
& \cdot f_{y} \cdot\binom{d-a}{2}=36.3377 \mathrm{kip} \cdot f t \\
& \begin{array}{c}
0.9 \cdot M_{n}=32.704 \quad \mathrm{kip} \cdot f t \quad \text { (flexural strength) } \\
1 \mathrm{ft} \quad \mathrm{ft}
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
=\text { if } M_{u}<\phi M_{n} \\
{ }_{\|} \text {""The footing has adequate flexural strength" }
\end{array} \\
& \text { "" }{ }^{\text {"The footing has adequate flexural strength" }} \\
& \text { else } \\
& \text { "" "The footing has inadequate flexural strength "। } \\
& \text { ="The footing has adequate flexural strength" }
\end{aligned}
$$

make sure footing is thick enough to accommodate development length:

```
.6
    =2.25 in (radius of dowel bar bend)
xuralHooks:=}(((\mp@subsup{D}{#6}{}\cdot6))+((2\cdot\mp@subsup{D}{#6}{})))+\mp@subsup{D}{#6}{}=6.75\mathrm{ in
ur+r=3 in (distance required for hook)
lepth FlexuralHooks}=6.75 in
```


="The footing thickness is adequate to accomodate the hooked bar"

## earing Capacity of Column at Base:

$$
1 f t \quad h:=t_{f}
$$

in $\cdot L_{\text {wall }}=96 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$\left(L_{\text {wall }},\left(\left(2 \cdot h+t_{\text {wall }}+2 \cdot h\right) \backslash\right)=1 f t\right.$
$=144$ in $^{2}$
$5 \cdot\left(\left(0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot A_{1}\right)\right)=212.16 \mathrm{kip}$

```
\(5 \cdot \min \left|\left(\left.\left(\left(0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot A_{l}\right)\right) \cdot A_{2}\right|_{\left(A_{1}\right)} ^{( }\right),\left(\left(2 \cdot 0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot A_{l}\right)\right)\right|=259.8419 \mathrm{kip}\)
    \(=\frac{\min \left(\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)\right)}{1 \mathrm{ft}}=212.16 \mathrm{klf}\)
```

$=$ if $P_{u}<\phi P_{\text {BaseBearing }}$
॥" "The footing has adequate bearing strength at the base"
॥"
else
"l"The footing has inadequate bearing strength at the base"
॥.

```
:=12}\cdot\mp@subsup{d}{\mathrm{ dowel }}{}=7.5 i
```

make sure footing is thick enough to accommodate development length:

```
vel}\cdot
    =1.875 in (radius of dowel bar bend)
```

wel $+r=2.5$ in $\quad$ (distance required for hook)
$d_{c}+2 \cdot H+c_{c}=20 \mathrm{in}$
$=$ if $h_{\min } \leq h$
$\|$ ""The footing thickness is adequate",
"\|se
" ""The footing thickness is inadequate",
"I
$="$ The footing thickness is adequate"

## ebars in Wall:

.5 in $\quad d_{b a r}:=D_{\# 4} \quad$ column bars are \#4
nent Length:
$\max \left(\begin{array}{c}8 \mathrm{in}, \quad 0.02 \cdot f_{y} \cdot d_{b a r} \\ 1\end{array} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{8 \cdot \min \left(100 p s i,{f^{\prime}}_{c} \cdot p s i\right),}{ }^{0.0003} \cdot f_{y} \cdot d_{b a r}\right)=9.4868 \mathrm{in}$
ngth for rebars in compression:


## ous Footing Design for the Ramp:

riteria:

$t_{\text {wall }}:=t_{\text {cmu }}+2 t_{\text {brick }}+2 t_{\text {air }}=18 \mathrm{in}$
Vfill $:=120$ pcf $\quad \gamma_{\text {brick }}:=120 p c f$
USE SOLID GROUT
$\gamma_{c m u}:=130$ pcf $\quad \gamma_{\text {conc }}:=150$ pcf
$F S_{\text {overturning }}:=1.5 \quad F S_{\text {sliding }}:=1.5 \quad F S_{\text {bearing }}:=3$
$H_{\text {total }}:=F I F$ " 18 '" $\quad H_{\text {wall }}=F I F \quad$ "15"" Need an extra 3 ft of height due to frost line

$$
t_{f}:=F I F " 1 \text { ' } 8 ">
$$

$$
B:=F I F \text { " } 3 \text { ' } 8 \text { " }
$$

$D_{f}:=3 f t+t_{f} \quad$ DUE TO FROST LINE AT 4 ft .
arth Pressure:

$$
K:=\tan \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\pi & \left.\phi^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
4 & 2
\end{array}\right)^{2}=0.3333
$$

$\gamma \cdot\left(H^{\text {total }}-H^{\text {wall }}+t\right)^{2} \cdot K^{a}=0.4356$ kip
$20 p s f$
vind $\cdot H_{\text {wall }}=0.3 \begin{gathered}\mathrm{kip} \\ \mathrm{ft}\end{gathered}$
ing Moment:
$\frac{\text { ning }}{}:=P_{a} \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {total }}-H_{\text {wall }}+t_{f}\right)\right)+P_{w} \cdot\left(H_{\text {wall }}+3 f t\right)=3.8275^{\mathrm{kip}} \mathrm{kt}$
nt weights:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{m}=\left(\left(t_{\text {cmu }}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{c m u}+\left(\left(t_{\text {brick }} \cdot\left(\left(H_{\text {wall }}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{\text {brick }}\right)\right)=1.9 \text { kip } \mathrm{ft} \\
& \left.W:=2^{(B}-\left(0.5 \cdot\left(t_{2}^{t}\right)\right)\right) \cdot 3 f t \cdot \gamma_{\text {fill }}=0.78 \text { kip }
\end{aligned}
$$

moment arms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{m}:={ }_{2}^{B}=1.8333 \mathrm{ft} \\
& r:={ }^{B}=1.8333 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \text { e } 2
\end{aligned}
$$



Technically would have a passive pressure but is a conservative answer

## r Settlement Failure: Boussinesq's Simple Elastic Settlement method

FIF "14' 0" $\quad B=3.6667 \mathrm{ft} H:=5 \cdot B \quad \mu_{s}:=0.3 \quad \alpha:=4 \quad$ (Footing Center) $\quad E_{s}:=750$ tonf $f^{\delta^{2}} \quad 0.5$ in
$\begin{aligned} & L=7 f t \\ & 2\end{aligned}$
$L^{\prime}=3.8182 \quad B^{\prime}:=\begin{aligned} & D \\ & 2\end{aligned}$
$B^{\prime}=\begin{aligned} & H \\ & B^{\prime}\end{aligned}$
ence Factors:
$\pi \cdot\left(M \cdot \ln \binom{\left.\left(1+V^{\prime} M^{2}+1\right) \cdot V^{\prime} M^{2}+N^{2}\right)}{M \cdot\left(1+V^{2}+N^{2}+1\right.},\binom{\left.\left(M^{\prime} \mid\left(M+V^{2} M^{2}+1\right) \cdot V^{\prime} 1+N^{2}\right)\right)}{M+V^{2}+N^{2}+1}\right)=0.7356$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\quad\left(\left(B+r_{2}\right)\right) \cdot r_{1}\right) r^{2} \cdot\left(\left(\left(L+r_{1}\right)\right) \cdot r_{2}\right)=2.4427 f t \\
& \left.\left.\cdot \ln \mid\left(\left(B+r_{3}\right)\right) \cdot r\right)+{ }_{B} \cdot \ln \mid\left(\left(L+r_{3}\right)\right) \cdot r\right)=2.42 \\
& \cdot\left(\left(r_{1}+r_{2}-r_{3}-r\right)\right)=0.5083 f t \\
& \quad L \cdot B \\
& (L \cdot B)=2.8853 f t \\
& \operatorname{atan}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(r r_{3}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \cdot Y_{1}+\beta_{2} \cdot Y_{2}+\beta_{3} \cdot Y_{3}+\beta_{4} \cdot Y_{4}+\beta_{5} \cdot Y_{5}=0.723 \\
& \left(\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)\right) \cdot Y_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Pressure:
1165 psf
$\left.\left(D_{f}\right)\right) \cdot \gamma_{\text {fill }}=560 p s f$

$$
\text { gross }-\sigma_{z o}^{\prime}=605 \mathrm{psf}
$$

ion Settlement:

$$
\left.=\alpha \cdot I_{s} \cdot I_{f} \cdot \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
\left(q_{\text {net }} \cdot\left(1-\mu_{s}^{2}\right)\right) \\
\mid \\
E_{s}
\end{array}\right.\right) \cdot B^{\prime}
$$

$$
=0.018 \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
0.93 \cdot \delta_{\text {flexible }}=0.017 \text { in } \quad \text { OK }
$$

## APPENDIX B-STEEL REINFORCEMENT INFORMATION

| Bar sine, no. | Nominal diameter, in. | Nominal area, in. ${ }^{2}$ | Nominal weight, Its |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.375 | 0.11 | 0.376 |
| 4 | 0.500 | 0.20 | 0.668 |
| 5 | 0.625 | 0.31 | 1.043 |

## ressure:

$=1165 \mathrm{psf}$
(Long dimension. Use 1 ft analysis strip)
(short dimension)
(width of wall)
${ }_{m}+W_{\text {wall }}=2.575 \mathrm{klf}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.:=P_{u} \cdot \begin{array}{c}
(B-c-2 \cdot d) \\
B
\end{array}\right)=-0.4243 \mathrm{klf} \\
& \text { avy }:=\begin{array}{c}
0.75 \cdot\left(2 \cdot \lambda \cdot{ }^{f^{\prime}}{ }_{c} \cdot p s i \cdot L_{2} \cdot d\right) \\
1 \mathrm{ft}
\end{array}=69.3962 \mathrm{klf}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\text { if } V_{\text {uOneWay }}<\phi V_{c \text { OneWay }}
$$

""The footing has adequate shear strength" else

## lexural Strength:

c
2
$=1.4583 \mathrm{ft}$

```
u}\mp@subsup{}{}{\imath}=0.74\mp@subsup{7}{}{\kappa\iota\rho\cdotJ\iota
```

0.0018
$\rho_{\text {min }} \cdot d \cdot{ }^{12 \mathrm{in}}=0.3591 \mathrm{in}^{2}$

Rebars: $\quad A_{\# \sigma}:=0.44 \mathrm{in}^{2}$

> 5
> Controlled: $=\begin{gathered}\beta_{1} \cdot 0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot b \cdot d_{t}^{3 \cdot d_{t}} \\ f_{y}\end{gathered} \quad 8 \quad 13.2127 \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad$ The design is tension controlled $\quad A_{s} \leq A_{s_{-} T C}$

Concrete Compression block:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1_{s} \cdot f_{y} \\
& =0.1765 \mathrm{in} \\
& 5 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot b \\
& k i p \cdot f t \\
& \text { ft } \\
& \cdot f \cdot(d-a)=36.3809 \mathrm{kip} \cdot f t
\end{aligned}
$$

$=$ "The footing has adequate flexural strength"

## development Length of Flexural 180 degree Hooked Rebars:

rvative assumptions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{r}:=1.0 \quad \psi_{0}:=1.0 \quad \psi_{c}:=0.6+\frac{f_{c}^{\prime}}{15000 p s i}=0.8667 \quad d_{b a r}:=D_{\# 6} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(\psi \cdot \psi \cdot \psi \cdot \psi \cdot f \quad(d)^{1.5}\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

```
\(c e_{\max }=\min \left(\left(3 \cdot t_{f}, 18\right.\right.\) in \()=18 \mathrm{in}\)
ce \(:=\begin{gathered}B-2 c_{c} \\ 2\end{gathered} \begin{aligned} & 19 \text { in } \quad \text { use } 12 \text { in spacing to be conservative }\end{aligned}\)
```

make sure footing is thick enough to accommodate development length:

```
* }\mp@subsup{}{}{6}=2.25 in (radius of dowel bar bend
xuralHooks:=}(((\mp@subsup{D}{#6}{}\cdot6))+((2\cdot\mp@subsup{D}{#6}{})))+\mp@subsup{D}{#6}{}=6.75\mathrm{ in
ur+r=3 in (distance required for hook)
lepth}\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ FlexuralHooks}}{}{}=6.75 in
= if }\mp@subsup{h}{min}{}<\mp@subsup{t}{f}{
||"The footing thickness is adequate to accomodate the hooked bar" |
else
||"The footing thickness is not adequate to accomodate the hooked bar ",
```


## earing Capacity of Column at Base:


in $\cdot L_{\text {wall }}=96 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$\left(L_{\text {wall }},\left(\left(2 \cdot h+t_{\text {wall }}+2 \cdot h\right)\right)\right)=1 f t$
$=144 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$5 \cdot\left(\left(0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot A_{1}\right)\right)=212.16 \mathrm{kip}$


## next Length:

.625 in

## $D_{\# 5}$


in (round up to get an appropriate constructible dimension)
$=12 \cdot d_{\text {dowel }}=7.5 \mathrm{in}$
make sure footing is thick enough to accommodate development length:

```
vel`
    =1.875 in (radius of dowel bar bend)
```

wee $+r=2.5$ in $\quad$ (distance required for hook)
$d c+2 \cdot H+c_{c}=20$ in
$=$ if $h_{\text {min }} \leq h$
$\|$ "'The footing thickness is adequate",
\|"se
els ""The footing thickness is inadequate"
$=$ "The footing thickness is adequate"

## debars in Wall:



## Isolated Footing Design for Bathroom columns from roof

esign Parameters:
" "4' 4" H: $=3 \mathrm{ft} \quad B_{c o l}:=F I F$ "1'4" $t_{f}:=F I F " 1$ ' $8 " \quad P_{\text {des }}:=12 \mathrm{kip}$
$f t+t_{f}$
$H+t_{f}=4.6667 \mathrm{ft}$
Bearing Failure:

## quation for Bearing Capacity:

$\cdot N_{c} \cdot s_{c} \cdot d_{c} \cdot i_{c} \cdot b_{c} \cdot g_{c}+\sigma_{z}^{\prime} \cdot N_{q} \cdot s_{q} \cdot d_{q} \cdot i_{q} \cdot b_{q} \cdot g_{q}+0.5 \cdot \gamma_{b a c k f i l l} \cdot B^{\prime} \cdot N_{\gamma} \cdot s_{\gamma} \cdot d_{\gamma} \cdot i_{\gamma} \cdot b_{\gamma} \cdot g_{\gamma}$

Capacity Factors:
le of bearing capacity factors for $\phi^{\prime}=30$ degrees and using Vesic's Equation:
$.4 \quad N_{y}:=22.4$

## Inclination Factors:

level ground, therefore, ground inclination factors are equal to 1 :
$g_{\nu}:=1$

## clination Factors:

$i_{y}:=1$

## lination Factors:

is not inclined, therefore the base inclination factors are equal to 1 :
$b_{\gamma}:=1$

For continuous footings, $B / L \rightarrow 0$, so $s_{c}, s_{q}$, and $s_{\gamma}$ become equal to 1 . This means factors may be ignored when analyzing continuous footings.
actors:
$s_{\gamma}:=1$
actors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{f} \cdot \tan \phi^{\prime} \cdot 1-\sin \phi^{\prime}{ }^{2}=1.3109 \quad d_{y}:=1 \\
& B
\end{aligned}
$$

## or Settlement Failure:

$$
B=4.3333 \mathrm{ft} \quad \bar{H}:=5 \cdot B \quad \mu_{s}:=0.3 \quad \alpha:=4 \quad \text { (Footing Center) } \quad \delta_{\text {all }}:=0.5 \text { in }
$$

$$
=2.1667 \mathrm{ft} \quad B^{\prime}:=\begin{aligned}
& B \\
& 2
\end{aligned}=2.1667 \mathrm{ft} \quad M:=\begin{aligned}
& L^{\prime} \\
& B^{\prime}
\end{aligned}=1 \quad D:=\begin{aligned}
& H \\
& B^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Factors:

$$
\left.\left(M \cdot \ln \binom{\left.\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(1+\sqrt{ } M^{2}+1\right.
\end{array}\right) \cdot \sqrt{M^{2}+N^{2}}\right)}{M \cdot\left(1+\sqrt{ } M^{2}+N^{2}+1\right)} \quad\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\left(M+\sqrt{ } M^{2}+1\right)\right.
\end{array}\right) \cdot V^{1+N^{2}}\right)\right) \|=0.4979
$$

orrection Factor:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-2 \cdot \mu_{s}\right) \cdot I=0.5069 \\
& \left(1-\mu_{s}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

th Correction Factor, If:

$\mathrm{C}^{2}+B^{2}=6.1283 \mathrm{ft}$
$\ln \left|\binom{\left(r_{4}+B\right.}{L}+B \cdot \ln \right|\binom{\left(r_{4}+L\right.}{B}-\begin{gathered}r_{4^{3}}-L^{3}-B^{3} \\ 3 \cdot L \cdot B\end{gathered}=6.442 f t$
$\ln \left|\binom{r_{3}+B}{r_{1}}+B \cdot \ln \right|\binom{\left(r_{3}+L\right.}{r_{2}}-\begin{gathered}r_{3^{3}}-r_{2^{3}}-r_{1^{3}}+r^{3} \\ 3 \cdot L \cdot B\end{gathered}=3.0925 f t$
$\left.\left.\left.\cdot \ln \mid\left(\mid\left(B+r_{2}\right)\right) \cdot r_{1}\right)+\begin{array}{l}r^{2} \\ \left(\left(B+r_{3}\right)\right) \cdot r\end{array}\right) \cdot \ln \mid\left(\mid\left(L+r_{1}\right)\right) \cdot r_{2}\right)\left(\left(L+r_{3}\right) \cdot r\right)=1.5872 f t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdot \operatorname{atan} \mid \quad M \quad)=0.0158 \\
& \left(N \cdot V^{M^{2}+N^{2}+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## of Rebar in Isolated Footing:

## One-Way Shear Strength:


e depth of footing:
a 3in clear cover, \#6 rebars and bars in both directions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad D_{\# 6}:=0.750 \text { in } \\
& =c_{c}+{ }_{\# 6}^{D_{\# 6}}=3.375 \mathrm{in} \quad \text { cover }_{2}:=c_{c}+D_{\# 6}+{ }_{\# 6}=4.125 \mathrm{in} \\
& 2 \\
& =\text { cover }_{1}+\text { cover }_{2}=3.75 \mathrm{in} \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$

over $_{\text {Avg }}=18.25$ in

Pressure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{2}:=B \quad c_{1}:=B_{\text {coll }} \quad c_{2}:=B_{\text {col2 } 2} \quad \lambda:=1 \\
& :=\left.q \cdot L_{2} \cdot\binom{L_{1}-c_{1}}{2}_{l}\right|_{=-5.1299} \mathrm{kip} \\
& \text { ay }:=0.75 \cdot\left(2 \cdot \lambda \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot p s i \cdot L_{2} \cdot d\right)=90.03 \mathrm{kip} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { \|" "The footing has adequate shear strength" } \\
\text { "\|se } \\
\text { ell"،The footing has inadequate shear strength"' }
\end{array} \\
& \text { II }
\end{aligned}
$$

$=$ "The footing has adequate shear strength"

$$
B \cdot h=2.0592 \text { in }^{2}
$$

```
Rebars: }\quad\mp@subsup{A}{#6}{}:=0.440\mp@subsup{\textrm{in}}{}{2
A#\sigma}=2.2 \mp@subsup{\textrm{in}}{}{2}\quad5#6\mathrm{ bars is adequate
cing:
lce}\operatorname{max}:=m\mathrm{ min }3\cdoth,18\mathrm{ in =18 in
B-2\cdot\mp@subsup{c}{c}{}}=11.5 i
```

e flexural strength of a singly reinforced rectangular section:

$$
=h \quad y_{s t}:=\text { cover }_{1} \quad A_{s}=2.2 \text { in }^{2} \quad b:=B
$$

$$
\text { pth }-y_{s I}=18.625 \text { in }
$$



```
0.85
se
Controlled := \begin{array}{c}{\mp@subsup{\beta}{1}{}\cdot0.85\cdot\mp@subsup{f}{c}{\prime}\cdotb\cdot3\cdot\mp@subsup{d}{t}{}}\\{\mp@subsup{f}{y}{}}\end{array})
```

gn is tension controlled
f Concrete Compression block:

$s \cdot f_{y} \cdot\left(d-\begin{array}{l}a \\ 2\end{array}\right)=196.6437 \mathrm{kip} \cdot f t$
$.9 \cdot M_{n}=176.9793$ kip $\cdot f t$ (flexural strength)

```
oll)}=10\textrm{in
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { = if } l_{\text {dhook }} \leq\left(\left(B-B_{\text {coll }}\right)\right) \\
& \text { \| ،"There is adequate room to develop the hooked bars"। } \\
& \text { ॥ I } \\
& \text { else } \\
& \text { ॥ "'There is inadequate room to develop the hooked bars"। } \\
& \text { ॥" } \\
& \text { heck }=\text { "There is adequate room to develop the hooked bars" }
\end{aligned}
$$

make sure footing is thick enough to accommodate development length:

```
·
    =2.25 in (radius of dowel bar bend)
\mathrm{ aralHooks }:=((/(\mp@subsup{d}{\mathrm{ bar }}{}\cdot6))+((2\cdot\mp@subsup{d}{\mathrm{ bar }}{})}))=6 i
n}:=\operatorname{max}(\langle4\cdot\mp@subsup{d}{bar}{},2.5\mathrm{ in })=3\mathrm{ in
+r=3 in (distance required for hook)
lepth FlexuralHooks}=6 in
```


## Bearing Capacity of Column at Base:

$\overline{o l l} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\text {col } 2}=768 \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad L:=B=4.3333 \mathrm{ft} \quad l:=\min \left(\left\langle L,\left(\backslash 2 \cdot h+B_{\text {coll }}+2 \cdot h\right)\right) /=4.3333 \mathrm{ft}\right.$

## $=2704 \mathrm{in}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \cdot\left(\left(0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot A_{1}\right)\right)=1697.28 \mathrm{kip} \quad N_{2}:=0.65 \cdot \min \left|\left(\left(\left(0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot A_{1}\right)\right) \cdot A_{2}\right)\left(\mid\left(\mid 2 \cdot 0.85 \cdot f_{c}^{\prime} \cdot A_{1}\right)\right)\right|=3184.7565 \mathrm{kip} \\
& \left.A_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$=$ if $P_{\text {des }}<\phi P_{\text {BaseBearing }}$

```
==12}\cdot\mp@subsup{d}{\mathrm{ dowel }}{}=9\mathrm{ in
```

wee $+r=3$ in (distance required for hook)
$d_{c}+2 \cdot H+c_{c}+D_{\# 6}=21.75 \mathrm{in}$
$=$ if $h_{\text {min }} \leq h$
$\|$ ""There footing thickness is adequate"
""sse
else
"I There footing thickness is inadequate"
="There footing thickness is adequate"

## Rebars in Column:

\#4 column bars are \#4
tent Length:
ength for rebars in compression:
$\max \left|12 \mathrm{in}, l_{d c \mathrm{Col}},{ }_{p s i}^{0.0005} \cdot f_{y} \cdot d_{b a r} \cdot \alpha_{s}\right|_{\mid=15}$ in (round up to a 24 in ( 2ft) splice)

Velocity Pressure Exposure Coefficient: $K_{z}:=0.57$

(ASCE 7-22: Table 26.10-1)

## Velocity Pressure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{z}:=0.00256 \operatorname{psf} \cdot K_{z} \cdot K_{z t} \cdot K_{d} \cdot V^{2} \cdot I=14.467 \text { psf } \quad q_{i}:=q_{z} \quad \text { (ASCE 7-22: Equation 26.10-1) } \\
& m p h^{2} \\
& \text { Mean Roof Height: } h: \left.=\begin{array}{c}
10 \mathrm{ft}+9.458 \mathrm{ft} \\
2
\end{array}=9.729 \mathrm{ft} \quad \mathrm{C} \right\rvert\, \quad \text { (Height Modeled on Revit) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## DIAGRAMS FOR WIND PRESSURE CALCULATIONS:

TOP VIEW: OF PHASE 1 RESTROOM STRUCTURE


PROFILE VIEWS: OF PHASE 1 RESTROOM STRUCTURE

VIEW FROM SIDE A:


## VIEW FROM SIDE B:



Building Dimensions:
$L_{A}:=79 \mathrm{ft}+4 \mathrm{in}$
$B_{A}:=16 \mathrm{ft}+8 \mathrm{in}$

Overhang Dimensions:
$l_{E W . O H}:=0 \mathrm{ft}$
$l_{\text {NS.OH }}:=4 \mathrm{ft}$

Equivalent Dimensions:
$L_{C}:=L_{A} \quad B_{C}:=B_{A}$
$L_{B}:=B_{A} \quad B_{B}:=L_{A}$
$L_{D}:=L_{B} \quad B_{D}:=B_{B}$

## NORTH WIND CALCULATIONS (WIND DIRECTION A)

Wall Pressures Coefficients: $\quad L:=L_{A}=79.333 \mathrm{ft} \quad B:=B_{A}=16.667 \mathrm{ft}$


Wall Pressures: $\quad p:=p_{\text {iext }}+/-p_{\text {iint }}$
Surface 1: $\quad p_{\text {Nlext }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p w W}=9.84$ psf $\quad$ (windward)
$p_{\text {Nlint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {NIplus }}:=p_{\text {Nlext }}+p_{\text {Nlint }}=12.442$ psf
$p_{\text {NIminus }}:=p_{\text {NIext }}-p_{\text {NIInt }}=7.234 p s f$

Surface 2: $\quad p_{N \text { 坔t }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p s}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$p_{\text {N2int }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {N2plus }}:=p_{\text {N2ext }}+p_{\text {N2int }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{N 2 \text { minus }}:=p_{N 2 \text { ext }}-p_{N 2 \text { int }}=-11.212 p s f$

Surface 3: $\quad p_{N 3 e x t}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p L W}=-2.46 p s f$
(leeward)
$p_{N 3 i n t}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {N3plus }}:=p_{\text {N3ext }}+p_{\text {N3int }}=0.145 p s f$
$p_{N 3 \text { minus }}:=p_{N 3 \text { ext }}-p_{N 3 \text { int }}=-5.063 p s f$

Surface 4: $\quad p_{N \text { text }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$p_{\text {N4int }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {N4plus }}:=p_{\text {N4ext }}+p_{\text {N4int }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{\text {N4minus }}:=p_{\text {N4ext }}-p_{\text {N4int }}=-11.212 p s f$
Roof Pressures Coefficients: $\quad L:=L_{A}+l_{E W . O H}=79.333 \mathrm{ft}$

Variables Needed for Table:

External Pressure Coefficient:
$C_{p 1}:=-0.9 \quad C_{p 2}:=-0.18$
(ASCE 7-22: Figure 27.3-1)

Roof Pressures:
$p:=p_{\text {iext }}+/-p_{\text {iint }}$
Surface 5: $\quad p_{N s e n t}:=q \cdot G \cdot C_{p 1}=-11.07 p s f \quad \quad p_{N s e r t 2}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p^{2}}=-2.21 p s f$
$p_{N S i n t 1}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f \quad \quad p_{N 5 i n t 2}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {N5plus } 1}:=p_{N 5 \text { ext } 1}+p_{N 5 \text { int } 1}=-8.463$ psf $\quad p_{N 5 \text { plus } 2}:=p_{N 5 \text { ext } 2}+p_{N 5 \text { int } 2}=0.391 p s f$
$p_{\text {N5minus } 1}:=p_{N 5 \text { ext } 1}-p_{N 5 \text { int } 1}=-13.671$ psf $\quad p_{N 5 \text { minus } 2}:=p_{N 5 \text { ext } 2}-p_{N 5 i n t 2}=-4.818$ ps $f$

Overhang Pressures: $\quad p_{\text {Noh }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p l}=-11.067 p s f$
IMPORTANT NOTE: $p_{\text {oh }}$ Must be added to the positive external pressure on windward faces with overhang (surface 1))

EAST WIND CALCULATIONS (WIND DIRECTION B)
Wall Pressures Coefficients: $\quad D:=L_{B}=16.667 \mathrm{ft} \quad B:=B_{B}=79.333 \mathrm{ft}$


Wall Pressures: $\quad p:=p_{\text {iex }}+/-p_{\text {iint }}$
Surface 1: $\quad p_{\text {Elext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$p_{\text {Elint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {Elplus }}:=p_{\text {Elext }}+p_{\text {Elint }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{\text {E1minus }}:=p_{\text {Elext }}-p_{\text {Elint }}=-11.212 p s f$

Surface 2: $\quad p_{\text {Ezext }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p w W}=9.84$ psf
(windward)
$p_{\text {Ezint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {E2plus }}:=p_{\text {E2ext }}+p_{\text {E2int }}=12.442$ psf
$p_{\text {E2minus }}:=p_{\text {E2ext }}-p_{\text {E2int }}=7.234 p s f$

Surface 3: $\quad p_{\text {E3ext }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61$ psf
(side)
$p_{\text {E3int }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {E3plus }}:=p_{\text {E3ext }}+p_{\text {E3int }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{\text {E3minus }}:=p_{\text {E3ext }}-p_{\text {E3int }}=-11.212 p s f$

Surface 4:
$p_{\text {E4ext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p L W}=-6.15 p s f$
(leeward)
$p_{\text {Etint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{E 4 p l u s}:=p_{\text {E4ext }}+p_{\text {E4int }}=-3.544 p s f$
$p_{\text {E4minus }}:=p_{\text {E4ext }}-p_{\text {E4int }}=-8.753 p s f$
Roof Pressures Coefficients: $\quad L:=L_{B}+l_{N S} . O H=20.667 \mathrm{ft}$


Roof Pressures:
$p:=p_{\text {iext }}+/-p_{\text {iint }}$
Surface 5: $\quad p_{\text {Esext }}:=q f \cdot G \cdot C_{p 1}=-11.07 p s f \quad p_{\text {Esext2 } 2}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p 2}=-2.21 p s f$
$p_{\text {ESint } 1}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f \quad p_{\text {ESint } 2}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {ESplus } 1}:=p_{\text {ESext } 1}+p_{\text {ESint } 1}=-8.463$ psf $\quad p_{\text {ESplus } 2}:=p_{\text {ESext } 2}+p_{\text {ESint } 2}=0.391 p s f$
$p_{\text {E5minus } 1}:=p_{\text {ESext } 1}-p_{\text {ESint } 1}=-13.671 p s f \quad p_{\text {E5minus } 2}:=p_{\text {ESext } 2}-p_{\text {ESint } 2}=-4.818 p s f$

## SOUTH WIND CALCULATIONS (WIND DIRECTION C)

Wall Pressures Coefficients: $\quad \mathbb{L}:=L_{C}=79.333 \mathrm{ft} \quad B:=B_{C}=16.667 \mathrm{ft}$


## Wall Pressures: $\quad p:=p_{\text {iext }}+/-p_{\text {int }}$

$$
\text { Surface 1: } \quad p_{\text {Slext }:}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p L W}=-2.46 p s f \quad \text { (leeward) }
$$

$p_{\text {Slint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {Slplus }}:=p_{\text {Slext }}+p_{\text {Slint }}=0.145 p s f$
$p_{\text {Slminus }}:=p_{\text {Slext }}-p_{\text {Slint }}=-5.063 p s f$

Surface 2: $\quad p_{s \text { sext }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p s}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$p_{\text {SLint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {S2plus }}:=p_{\text {S2ext }}+p_{\text {S2int }}=-6.004$ psf
$p_{\text {S2minus }}:=p_{\text {S2ext }}-p_{\text {S2int }}=-11.212 p s f$

Surface 3: $\quad p_{\text {ssert }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p w w}=9.84$ psf
(windward)
$p_{\text {SSint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {S3plus }}:=p_{\text {S3ext }}+p_{\text {S3int }}=12.442 p s f$
$p_{\text {S3minus }}:=p_{\text {S3ext }}-p_{\text {S3int }}=7.234 p s f$

Surface 4: $\quad p_{S \text { text }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$p_{\text {Stint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {S4plus }}:=p_{\text {S4ext }}+p_{\text {S4int }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{\text {S4minus }}:=p_{\text {S4ext }}-p_{\text {S4int }}=-11.212 p s f$

Roof Pressures Coefficients: $\quad L:=L_{C}+l_{E W . O H}=79.333 \mathrm{ft}$
 $B:=B_{C}+l_{N S . O H}=20.667 \mathrm{ft}$

Variables Needed for Table: $h=0.1226 \quad h$ $h=4.9 \mathrm{ft} \quad h=9.7 \mathrm{ft}$ $L \square$

0
External Pressure Coefficient:

(ASCE 7-22: Figure 27.3-1)

Roof Pressures:
$p:=p_{\text {iext }}+/-p_{\text {iint }}$
Surface 5: $\quad p_{s s e r t e}:=q_{7} \cdot G \cdot C_{p l}=-11.07 p s f \quad \quad p_{\text {ssext } 2}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p 2}=-2.21 p s f$
$p_{\text {SSint } 1}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\mid G C_{p i}\right)=2.6 p s f \quad p_{\text {SSint } 2}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {SSplus } 1}:=p_{\text {SSext } 1}+p_{\text {SSint } 1}=-8.463 p s f \quad p_{\text {SSplus } 2}:=p_{\text {SSext } 2}+p_{\text {SSint } 2}=0.391$ psf
$p_{\text {SSminus } 1}:=p_{\text {SSext } 1}-p_{\text {SSint } 1}=-13.671$ psf $\quad p_{\text {SSminus } 2}:=p_{\text {SSext } 2}-p_{\text {SSint } 2}=-4.818 p s f$

## WEST WIND CALCULATIONS (WIND DIRECTION D)

Wall Pressures Coefficients:

$$
\text { (L): }=L_{D}=16.667 \mathrm{ft} \quad B:=B_{D}=79.333 \mathrm{ft}
$$



Wall Pressures: $\quad p:=p_{\text {iext }}+/-p_{\text {iint }}$
Surface 1: $\quad p_{\text {Wlext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p s}=-8.61$ psf
(side)
$p_{\text {Wlint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {Wlplus }}:=p_{\text {Wlext }}+p_{\text {Wlint }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{\text {Wlminus }}:=p_{\text {Wlext }}-p_{\text {Wlint }}=-11.212 p s f$

Surface 2: $\quad p_{W z e x t}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p L W}=-6.15 p s f$
(leeward)
$\left.p_{W 2 i n t}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\backslash G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{W 2 p l u s}:=p_{W 2 \text { ext }}+p_{W 2 \text { int }}=-3.544 p s f$
$p_{W 2 \text { minus }}:=p_{W 2 \text { ext }}-p_{W 2 \text { int }}=-8.753 p s f$

Surface 3: $\quad p_{\text {W3ext }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p s}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$\left.p_{W \text { Bint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\backslash G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {W3plus }}:=p_{W 3 \text { ext }}+p_{W 3 \text { int }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{\text {W3minus }}:=p_{\text {W3ext }}-p_{\text {W3int }}=-11.212 p s f$

Surface 4: $\quad p_{\text {weex }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p} w W=9.84 \mathrm{psf}$
(windward)
$p_{W 4 i n t}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{W 4 p l u s}:=p_{W 4 e x t}+p_{W 4 \text { int }}=12.442 p s f$
$p_{\text {W4minus }}:=p_{\text {W4ext }}-p_{\text {W4int }}=7.234 p s f$

Roof Pressures Coefficients: $\quad L:=L_{D}+l_{N S} . O H=20.667 \mathrm{ft} \quad B:=B_{D}+l_{E W}$. OH $=79.333 \mathrm{ft}$


External Pressure Coefficient:

(ASCE 7-22: Figure 27.3-1)
Roof Pressures:
$p:=p_{\text {iext }}+/-p_{\text {iint }}$
Surface 5: $\quad p_{\text {Wsext } t}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p l}=-11.07 p s f \quad \quad p_{\text {Wsext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p 2}=-2.21 p s f$
$p_{W \text { Sint } 1}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f \quad p_{W \text { Sint } 2}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{W \text { Splus } 1}:=p_{W 5 \text { ext } 1}+p_{W \text { Sint } 1}=-8.463$ psf $\quad p_{W \text { Splus } 2}:=p_{W 5 \text { ext } 2}+p_{W \text { Sint } 2}=0.391 p s f$
$p_{W 5 \text { minus } 1}:=p_{W \text { Sext } 1}-p_{W \text { Sint } 1}=-13.671 p s f \quad p_{W \text { Sminus } 2}:=p_{W \text { Sext } 2}-p_{W \text { Sint } 2}=-4.818 p s f$

## SUMMARY CALCULATIONS



Maximum Positive Pressure on each wall and roof surface:

Surface 1: $\left.\max \left(p_{\text {NIplus }}, p_{\text {EIplus }}, p_{\text {SIplus }}, p_{\text {WIplus }}, p_{\text {NIminus }}, p_{\text {Elminus }}, p_{\text {SIminus }}, p_{\text {Wlminus }}\right)\right)=12.442 p s f$
Surface 2: $\max \left(\left(p_{\text {N2plus }}, p_{\text {E2plus }}, p_{S 2 p l u s}, p_{W 2 p l u s}, p_{\text {N2minus }}, p_{E 2 m i n u s}, p_{S 2 m i n u s}, p_{W 2 m i n u s}\right)\right)=12.442 p s f$
Surface 3: $\max \left(\left(p_{\text {N3plus }}, p_{\text {E3plus }}, p_{\text {S3plus }}, p_{\text {W3plus }}, p_{\text {N3minus }}, p_{\text {E3minus }}, p_{\text {S3minus }}, p_{\text {W3minus }}\right)\right)=12.442 p s f$
Surface 4:
$\max \left(\left\langle p_{\text {N4plus }}, p_{\text {E4plus }}, p_{\text {S4plus }}, p_{W 4 p l u s}, p_{\text {N4minus }}, p_{\text {E4minus }}, p_{\text {S4minus }}, p_{W 4 \text { minus }}\right)\right)=12.442 p s f$


Maximum Negative Pressure on each wall and roof surface:






Overhang Pressures: $p_{o h}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p l}=-11.067 p s f$

## Appendix E: Restroom Phase Two Design Calculations

## MWFRS DESIGN WIND PRESSURES FOR WALLS AND ROOF - PHASE 2

Determining Wind Load Parameters:


Velocity Pressure Exposure Coefficient: $K_{z}:=0.57$ (ASCE 7-22: Table 26.10-1)
Using directional procedure so not 0.7

## Velocity Pressure:

```
\(q_{z}:=0.00256\) psf \(\quad K_{z} \cdot K_{z t} \cdot K_{d} \cdot V^{2} \cdot I=14.467 p s f \quad q_{i}=q_{z} \quad\) (ASCE 7-22: Equation 26.10-1)
    \(m p h^{2}\)
```

Mean Roof Height: $\quad h:=10 f t+9.458 \mathrm{ft}=9.729 \mathrm{ft}$
(Height Modeled on Revit)
Based on $5^{\prime \prime}$ roof $\mathrm{dec}{ }^{2} \mathrm{k} .3 .5^{\prime \prime}$ concrete, $1.5^{\prime \prime}$ metal decking

## DIAGRAMS FOR WIND PRESSURE CALCULATIONS:

TOP VIEW: OF PHASE 2 RESTROOM STRUCTURE


3D VIEW: OF PHASE 2 RESTROOM STRUCTURE FROM SOUTHWEST


Building Dimensions:

$$
L_{A}:=79 \mathrm{ft}+4 \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
B_{A}:=30 \mathrm{ft}+4 \mathrm{in}
$$

Equivalent Dimensions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L_{C}:=L_{A} & B_{C}:=B_{A} \\
L_{B}:=B_{A} & B_{B}:=L_{A} \\
L_{D}:=L_{B} & B_{D}:=B_{B}
\end{array}
$$

## NORTH WIND CALCULATIONS (WIND DIRECTION A)

Wall Pressures Coefficients: $\quad L:=L_{A}=79.333 \mathrm{ft} \quad B:=B_{A}=30.333 \mathrm{ft}$


Wall Pressures: $\quad p:=p_{\text {iexx }}+/-p_{\text {iint }}$
Surface
$p_{\text {Nlext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p W W}=9.84$ psf
$p_{\text {Nlint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {Nliplus }}:=p_{\text {Nlext }}+p_{\text {Nlint }}=12.442$ psf
$p_{\text {Nliminus }}:=p_{\text {Nlext }}-p_{\text {Nlint }}=7.234$ psf
(windward)

Surface 2: $\quad p_{N 2 e x t}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$p_{N 2 i n t}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {N2plus }}:=p_{\text {N2ext }}+p_{\text {N2int }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{N 2 \text { minus }}:=p_{N 2 \text { ext }}-p_{N 2 \text { int }}=-11.212 p s f$

Surface 3: $\quad p_{N 3 e x t}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p L W}=-3.28 p s f$
(leeward)
$p_{\text {N3int }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {N3plus }}:=p_{\text {N3ext }}+p_{N 3 \text { int }}=-0.679 p s f$
$p_{N 3 \text { minus }}:=p_{\text {N3ext }}-p_{N 3 \text { int }}=-5.887$ ps $f$
Surface 4: $\quad p_{N \text { teex }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p L W}=-3.28 p s f$
(leeward)
$p_{\text {NAint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {N4plus }}:=p_{\text {N4ext }}+p_{\text {N4int }}=-0.679 p s f$
$p_{\text {N4minus }}:=p_{\text {N4ext }}-p_{\text {N4int }}=-5.887 p s f$
$p_{\text {NSext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p L W}=-3.28 p s f$
(leeward)
Surface 5: $\quad p_{\text {Nsim }}=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6$ psf
$p_{\text {N5plus }}:=p_{\text {N5ext }}+p_{\text {NSint }}=-0.679 p s f$
$p_{\text {N5minus }}:=p_{\text {NSext }}-p_{\text {NSint }}=-5.887 p s f$
$p_{\text {N6ext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
Surface 6: $\quad p_{\text {Noint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {NGplus }}:=p_{\text {NGext }}+p_{\text {NGint }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{\text {NGminus }}:=p_{\text {NGext }}-p_{\text {NGint }}=-11.212$ psf

## EAST WIND CALCULATIONS (WIND DIRECTION B)

Wall Pressures Coefficients: $\quad \mathbb{L}:=L_{B}=30.333 \mathrm{ft} \quad B:=B_{B}=79.333 \mathrm{ft}$


Wall Pressures: $p:=p_{\text {iext }}+/-p_{\text {iint }}$
Surface 1: $\quad p_{\text {Elext }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61$ psf
(side)
$p_{\text {Elint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {Elplus }}:=p_{\text {Elext }}+p_{\text {Elint }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{\text {EIminus }}:=p_{\text {Elext }}-p_{\text {Elint }}=-11.212 p s f$

Surface 2: $\quad p_{\text {Ezext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p w W}=9.84 p s f$
(windward)
$\left.p_{\text {E2int }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\backslash G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {E2plus }}:=p_{\text {E2ext }}+p_{\text {E2int }}=12.442 p s f$
$p_{\text {E2minus }}:=p_{\text {E2ext }}-p_{\text {E2int }}=7.234 p s f$

Surface 3: $\quad p_{E s e x t}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$p_{\text {E3int }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {E3plus }}:=p_{\text {E3ext }}+p_{\text {E3int }}=-6.004$ psf
$p_{\text {E3minus }}:=p_{\text {E3ext }}-p_{\text {E3int }}=-11.212 p s f$

Surface 4: $\quad p_{\text {E4ext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$\left.p_{\text {EAint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\backslash G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {E4plus }}:=p_{\text {E4ext }}+p_{\text {E4int }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{\text {E4minus }}:=p_{\text {E4ext }}-p_{\text {E4int }}=-11.212 p s f$
$p_{\text {ESext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)

$p_{\text {E5plus }}:=p_{\text {E5ext }}+p_{\text {E5int }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{\text {E5minus }}:=p_{\text {E5ext }}-p_{\text {ESint }}=-11.212 p s f$
$p_{\text {EGext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p L W}=-6.15 p s f$
(leeward)
Surface 6: $\quad p_{\text {EGint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {EGplus }}:=p_{\text {EGext }}+p_{\text {EGint }}=-3.544 p s f$
$p_{\text {Eбminus }}:=p_{\text {EGext }}-p_{\text {EGint }}=-8.753 p s f$

## SOUTH WIND CALCULATIONS (WIND DIRECTION C)

Wall Pressures Coefficients:

$$
(L)=L_{C}=79.333 \mathrm{ft} \quad B:=B_{C}=30.333 \mathrm{ft}
$$



Wall Pressures: $\quad p:=p_{\text {iexx }}+/-p_{\text {iint }}$
Surface 1: $\quad p_{s l e x t}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p L W}=-3.28 p s f$
(leeward)
$p_{\text {Slint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {Slplus }}:=p_{\text {Slext }}+p_{\text {Slint }}=-0.679 p s f$
$p_{\text {SIminus }}:=p_{\text {Slext }}-p_{\text {SIInt }}=-5.887 p s f$

Surface 2: $\quad p_{\text {seext }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$p_{\text {S2int }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right\rangle\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {S2plus }}:=p_{\text {S2ext }}+p_{\text {S2int }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{\text {S2minus }}:=p_{\text {S2ext }}-p_{\text {S2int }}=-11.212 p s f$
Surface 3: $\quad p_{\text {ssext }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p w w}=9.84 p s f$
(windward)
$p_{\text {S3int }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {S3plus }}:=p_{\text {S3ext }}+p_{\text {S3int }}=12.442 p s f$
$p_{\text {S3minus }}:=p_{\text {S3ext }}-p_{\text {S3int }}=7.234$ psf

Surface 4:
$p_{S 4 e x t}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p W W}=9.84$ psf
(windward)
$p_{\text {Stint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {S4plus }}:=p_{\text {S4ext }}+p_{\text {S4int }}=12.442 p s f$
$p_{\text {S4minus }}:=p_{\text {S4ext }}-p_{\text {S4int }}=7.234$ psf
$p_{\text {SSext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p W W}=9.84$ psf
(windward)
Surface 5: $\left.\quad p_{\text {ssimu }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\backslash G C_{p}\right\rangle\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {SSplus }}:=p_{\text {SSext }}+p_{\text {SSint }}=12.442 p s f$
$p_{\text {SSminus }}:=p_{\text {SSext }}-p_{\text {SSint }}=7.234 p s f$
$p_{\text {S6ext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
Surface 6: $\quad p_{\text {somin }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left\langle G C_{p} i\right)=2.6 p s f\right.$
$p_{\text {SGplus }}:=p_{\text {SGext }}+p_{\text {SGint }}=-6.004$ psf
$p_{\text {SGminus }}:=p_{\text {SGext }}-p_{\text {SGint }}=-11.212 p s f$

## WEST WIND CALCULATIONS (WIND DIRECTION D)

Wall Pressures Coefficients: $\quad \mathbb{L}:=L_{D}=30.333 \mathrm{ft} \quad B:=B_{D}=79.333 \mathrm{ft}$


Wall Pressures: $\quad p:=p_{\text {iexx }}+/-p_{\text {int }}$

Surface 1: $\quad p_{\text {Wlext }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p s}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$p_{W \text { lint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {Wlplus }}:=p_{\text {Wlext }}+p_{\text {Wlint }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{\text {Wlminus }}:=p_{\text {Wlext }}-p_{\text {Wlint }}=-11.212 p s f$

Surface 2: $\quad p_{W 2 e x t}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p L W}=-6.15 p s f$
(leeward)
$p_{W \text { int }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{W 2 \text { plus }}:=p_{W 2 e x t}+p_{W 2 \text { int }}=-3.544 p s f$
$p_{W 2 \text { minus }}:=p_{W 2 \text { ext }}-p_{W 2 \text { int }}=-8.753 p s f$

Surface 3: $\quad p_{\text {W3ext }}=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61 p s f$
$p_{\text {W3int }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{W 3 \text { plus }}:=p_{W 3 \text { ext }}+p_{W 3 \text { int }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{W 3 \text { minus }}:=p_{W 3 \text { ext }}-p_{W 3 \text { int }}=-11.212 p s f$

Surface 4: $\quad p_{\text {W4ext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
$p_{W \text { tint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {W4plus }}:=p_{W 4 \text { ext }}+p_{\text {W4int }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{\text {W4minus }}:=p_{\text {W4ext }}-p_{\text {W4int }}=-11.212 p s f$
$p_{W 5 \text { ext }}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p S}=-8.61 p s f$
(side)
Surface 5: $\quad p_{\text {Wsint }}=q_{i} \cdot\left(G C_{p i}\right)=2.6$ psf
$p_{W 5 \text { plus }}:=p_{W 5 \text { ext }}+p_{W 5 \text { int }}=-6.004 p s f$
$p_{W 5 \text { minus }}:=p_{W 5 \text { ext }}-p_{W \text { Sint }}=-11.212 p s f$
$p_{W G e x t}:=q_{z} \cdot G \cdot C_{p W W}=9.84 \mathrm{ps} f$
(windward)
Surface 6: $\quad p_{\text {Woint }}:=q_{i} \cdot\left(\left(G C_{p i}\right)\right)=2.6 p s f$
$p_{\text {WGplus }}:=p_{\text {WGext }}+p_{\text {WGint }}=12.442$ psf
$p_{\text {WGminus }}:=p_{\text {W6ext }}-p_{\text {WGint }}=7.234 p s f$

## SUMMARY CALCULATIONS



Maximum Positive Pressure on each wall and roof surface:


Maximum Negative Pressure on each wall and roof surface:

Surface 1: min $\left.\left(p_{\text {NIplus }}, p_{\text {EIplus }}, p_{\text {SIplus }}, p_{\text {WIplus }}, p_{\text {NIminus }}, p_{\text {EIminus }}, p_{\text {SIminus }}, p_{\text {WIminus }}\right)\right)=-11.212 p s f$
Surface 2: $\min \left(\left\langle p_{N 2 p l u s}, p_{\text {E2plus }}, p_{S 2 p l u s}, p_{W 2 p l u s}, p_{N 2 \text { minus }}, p_{\text {E2minus }}, p_{\text {S2minus }}, p_{W 2 \text { minus }}\right)\right)=-11.212 p s f$
Surface 3: $\min \left(p_{\text {N3plus }}, p_{\text {E3plus }}, p_{\text {S3plus }}, p_{W 3 \text { plus }}, p_{\text {N3minus }}, p_{\text {E3minus }}, p_{\text {S3minus }}, p_{\text {W3minus }}\right)=-11.212 p s f$
Surface 4: $\min \left(\left\langle p_{\text {N4plus }}, p_{\text {E4plus }}, p_{\text {S4plus }}, p_{\text {W4plus }}, p_{\text {N4minus }}, p_{\text {E4minus }}, p_{\text {S4minus }}, p_{\text {W4minus }}\right)=-11.212 p s f\right.$
Surface 5: $\min \left(\left\langle p_{\text {N5plus }}, p_{\text {E5plus }}, p_{\text {S5plus }}, p_{\text {W5plus }}, p_{\text {N5minus }}, p_{\text {E5minus }}, p_{\text {S5minus }}, p_{\text {W5minus }}\right)\right\rangle=-11.212 p s f$
Surface 6:
$\min \left(\left\langle p_{\text {NGplus }}, p_{\text {E6plus }}, p_{\text {S6plus }}, p_{\text {WGplus }}, p_{\text {NGminus }}, p_{\text {E6minus }}, p_{\text {S6minus }}, p_{\text {WGminus }}\right)\right)=-11.212 p s f$

Use Roof Pressures from phase 1 Wind Calcs for more accurate surface 7 pressures

## Ramp Dead Loads



From top down:

## Liquid Applied Waterproofing Membrane

Clear Waterproofing Membrane
Link to Website: https://waterstop.com.au/product/clear-waterproofing-membrane-10//
Link to Datasheet: https://waterstop.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Data-Sheet-Clear-Waterproofing-Membrane-RV2-2.pdf

[^1]Normal Weight Concrete Composite Deck with Welded-Wire Reinforcements
1.5VL-36 COMPOSITE DECK-SLAB Normal Weight Concrete -22 gage -5 " total depth $-3.5^{\prime \prime}$ topping

Link to Website: https://vulcraft.com/Products/Deck\#composite-deck
Link to Datasheet:https://vulcraft.com/catalogs/Deck/CompositeDeck/
LRFD-1.5VL-36-1.5VLI-36-1.5PLVLI-36_Composite_Deck-Slab.pdf
Dead $_{\text {CompositeDeck }}:=50.3 \mathrm{psf}$

|  |  | Maximum Unshored Spans |  |  |  | Composite Deck-Slab Properties |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slab Depth |  | Deck Gage | Maximum Unshored Construction Clear Span |  |  | Concrete + Deck (psf) | Deflection $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}=\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{cr}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) / 2 \\ \left(\mathrm{in}^{4} / \mathrm{ft}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Moment <br> ØM $\mathrm{m}_{\text {。 }}$ <br> (kip-ft/ft) | Shear $\varnothing \mathbf{V}_{\text {no }}$ (kip/ft) |
| $31 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ | 2" | 22 | $6^{\prime}-6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $7^{\prime}-7{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 7'-8" | 32.2 | 2.64 | 2.73 | 3.02 |
|  |  | 20 | 7'-10' | $9^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$ | 32.6 | 2.85 | 3.22 | 3.02 |
|  |  | 19 | $8^{\prime}-4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 9'-11" | 10'-3" | 32.9 | 3.03 | 3.67 | 3.02 |
|  |  | 18 | 8'-9" | $10^{\prime}-7{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 10'-11" | 33.2 | 3.19 | 4.07 | 3.02 |
|  |  | 16 | $9^{\prime}-6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 11'-10" | $11^{\prime}-8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 33.9 | 3.52 | 4.91 | 3.02 |
| 5" | $31 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ | 22 | 5'-8" | $6^{\prime}-7{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $6^{\prime}-8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 50.3 | 7.62 | 4.79 | 4.93 |
|  |  | 20 | 6'-9" | 7'-9" | 7'-11" | 50.7 | 8.18 | 5.69 | 4.93 |
|  |  | 19 | 7'-3" | 8'-7" | 8'-10" | 51.0 | 8.68 | 6.54 | 4.93 |
|  |  | 18 | 7'-8" | $9^{\prime}-2^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ | 51.3 | 9.12 | 7.30 | 4.93 |
|  |  | 16 | 8'-4" | $10^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 52.0 | 10.02 | 8.92 | 4.93 |
| 6" | $41 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ | 22 | $5^{\prime}-3{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $6^{\prime}-1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $6^{\prime}-2^{\prime \prime}$ | 62.4 | 13.11 | 6.30 | 6.41 |
|  |  | 20 | $6^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$ | $7^{\prime}-2^{\prime \prime}$ | $7^{\prime}-4^{*}$ | 62.8 | 14.02 | 7.51 | 6.41 |
|  |  | 19 | 6'-10" | 7'-11" | $8^{\prime}-2^{\prime \prime}$ | 63.1 | 14.85 | 8.64 | 6.41 |
|  |  | 18 | 7'-2" | $8^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$ | $8^{\prime}-9^{*}$ | 63.4 | 15.57 | 9.67 | 6.41 |
|  |  | 16 | $7^{\prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime}-6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ | 64.1 | 17.06 | 11.87 | 6.41 |

## Total Ramp Dead Load

$\operatorname{Dead}_{\text {Ramp }}:=\operatorname{Dead}_{\text {Membrane }+ \text { Dead }_{\text {CompositeDeck }}=51.3 \mathrm{psf}}$

## The dead load for the ramp is approximately 52 psf

## Snow Loads for Ramp

## Balanced Snow Load

Exposure Coefficient
Table 7.3-1. Exposure Factor, $\boldsymbol{C}_{e}$.

| Surface Roughness Category | Exposure of Roof" |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fully Exposed ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Partially Exposed | Sheltered |
| B (see Section 26.7) | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| C (see Section 26.7) | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| D (see Section 26.7) | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Above the tree line in windswept mountainous areas | 0.7 | 0.8 | N/A |
| In Alaska, in areas where trees do not exist within a $2 \mathrm{mi}(3 \mathrm{~km})$ radius of the site | 0.7 | 0.8 | N/A |

The terrain category and roof exposure condition chosen shall be representative of the anticipated conditions during the life of the structure. An exposure factor shall be determined for each roof of a structure.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Partially Exposed: All roofs not Fully Exposed or Sheltered. Fully Exposed: Roofs exposed on all sides with no shelter afforded by terrain, higher structures, or trees. Roofs that contain several large pieces of mechanical equipment, parapets that extend above the height of the balanced snow load ( $h_{b}$ ), or other obstructions are not in this category. Sheltered: Roofs located tight in among conifers that qualify as obstructions.
${ }^{b}$ Obstructions within a distance of $10 h_{o}$ provide "shelter," where $h_{o}$ is the height of the obstruction above the roof level. If the only obstructions are a few deciduous trees that are leafless in winter, the "fully exposed" category shall be used. Note that these are heights above the roof. Heights used to establish the Exposure Category in Section 26.7 are heights above the ground.

## Thermal Factor

Heated closed structure without a ventilated roof

Table 7.3-2 Thermal Factor, $\boldsymbol{C}_{t}$

| Thermal Condition ${ }^{2}$ | $c_{t}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| All structures except as indicated below | 1.0 |
| Structures kept just above freezing and others with cold, ventilated roofs in which the thermal resistance ( R -value) between the ventilated space and the heated space exceeds $25^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \times h \times \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{Btu}\left(4.4 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{W}\right)$ | 1.1 |
| Unheated and open air structures | 1.2 |
| Freezer building | 1.3 |
| Continuously heated greenhouses ${ }^{b}$ with a roof having a thermal resistance ( R -value) less than $2.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \times h \times \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{Bt}$ ( $0.4 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{W}$ ) | 0.85 |
| "These conditions shall be representative of the anticipated conditions during winters for the life of the structure. <br> ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Greenhouses with a constantly maintained interior temperature of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ $\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ or more at any point $3 \mathrm{ft}(0.9 \mathrm{~m})$ above the floor level during winters and having either a maintenance attendant on duty at all times or a tempera- |  |
|  |  |



$$
C_{t}:=1.2
$$

Unheated Open Air Structure

ASCE 7-16 Table 7.3-2 Thermal Factor, Ct
Didn't use ASCE 7-22 because C_t is requires known material properties

## Importance Factor

Table 1.5-2 Importance Factors by Risk Category of Buildings and Other Structures for Snow, Ice, and Earthquake Loads

| Risk <br> Category from <br> Table 1.5-1 | Snow <br> Importance <br> Factor, $I_{\boldsymbol{z}}$ | Ice Importance <br> Factor- <br> Thickness, $I_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ | Ice Importance <br> Factor-Wind, <br> $I_{m}$ | Seismic <br> Importance <br> Factor, $I_{e}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 0.80 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| II | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| III | 1.10 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.25 |
| IV | 1.20 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.50 |

Note: The component importance factor, $I_{p}$, applicable to carthquake loads, is not included in this table because it depends on the importance of the individual component rather than that of the building as a whole, or its occupancy. Refer to Section 13.1.3.

## Ground Snow Load

## ASCE 7 HAZARD TOOL



ASCE Hazard Tool

$$
p_{g}:=51 p s f
$$

No other snow load indicated in the Maquoketa Code of Ordinances


FIGURE 7.4-1 Graphs for Determining Roof Slope Factor, $\boldsymbol{C}_{s}$, for Warm and Cold Roofs (See Table 7.3-2 for $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ Definitions)

Using ramp slope as the roof slope

Sloped Roof Snow Load

$$
p_{s}:=0.7 \cdot C_{s} \cdot C_{e} \cdot C_{t} \cdot I_{s} \cdot p_{g}=42.84 \mathrm{psf}
$$

BalancedSnow Load $:=p_{s}=42.84$ psf

## The snow load is approximately 43 psf

## Live Loads

Table 4.3-1. (Continued) Minimum Uniformly Distributed Live Loads, $L_{o}$, and Minimum Concentrated Live Loads


Since the roof won't be occupied at the same time as snowstorm, use the larger number load (snow or live load).

$$
\text { Live }_{\text {Load }}=100 \text { psf } \quad \gg \quad \text { BalancedSnow } \quad \text { Load }=42.84 \text { psf }
$$

Regardless, we will test each load combination for sufficient strength of members

### 1.5VL-36 COMPOSITE DECK GRADE 50 STEEL



Slab Makeup:
Total - 5"
Topping - 3.5 " of Normal weight concrete ( 145 pcf )
Deck Gauge - 22

Superimposed Load: Based on LRFD Load Combinations

$D:=$ Dead $_{\text {Membrane }}=1$ psf $\quad$ Superimposed
$L:=100 p s f$
$S:=43 p s f$
$W:=12.442 p s f$
$W_{\text {uplift }}=-11.212 p s f$

## LRFD Load Combos:

1.4 $D=1.4 p s f$
(1)
$1.2 D+1.6 L+0.5 S=182.7 p s f$
(2)
$1.2 D+1.6 S+L=170 p s f$
(3) Neglect wind load in combo 3 because it is smaller than $L$
1.2 $D+W+L+0.5 S=135.142 p s f$
(4)
$0.9\left(\left(D+\right.\right.$ Dead $\left.\left._{\text {CompositeDeck }}\right)\right)+W_{\text {uplift }}=34.958$ psf
(5) Uplift is not an issue

MaxLoad:=182.7 psf

## Allowable Superimposed

## Span:

Designing for max span of $11^{\prime}-6 "$

Allowable := 192 psf

## AERIAL VIEW OF PHASE 2:



MaxLoad $=182.7$ psf $\quad$ Allowable 192 psf

## Sufficient for Allowable Deflection... which is the limiting factor!

| Superimposed Design Load, $\mathrm{wW}_{\mathrm{n}}$, / Deflection at L/360 (psf) |  |  |  |  |  |  | NWC (145 pcf), $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}=\mathbf{3 0 0 0}$ psi |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Slab Depth |  | Span (ft-in.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Deck Gage | $4^{\prime \prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 5'-0" | 6'-0" | 7'-0" | 8'-0" | 9'-0" | 10'-0" | 12'-0" |
| $31 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ | 22 | 1327/1804 | 835/923 | 568/534 | 407/336 | 302/225 | 231/158 | 179/115 | 113/66 |
|  | 20 | 1471/1944 | 990/995 | 676/576 | 486/362 | 363/243 | 278/170 | 218/124 | 139/72 |
|  | 19 | 1471/2071 | 1135/1060 | 776/613 | 560/386 | 419/258 | 323/181 | 254/132 | 164/76 |
|  | 18 | 1471/2179 | 1168/1115 | 864/645 | 624/406 | 469/272 | 362/191 | 285/139 | 186/80 |
|  | 16 | 1470/2401 | 1168/1229 | 966/711 | 761/448 | 573/300 | 444/210 | 352/153 | 232/88 |
| 5" | 22 | 2336/5206 | 1473/2665 | 1004/1542 | 722/971 | 538/650 | 412/457 | 323/333 | 205/192 |
|  | 20 | 2405/5585 | 1761/2859 | 1204/1654 | 868/1042 | 650/698 | 501/490 | 394/357 | 255/206 |
|  | 19 | 2404/5929 | 1911/3035 | 1391/1756 | 1006/1106 | 756/741 | 584/520 | 461/379 | 302/219 |
|  | 18 | 2404/6228 | 1911/3188 | 1559/1845 | 1129/1162 | 850/778 | 659/546 | 522/398 | 343/230 |
|  | 16 | 2403/6842 | 1910/3503 | 1581/2027 | 1346/1276 | 1052/855 | 818/600 | 651/437 | 433/253 |
| 6 " | 22 | 3075/8955 | 1941/4585 | 1325/2653 | 953/1670 | 712/1119 | 547/786 | 429/573 | 275/331 |
|  | 20 | 3130/9574 | 2327/4902 | 1593/2836 | 1150/1786 | 863/1196 | 666/840 | 525/612 | 341/354 |
|  | 19 | 3129/10137 | 2488/5190 | 1845/3003 | 1335/1891 | 1004/1267 | 777/889 | 615/648 | 404/375 |
|  | 18 | 3129/10630 | 2488/5443 | 2060/3149 | 1502/1983 | 1132/1328 | 878/933 | 697/680 | 461/393 |
|  | 16 | 3128/11651 | 2487/5965 | 2060/3452 | 1754/2174 | 1407/1456 | 1095/1022 | 873/745 | 582/431 |

## Allowable Reaction at Supports (Based on LRFD Load Combinations)

Treat as a one way slab since the length is much much longer than the width


## Sufficient for Web Crippling, will not be an issue

## Shear Stud Spacing

https://vulcraft.com/DesignTools/HighPerformanceDeckSlabDiaphragmStrength
Required Shear
WindLoad $_{\text {Max }}:=12.442$ psf



## O atp ut

## Deck-Slab Diaphraụm Shear Sitrength <br> 22 ga $1.5 \mathrm{VL}-36$ Grade 50 Ciomposite Lleck

5 in. total slab deptn, $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}=3000 \mathrm{psi}, 145$ pcf NWC
35 pcy of Eekaert(®) Dramix(1) 4D 65/30Bis Filser Rein'orcing ${ }^{4}$

## 1/2" Steel Fleaded Stud Anchor at Chords $\varepsilon_{2}$ Collectors for Shear Transfer




Minimu Comintuions w Surporing inembers ${ }^{2}$
Minimum connections to all supports: may be any of the following: arc spot velds, fillet welds,


| Perperdicular Connection Pattern ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | 1 every other rib |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parallel Perime:er Connections for de:k spans greater than 5 ft |  |  | 36 | n. o.c. |  |

Governing Deck-Slat Strength and Stiffnes;


1. For UL Fire rated assemblies, refer to UL Design Number for support and sidelap connection requirernents.
2. Minimun counections to supperting me mbers do not contribute to the diaphrafm sluar streagth.
3. Support welds at interlocking side laps may be $3 / 8^{\prime \prime} \times 11 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ arc seam welds in lieu of arc spot welds.
4. Dramix Steel Fibers up to $66 \mathrm{lb} /$ cy are approvecl in lieu of welded wire fabric in all UL D700 D8010, and gen Series Designis, and G22\%.
5. Sidelap connections between steel deck parels may le V:SC2, button punch. screw, $11-1 / 2 \mathrm{in}$. arc seam weld or $1-1 / 2$ in. top arc seam weld. The maximum sidelap connection spacing shall not exceed 36 in. o.c.

 and the inft remation in I .
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## STEEL ANGLE CONNECTION:

## 3D VIEW



Live Load: $L:=100 p s f$
Dead Load: $D:=52 p s f$
Snow Load: $S:=43$ psf
Wind Load: $W:=0.391$ psf
Uplift Load: $W_{\text {uplift }}:=-13.671$ psf

| Width of Ramp: | $l:=5 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Slab Strip Width: | $l_{s}:=1 \mathrm{ft}$ |



Note: minimum embedment length, $l_{b}=4 d_{b}$ but not less than 2 in. ( 51 mm )

LRFD load combos: $\quad w_{1}:=1.4 D=72.8 \mathrm{psf}$

$$
w_{2}:=1.2 D+1.6 L+0.5 S=243.9 p s f
$$

$$
w_{3}:=1.2 D+1.6 S+L=231.2 \mathrm{psf}
$$

$$
w_{4}:=1.2 D+W+L+0.5 S=184.291 p s f
$$

$$
w_{5}:=0.9 D+W_{\text {uplift }}=33.129 \mathrm{psf}
$$

## Finding Required Strength

Factored Distributed Load: $\quad w_{u}:=\max \left(\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}, w_{5}\right)\right) \cdot l_{s}=0.244 k i p \div f t$
Load Bearing on one Angle: $P_{u}:=w_{u} \cdot l \div 2=0.61 \mathrm{kip}$ (Load distributed to angles on each side of ramp)

| Max Moment: | $M_{\text {max }}:=w_{u} \cdot l^{2} \div 12=0.508 \mathrm{kip} \cdot f t$ | (AISC TABLES - CASE 15- FIIED AT BOTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Max Shear: | $V_{\text {max }}:=w_{u} \cdot(l \div 2)=0.61 \mathrm{kip}$ | ENDS WITH UNIFRMLY DISTRIBUTED LOAD) |

## Angle Selection

Angle: $\quad L 3 \times 3 \times 1 / 2$
Length of Legs: $\quad b:=3$ in
Leg Thickness: $t:=0.5$ in
Plastic Section: $\quad Z_{x}:=1.91$ in
Grade of Steel: A36
Steel Yield Strength: $F_{y}:=36 \mathrm{ksi}$
Ultimate Strength: $\quad F_{u}:=58 \mathrm{ksi}$
Modulus of Elasticity: $E:=29000 \mathrm{ksi}$

Bolt Selection
Bolt Type:
Bolt Grade:
Yield Strength:
Bent Bar Anchor Bolt
F1554 Grade 36

Ultimate Strength:
$F_{y b}:=36 \mathrm{ksi}$
Bolt Diameter:
$F_{u b}:=58 \mathrm{ksi}$
$d_{b}:=0.5$ in
Bolt Hole:
$d_{h}:=d_{b}+(1 \div 16)$ in (Standard holes)


Threads are excluded ( X bolts) or included ( N bolts) in the shear plane: Bolt $:=$ " N "

## Check Flexural Strength based on Flange Local Buckling

Width to Thickness Ratio: $\quad \lambda_{f}:=b \div t=6$
Limiting flange slenderness parameter: $\quad \lambda_{p f}:=0.54 \sqrt{ } E \div F_{y b}=15.326$
Limiting flange slenderness parameter: $\quad \lambda_{r f}:=0.91 \sqrt{ } E \div F_{y b}=25.828$
For Compact Flange ( $\lambda_{f} \leq \lambda_{p f}$ ):
Plastic Moment about x-axis: $\quad M_{p}:=F_{y} \cdot Z_{x}=5.73 \mathrm{kip} \cdot f t$
Flexural Strength based on FLB: $\quad \phi M_{n}:=0.9 \cdot M_{p}=5.157 \mathrm{kip} \cdot \mathrm{ft}$

Required Flexural Strength: $\quad M_{\max }=0.508 \mathrm{kip} \cdot f t$

The section IS adequate for flexure since $M_{\max }<\phi M_{n}$

## Check for Shear Strength of Bolt

Available shear strength of $F_{n v}:=$ if Bolt $=$ "X" $\mathrm{I}=26.1 \mathrm{ksi}$
bolt:
${ }_{\| 1}^{11} 0.563 \cdot F_{u b} \quad$ I
else if Bolt $=$ " N "।
${ }_{\|}^{\|} 0.45 \cdot F_{u b} \quad$ ।
Area of Bolt:

$$
A_{b}:=\pi \cdot\left(\left(d_{b^{2}} \div 4\right)\right)=0.196 \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

Bolt Shear Strength: $\quad \phi R_{n S h e a r}:=0.75 \cdot\left(\left(F_{n v}\right)\right) \cdot A_{b}=3.844$ kip

Required Shear Strength: $\quad V_{\max }=0.61 \mathrm{kip}$

The section IS adequate for shear since $V_{\max }<\phi R_{n S h e a r}$

## Check Bearing Strength

https://ncma.org/resource/design-of-anchor-bolts-embedded-in-concrete-masonry/

Input into "Masonry Anchor Bolt Design Calculator":

2013 MSJC Anchor Bolt Design Allowable Stress Design
***user input indicated by blue cells
DATA INPUT AND SUMMARY OF DESIGN
Propeties and Geometry
Weather or Soil Exposure
Top or Face Mount
NO Nace
from adjacent anchors to develop breakout
Anchor Type $=$
Anchor Yield Strength $=$
Anchor Diameter, $d_{b}=$
Anchor Hook Length, $e_{b}=$
$f_{m}=$
Wall thickness, $\mathrm{t}=$
Edge Distance, $I_{b e}=$
Net Anchor Area, $A_{b}=$

Effective Embed. Length, $I_{b}=$
7.00 in

## Loading

Shear Force, $\mathrm{V}_{\text {total }}=$
Offset distance, e =
Dist. From C.L of Bolt $T_{0}$
Edge of Ledger, $\mathrm{x}=$
Direct Tension Force, $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=610$ lbs

Date: Face Mounted Anchor


Top Mounted Anchor

<<Anchor design is satisfactory. See detailed analysis>>

## O atput of 'Má sonry A ichor Bolt Cesign Calculator":



## ppendix F: Restroom Phase Three Design Calculations

## HASE 3 ROOF CALCULATIONS

ASCE 7-16 Chapters 26 and 27

Open Structure Wind Calculations with a Monoslope Roof

South Wind Loads

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C n W:=1.2 \quad G:=0.85 \\
& p:=q \cdot G \cdot C n W=15.663 p s f
\end{aligned}
$$

North Wind Loads
Figure 27.3-4

$$
\text { CnW }:=-1.1 \quad G:=0.85
$$

$$
p:=q \cdot G \cdot C n W=-14.357 p s f
$$

## East Wind Load

$$
C n:=0.3 \quad G:=0.85
$$

$$
p:=q \cdot G \cdot C n=3.916 p s f
$$

West Wind Load
Figure 27.3-7

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C n:=0.3 \quad G:=0.85 \\
& p:=q \cdot G \cdot C n=3.916 p s f
\end{aligned}
$$

## Balanced Snow Load

## Exposure Coefficient

Table 7.3-1. Exposure Factor, $\boldsymbol{C}_{e}$.

| Surtace Roughness Category | Exposure of Roor" |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fully } \\ \text { Exposed } \end{gathered}$ | Partially <br> Exposed | Shellered |
| B (see Section 26.7) | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| C (see Section 26.7) | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| D (see Section 26.7) | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Above the tree line in windswept mountainous areas | 0.7 | 0.8 | N/A |
| In Alaska, in areas where trees do not exist within a $2 \mathrm{mi}(3 \mathrm{~km})$ radius of the site | 0.7 | 0.8 | N/A |

$$
C_{e}:=0.9
$$

Surface Roughness B/Partially Exposed... because we're in downtown Maquoketa

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V:=108 \begin{array}{c}
m i \\
h r
\end{array} \\
& \text { Chapter } 26 \\
& K d:=0.85 \quad K e:=1 \quad K z:=0.605 \\
& q:=0.00256 \quad p s f \quad \cdot K z \cdot K d \cdot K e \cdot V^{2}=15.355 p s f \\
& m p h^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Importance Factor

Table 1.5-2 Importance Factors by Risk Category of Buildings and Other Structures for Snow, Ice, and Earthquake Loads

| Risk <br> Category from <br> Table 1.S-1 | Snow <br> Importance <br> Factor, $I_{*}$ | Ice Importance <br> Factor- <br> Thickness, $I_{t}$ | Ice Importance <br> Factor-- Wind, <br> $I_{\boldsymbol{w}}$ | Seismic <br> Importance <br> Factor, $I_{e}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 0.80 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| II | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| III | 1.10 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 1.25 |
| IV | 1.20 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.50 |

Note: The component importance factor, $I_{p}$, applicable to carthquake loads, is not included in this table because it depends on the importance of the individual component rather than that of the building as a whole, or its occupancy. Refer to Section 13.1.3.

## Ground Snow Load



```
mp, and gable roofs with slopes less than 150 and to ( Where p}\mp@subsup{p}{g}{}\mathrm{ exceeds 20 lb/ftr
```

rown is less than $10^{\circ}$. The minimum roof snow loa
roof snow loa
sing the following

ASCE 7-16 Figure 7.2-1

No other snow load
indicated in the Maquoketa Code of Ordinances
Sloped Roof Factor
$C_{s}:=1$
Essentially a flat roof

$$
p_{s}:=0.7 \cdot C_{s} \cdot C_{e} \cdot C_{t} \cdot I_{s} \cdot p_{g}=18.9 p s f
$$

18 Gage 1.5B-36 Grade 50
Uniform Design Load Table, LRFD (psf) For Butted End Deck

Nリㅁㅁ뭉
VULCRAFT GROUP

Support Member A992 GR50 $0.25 \leq \mathrm{t}_{2}(\mathrm{in}) \leq$.

## Metal Deck

60 solar panels at 40 lbs each or 2.8 psf
$5.5 \mathrm{ft} \times 3.25 \mathrm{ft}$
$A:=5.5 f t \cdot 3.25 f t=17.875 f t^{2}$

## Uplift Calculations(Ignoring Weight of solar panels)




Accounting for metal deck and steel slates(keep water off decking)

DeckDead:=2.5 psf+1 psf
$W L:=-14.375 p s f$

| Uplift (Outward) Uniform Design Load Table, LRFD (psf) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Span | $(\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{in}$ ) | $8^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $8^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $11^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $11^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 1 | $\Phi W n$ | 149 | 132 | 118 | 106 | 95 | 87 | 79 | 72 |
|  | $\mathrm{~L} / 240$ | 37 | 31 | 26 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 13 |
| 2 | $\Phi W n$ | 92 | 87 | 82 | 77 | 74 | 70 | 67 | 64 |
|  | $\mathrm{~L} / 240$ | 85 | 71 | 60 | 51 | 44 | 38 | 33 | 29 |
| 3 | $\Phi W n$ | 105 | 98 | 93 | 88 | 84 | 80 | 76 | 73 |
|  | $\mathrm{~L} / 240$ | 67 | 56 | 47 | 40 | 34 | 30 | 26 | 23 |

$w$ Uplift $:=0.6 \cdot$ DeckDead $+0.75 \cdot 0.6 \cdot W L=-4.369$ psf

| Steel Deck Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t | Fy | wdd | Id+ | Id- | Se+ | Se- | ¢Mn+ | $\Phi \mathrm{Mn}$ - | $\Phi \mathrm{Vn}$ |
| in | ksi | psf | in. ${ }^{4} / \mathrm{ft}$ | in. ${ }^{4} / \mathrm{ft}$ | in. ${ }^{3} / \mathrm{ft}$ | in. ${ }^{3} / \mathrm{ft}$ | $\mathrm{lbs}-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{tt}$ | $\mathrm{lbs}-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ | $\mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{tt}$ |
| 0.0474 | 50 | 2.6 | 0.277 | 0.29 | 0.306 | 0.318 | 1148 | 1193 | 6398 | Deck can support uplift, design OK

W $=$ Required strength of the governing LRFD load combintaion $\phi W n=$ Design Strength

## Using W10x12

$L:=20 f t=20 f t$
$a:=4.5 \mathrm{ft}$
6 psf acting from roof down: 3 psf from deck, 1 psf from panels, 2 psf from panels
$w$ Dead $:=6$ psf $\quad$ Live $:=0$ psf $\quad$ Snow $:=19$ psf $\quad$ wWind $:=15.7$ psf
$w u:=1.2 \cdot w$ Dead $+1.6 \cdot w$ Snow $+0.5 \cdot w$ Wind $=45.45$ psf
$t b:=8 \mathrm{ft}$
$w:=w u \cdot t b=363.6$ plf $\quad$ Rroof $:=w \cdot L=7.272$ kip
$M I:=\begin{gathered}w \\ 8 \cdot L^{2}{ }_{2}\end{gathered} \cdot L+a^{2} \cdot L-a^{2}=16.386 \mathrm{ft} \cdot k i p$
$M 2:=\begin{gathered}w \cdot a^{2} \\ 2\end{gathered}=3.681 \mathrm{ft} \cdot \mathrm{kip}$
$F y:=50 \mathrm{ksi} \quad E:=29000 \mathrm{ksi}$
$Z x:=\begin{aligned} & M 1 \\ & F y\end{aligned}=3.933 \mathrm{in}^{3}$
Zx of $\mathrm{W} 10 \times 12$ is $12.6 \mathrm{in}^{\wedge} 3$, so design OK
Using a coped end
$c=0.572$
${ }^{c}=0.456$
ho $d$
$k:=\left(\begin{array}{c}2.2 \\ \binom{c}{h o}^{1.65}=5.533\end{array} \quad f:=2 \cdot\binom{c}{d}=0.912\right.$
$k l:=\max f \cdot k, 1.61=5.046$
$\lambda p:=0.475 \cdot \quad k l \cdot E=25.696$
$F y$
$b f \cdot t f \cdot h o-0.5 \cdot t f+t w \cdot h o-t f \cdot h o-t f$
$h 1:=\quad=5.261 \mathrm{in}$

$$
b f \cdot t f+t w \cdot h o-t f
$$

Inet $:={ }_{12}^{1} \cdot b f \cdot t f^{3}+b f \cdot t f \cdot h o-h 1-0.5 \cdot t f^{2}+{ }_{12}^{1} \cdot t w \cdot h o-t f^{3}+t w \cdot h o-t f \cdot 0.5 \cdot h o-t f^{-h l}=15.314 i n$
Snet $:=\begin{gathered}\text { Inet } \\ \text { hl }\end{gathered}=2.911 \mathrm{in}^{3}$
$M y:=F y \cdot$ Snet $=12.129 \mathrm{ft} \cdot$ kip
$\phi M n:=0.9 \dot{j} \left\lvert\, M p-M p-M y \cdot\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & ) \mid \\ \lambda p-1| |=14.173 f t\end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$ kip \right.
Since flexural strength phi Mn is greater than Mu, a W10X12 member with a cope length of 4.5 in and depth of 2 in is suitable

Girders Supporting Joists

Only load it is supporting is from the joists, which acts at the mid point
Mmax $:=\begin{gathered}\text { RJoist } \cdot L \\ 4\end{gathered}=18.68 \mathrm{ft} \cdot \mathrm{kip}$
$Z x:=\begin{gathered}M \max \\ F y\end{gathered}=4.483 \mathrm{in}^{3}$
Use W12X14 to allow for single coped beam.

## Columns Supporting Girders

Using W12x14

Pcolumn $:=2.5 \cdot$ RJoist $=9.34$ kip
$L:=9.5 \mathrm{ft} \quad A:=3.54 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
$L c x:=L=9.5 f t$

## Connections

Panels to deck

Any sort of clamp will suffice
Clamp at each end of the panel(4 corners) to the metal deck, or where best seen fit Deck to Joist connection was previously shown, but will be shown again


| Inward Uniform Design Load Table, LRFD (psf) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Span | ( $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{in}$ ) | $8^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | 8'-6" | 9'-0" | 9'-6" | $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | 11'-0" | 11'-6" |
| 1 | ¢Wn | 143 | 127 | 113 | 102 | 92 | 83 | 76 | 69 |
| 1 | L/240 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 21 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 12 |
|  | ¢ Wn | 148 | 131 | 117 | 105 | 95 | 86 | 79 | 72 |
| 2 | L/240 | 89 | 75 | 63 | 53 | 46 | 40 | 34 | 30 |
|  | ¢Wn | 185 | 164 | 146 | 131 | 119 | 108 | 98 | 90 |
| 3 | L/240 | 70 | 58 | 49 | 42 | 36 | 31 | 27 | 24 |


| Uplift (Outward) Uniform Design Load Table, LRFD (psf) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Span | $(\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{in})$ | $8^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $8^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $9^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $10^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ | $11^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ | $11^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\Phi W n$ | 149 | 132 | 118 | 106 | 95 | 87 | 79 | 72 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~L} / 240$ | 37 | 31 | 26 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\Phi W n$ | 92 | 87 | 82 | 77 | 74 | 70 | 67 | 64 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~L} / 240$ | 85 | 71 | 60 | 51 | 44 | 38 | 33 | 29 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $\Phi W n$ | 105 | 98 | 93 | 88 | 84 | 80 | 76 | 73 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~L} / 240$ | 67 | 56 | 47 | 40 | 34 | 30 | 26 | 23 |  |  |  |  |


| Steel Deck Properties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , | Fy | wdd | Id+ | Id- | Se+ | Se- | ¢Mn+ | $\phi \mathrm{Mn}$ - | $\Phi \mathrm{Vn}$ |
| in | ksi | psf | in. ${ }^{4} / \mathrm{tt}$ | in. ${ }^{4} / \mathrm{tt}$ | in. ${ }^{3} / \mathrm{ft}$ | in. ${ }^{3} / \mathrm{ft}$ | $\mathrm{lbs}-\mathrm{tt} / \mathrm{tt}$ | $\mathrm{lbs}-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ | $\mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{tt}$ |
| 0.0474 | 50 | 2.6 | 0.277 | 0.29 | 0.306 | 0.318 | 1148 | 1193 | 6398 |

Where: $\quad W \leq \Phi W n$
$W=$ Required strength of the governing LRFD load combintaion $\Phi W \mathrm{n}=$ Design Strength

36/4 connection pattern with \#12 Screw
Meets required strength for what is needed for on top of deck

Joist to Girder
Start with half inch plate, 7 in height, 7 in width
$35 / 8$ in standard bolts, A325N
chapter 8 page 839 bhatti
Shear Strength of Bolt
$d b:=\frac{{ }^{5}}{8}$ in $\quad A b:=\frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d b^{2}=0.307 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
FuBolt:= 120 ksi
$F n v:=0.45 \cdot$ FuBolt $=54 \mathrm{ksi}$

## Joist to Column

Start with half inch plate, 7 in height, 7 in width
$35 / 8$ in standard bolts, A325N

Shear Strength of Bolt

$$
d b:=\frac{5}{8} \text { in } \quad A b:=\frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d b^{2}=0.307 \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

$$
\text { FuBolt: }=120 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
\text { Fnv: }=0.45 \cdot \text { FuBolt }=54 \mathrm{ksi}
$$

$$
\phi \text { RnShear: }=0.75 \cdot F n v \cdot A b=12.425 \text { kip }
$$

## Strength of Connection

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boxed{L e}:=1.5 \mathrm{in} \\
& d h:=d b+{ }^{1} \quad \mathrm{in}=0.688 \mathrm{in} \\
& \boxed{L c e}:=L e-0.5 \cdot d h=1.156 \mathrm{in} \\
& t:=0.225 \mathrm{in} \\
& \boxed{F u}:=65 \mathrm{ksi}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { фRnEndBolt }:=0.75 \cdot \mathrm{~min} 1.2 \cdot \mathrm{Lce} \cdot t \cdot \mathrm{Fu}, 2.4 \cdot \mathrm{db} \cdot \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{Fu}=15.219 \mathrm{kip} \\
& S:=2 \mathrm{in} \quad L c:=s-d h=1.313 \mathrm{in} \\
& \phi \text { RnIntBolt }:=0.75 \cdot \mathrm{~min} 1.2 \cdot \mathrm{Lc} \cdot t \cdot \mathrm{Fu}, 2.4 \cdot \mathrm{db} \cdot \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{Fu}=16.453 \mathrm{kip} \\
& \text { фRnBearing }:= \\
& =\phi \text { RnEndBolt } \cdot 3=45.657 \mathrm{kip}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { ConnectionStrength }:=\min \phi \text { RnShear }, \phi \text { RnBearing }=12.425 \text { kip }
$$

This value is larger than the force experienced, so OK

## Girder to Column

Welding the girder to 0.5 in plate, then weld plate to column flange 11 in transverse weld, one on each side of girder web

$$
L:=11 \text { in } \quad F y:=50 \mathrm{ksi} \quad F u:=65 \mathrm{ksi} \quad t:=0.5 \mathrm{in}
$$

$$
\phi R n B M:=\min \quad 1 \cdot 0.6 \cdot F y \cdot t \cdot L, 0.75 \cdot 0.6 \cdot F u \cdot t \cdot L=160.875 \mathrm{kip}
$$

[^2]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l:=\max \left(\begin{array}{c}
N-0.95 \cdot d, B-0.8 \cdot b f \\
2
\end{array},{ }_{4} \cdot \sqrt{ } d \cdot b f\right)=4.416 \text { in } \\
& t p:=l \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 \cdot P u \\
0.9 \cdot B \cdot N \cdot F y=0.242 \mathrm{in}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Anything 2/8 inches or more will suffice

## Anchor Bolts

Start with $3 / 4$ in
4 anchors
$P:=$ Pcolumn $=9.34$ kip
Fwind $:=w$ Wind $\cdot 16 \mathrm{ft} \cdot 9.5 \mathrm{ft}=2.386 \mathrm{kip}$
$M o:=F w i n d \cdot 9.5 \mathrm{ft}=22.671 \mathrm{ft} \cdot \mathrm{kip}$
$P w:=\begin{gathered}M o \\ 16 \mathrm{ft}\end{gathered}=1.417 \mathrm{kip}$
$P u:=P=9.34 \mathrm{kip}$
Uplift $:=0.9 \cdot P u-P w=6.989 \mathrm{kip}$
$T u:=$ Uplift $=6.989 \mathrm{kip}$
FuRod:= 58 ksi

$$
d b:=\frac{3}{4} \mathrm{in}
$$

$\phi R n:=0.75 \cdot 0.75 \cdot$ FuRod $\cdot\left(\begin{array}{l}\pi \\ 4\end{array} d b^{2}\right)=14.413 \mathrm{kip}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
T u \\
4
\end{gathered}
$$

Anchor rods have enough strength, design OK


[^0]:    The capacity of columns may be reduced due to either buckling or to additional bending moment caused by deflection ( $P$-D effects). In Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures (ref. 1, referred to hereafter as the Code), slenderness effects are included in the calculation of allowable compressive stress for reinforced masonry. For columns, the Code also limits the effective height to thickness ratio to 25 , and requires a minimum nominal side dimension of 8 in . ( 203 mm ).

    The effective height of a column is typically taken as the clear height between supports. If the designer can demonstrate that there is reliable restraint against both translation and rotation at the supports, the effective height may be reduced in accordance with conventional design principles.

    Eccentricity also affects the structural capacity of masonry columns. Eccentricity may be introduced by eccentric axial loads, lateral loads, or a column that is out of plumb. As a minimum, the Code requires that the design consider an eccentricity of 0.1 times each side dimension, with each axis considered independently. This minimum eccentricity is intended to account for construction tolerances. If the actual eccentricity exceeds this minimum, the actual eccentricity should be used in the design.

[^1]:    Waterproofing membranes:
    Bituminous gravel-covered
    Bituminous smooth surface
    Bituminous, smooth sarface
    Liquid applied
    Single-ply, sheet
    Wood sheathing (per in. thickness)
    Wood shakes and shingles
    Wood shakes and shingles

    ASCE 7-22 Table C3.1-1a Minimum
    Design Dead Loads (psf)

    Dead $_{\text {Membrane }}:=1$ psf

[^2]:    W: $:=t-1$ in $=0.438$ in $\quad L=25.143 \quad$ Fexx $:=70$ ksi

